1	STATE OF ILLINOIS ) ) SS: COUNTY OF C O O K )
2	COUNTY OF C O O K )
3	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS COUNTY DEPARTMENT - CRIMINAL DIVISION
4	THE PEOPLE OF THE )
5	STATE OF ILLINOIS,
6	Plaintiff, )
7	vs. ) No. 93 CR 6342
8	LAFONSO ROLLINS,
9	Defendant.
10	
11	REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS at the jury
12	trial of the above-entitled cause, before the
13	HONORABLE DANIEL M. LOCALLO on the 2nd day of
14	March, 1994, at 2:30 o'clock p.m.
15	
16	APPEARANCES: MR. JACK O'MALLEY
17.	State's Attorney of Cook County BY: MS. ROSEMARY HIGGINS and
18	MR. GEORGE ANDREWS Assistant State's Attorneys
19	Appeared on behalf of the Plaintiff;
20	MR. MADISON GORDON Appeared on behalf of the Defendant.
21	
22	
23	TO ANN ADOLLOW GOD
24	JO ANN KROLICKI, CSR Official Court Reporter

1	(WHEREUPON, the following was
2	had in open court, in the
3	presence of the jury:)
4	THE COURT: All right. You're going to
5	proceed by way of stipulation at this time?
6	MS. HIGGINS: We are, your Honor.
7	THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, a
8	stipulation is an agreement between the parties
9	about what certain evidence will be. You are to
10	treat a stipulation in the following manner. You
11	should listen to and consider the stipulation in
12	the same way you would as if you were hearing from
13	the witness stand the particular witness mentioned
14	in the stipulation.
1,5	So the stipulation being an
16	agreement between the parties about what certain
17	evidence would be, so, Mr. Andrews, you may
18	proceed.
19	MR. ANDREWS: Thank you, your Honor.
20	Ladies and gentlemen, it will be
21	stipulated by and between the parties, Mr. Lafonso
22	Rollins, by and through his attorney, Mr. Madison
23	Gordon, and Mr. Jack O'Malley, by and through his
24	assistants, George Andrews and Rosemary Higgins,

- if the state was to call Mr. Rafael Diaz, D-i-a-z,
- 2 he would testify he works with the Chicago Police
- 3 Department in the criminalistic section of the
- 4 Crime Lab down at 11th and State. He would
- 5 testify that he works specifically in the serology
- 6 unit for the Chicago Police Department.
- 7 There would be a further
- 8 stipulation that he would be found qualified by
- 9 this Court as an expert in serology, and he would
- 10 further testify that he examined what he believed
- 11 to be the semen stains which were found on the
- 12 yellow pillow case under the RD number of
- 13 X-013375.
- 14 He would further testify that he
- 15 subjected this examination, these semen stains to
- 16 chemical analysis via electrophoresis
- 17 spectrophotometry and that it did, in fact, prove
- 18 positive for presence of spermatozoa.
- 19 All of these testings had occurred
- 20 here in the city of Chicago, State of Illinois,
- 21 County of Cook.
- The state would also be entering
- into a stipulation again by and between the same
- 24 parties that Mr. Lafonso Rollins on January 9,

1993, was not a peace officer. He was not acting 1 2 in the line of duty. So stipulated, Counsel? 3 4 MR. GORDON: So stipulated. 5 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Andrews, does the stipulation include that it would be in 6 Mr. Diaz's opinion; am I correct? It would be in 7 8 Mr. Diaz's opinion that it was positive for the presence of spermatozoa? 9 10 MR. ANDREWS: That's correct. THE COURT: So stipulated? 11 12 MR. GORDON: So stipulated, your Honor. 13 THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, we're going to take approximately a 14 five-minute break. I'd ask you to return back to 15 the jury room for five minutes. 16 17 Please do not discuss this until 18 you have heard all of the evidence, heard the 19 arguments of the lawyers, and have been instructed 20 on the law. (WHEREUPON, the following was 21

D-189

had in open court, outside the

presence of the jury:)

THE COURT: Miss Higgins, do you want to

22

23

24