Illinois v. Ollins et al.

Trial Testimony of Pamela Fish in

Illinois v. Calvin Ollins

1	MR. O'BRIEN: Judge, for our next witness we would
2	call Pam Fish to the stand.
3	(Witness sworn.)
4	PAMELA ANN FISH,
5	a witness called on behalf of the People, being
6	first duly sworn, was examined and testified as
7	follows:
8	DIRECT EXAMINATION
9	BY MR. O'BRIEN:
10	Q Would you state your full name and spell your
11	last name, please?
12	A Yes, my name is Pamela Ann Fish; the last
L 3	name is spelled F-i-s-h.
L 4	Q And who do you work for?
L 5	A I work for the Chicago Police Department in
L 6	the Crime Laboratory Division.
. 7	Q How long have you been employed in the Crime
8 .	Laboratory Division?
. 9	A Approximately five and-a-half years now.
20	Q And what is your title within the Crime
1	Laboratory Division?
2	A My title is a criminalist.
3	Q And what particular section of the crime
4	laboratory do you work in?

- A I work in the Serology Unit of the lab.
- Q And could you tell us briefly what the Serology Unit does?
- A Serology is the study of bodily fluids such things as blood, semen, saliva, things of that nature.
- Q And in your duties -- I should say in your work as a crime laboratory analyst what training have you received for that work?
- A I initially received a nine months on-the-job training working with the senior officer in the unit.

 After that I have attended nine -- excuse me, three seminars in the field of serology and also went to the F.B.I. Academy in Quantico, Virginia, in the field of serology.
- Q And could you tell us in your time in the crime lab on how many occasions have you examined articles to determine whether there was blood or not on those articles?
- A I have done that type of an examination thousands of times.
- Q And in your experience in the crime lab how many times would you have been called upon to determine if a secretion is semen?
 - A I have also done that determination thousands 1403

of times.

Q And in your experience on how many occasions have you had to determine the genetic markers which may be found in semen or secretions?

A I've also done that hundreds of thousands of times.

Q Have you testified before in the courts in Cook County relative to your opinion as to the typing of blood?

A Yes, sir, I have.

Q And have you testified before in Cook County relative to your opinion as to the presence or absence of semen in a secretion?

A Yes, sir, I have.

Q And have you testified before in courts of Cook County on the question of whether genetic markers were present in semen?

A Yes, I have.

MR. O'BRIEN: Judge, I would tender this witness as an expert in the field of both blood and semen type.

THE COURT: Cross-examination.

MR. MENAKER: Judge, we would acknowledge her as such.

THE COURT: All right, the Court will find the witness qualified to testify as an expert of her field.

MR. O'BRIEN: Q Ms. Fish, could you tell us when you received whole blood in the tube what do you do to test that blood in your work?

A What we do to test a whole blood sample is first determine if that reddish brown stain is in fact blood and then to determine if it is in fact human blood and then to determine the genetic markers which are present in that blood sample.

- Q In whole blood in your forensic field how many genetic markers do you test whole blood in?
 - A We routinely test for eleven genetic markers.
- Q Now, when you talk about genetic markers exactly what is a genetic marker?

A A genetic marker is an enzyme or protein which is present in blood and which everybody has this enzyme or protein. In this there are hundreds of thousands of these and we test for eleven of these proteins. In each of these proteins has a type or many types within each column and what we do is look for the type that you are according to your genetic makeup.

б

the eleven categories that you mentioned?

<u> 14(6</u>

Yes, I did. 1 Α 2 In the ABO system or typing what was 3 blood? 4 Α She was a blood of Type O. 5 Did you receive in addition to 6 blood certain other exhibits in connection with her homicide? 7 Yes, I did. 8 9 Let me show you what has been marked as 10 People's Exhibit 17 for identification. 11 Can you tell us, do you recognize that? 12 Yes, sir, I do. 13 And what is that? 14 I recognize this to be a vial that contains a 15 Q-tip swab that contains a reddish stain that was 16 taken from the inside of the driver's door from the 17 car. And I show you what has been marked as 18 19 People's Exhibit 18 for identification. Can you tell us, do you recognize that? 20 Yes, sir, I do. 21 22 And how do you recognize it? Again this is the vial swab that was 23 submitted to me that was a to bood standard taken from 24

JW24

1 the grounds near the victim. 2 And I hand you what has been marked as People's Exhibit 19. 3 4 Do you recognize what that is? 5 Α Yes, sir, I do. 6 How do you recognize that? 7 Again, I recognize it as being a blood that Α 8 was taken from the passenger's front seat of the 9 vehicle. 10 Did you perform an examination for blood on 11 Exhibit 17 marked as coming from the -- I believe the 12 driver's side door of car? 13 Yes, sir, I did. 14 And what did your tests determine when you 15 examined that swab for blood? That in fact this was blood and it was human 16 17 blood. 18 Were you able to examine that particular swab further in the eleven genetic markers that you spoke 19 20 of? No, sir, I was not able to. 21 For what reason were you unable to do any 22 further test? 23 24 Because the quantity of sample that was

1488

present on this Q-tip swab was not enough to do any 1 2 further testing. 0 I would ask you to look at People's Exhibit 3 18, I believe it's labeled as blood coming from the 4 5 ground near 6 That's correct. 7 Did you perform any tests as to determine Q whether the reddish brown stain on that Q-tip was 8 9 blood? 10 Α Yes, sir, I did. 11 What test did you perform? I did a preliminary chemical test to 12 13 determine if in fact the reddish brown stain here was 14 in fact blood. What did you determine? 15 Q I determined that it was blood. 16 17 And did you -- were you able to perform any 18 further test on that? 19 Yes, then I went to do the human percipient Α test to determine whether this reddish brown stain of 20 blood was in fact human blood. 21 And what did that show? 22 0 23 Α It was positive for human blood. 24 Did you make any other test in the eleven

1 genetic markers that you spoke of? Yes, sir, I did. 3 And in those were you able to test all eleven Q genetic markers? 4 5 Yes, sir, I was able to. 6 And what did you determine in comparing the Q 7 eleven genetic markers that you tested for in that exhibit against the eleven genetic markers that you whole blood? tested in 9 10 That the blood that was in that container is 11 consistent with the blood of 12 And was that in all eleven genetic markers? 13 That was in all eleven genetic markers. I would ask you to look at what has been 14 15 labeled Exhibit 19 for identification, blood that came 16 from the passenger seat of car. 17 Did you perform tests on that exhibit to 18 determine whether it was blood or human blood? 19 Yes, sir, I did. What did you determine? 20 I found that in fact this reddish brown stain 21 22 was in fact blood and it was human blood. 23 Did you determine any further tests in the 24 eleven genetic markers?

<u> 전</u>세기

A Yes, sir, I did. 1 2 And did you perform tests on all eleven genetic markers? 3 Yes, I did. 5 And what did you determine after performing 6 those tests? 7 That in the eleven genetic markers I was ab 8 to say that they -- the markers that I got off of th ammo box are consistent with the markers from 9 10 blood. 11 Q Thank you. 12 Just for the record there is white powder n 13 on this particular canister. When it came to you wa there white powder on it? 14 15 No, sir, there was not. 16 Q Did you receive other exhibits in connectio with the investigation into 17 homicide 18 A Yes, sir. I show you what has been marked as People's 19 20 Exhibit 38-B for identification. Can you tell us, do you recognize that 21 22 exhibit? Yes, sir, I do recognize these. 23 A

And how do you recognize them?

24

Q

, e

s

÷₩

1 These were the brown gloves that I was Α 2 submitted. My initials again are present on the gloves. 4 And was that exhibit submitted in connection Q with 5 6 Yes, sir, it was. 7 And did you try to determine whether there 8 was any blood on that particular exhibit? 9 Yes, sir, I did. Α 10 And what did your tests reveal? 11 My tests revealed that there was blood present on this exhibit. 12 13 Q Were you able to perform any further tests to 14 determine if it was human blood? No, sir, I was not. 15 And what reason was that? 16 That's because there was not enough blood 17 18 present on this exhibit for me to do any further 19 testing. I hand you what has been marked as People's 20 Q 21 Exhibit 38-A for identification, a pair of shoes. 22 Do you recognize that? Yes, sir, I do. 23 Α 24 Was that again submitted to you in connection

1 with homicide investigation? 2 Yes, sir, it was. 3 I show you what has been marked as People's 4 Exhibit 5 for identification and ask you do you 5 recognize that coat? 6 Yes, sir, I do. 7 And was that coat submitted to you in 8 connection with investigation of 9 homicide? 10 Yes, sir, it was. 11 Hand you what has been marked as People's 12 Exhibit 38 for identification, a pair of blue socks. 13 Do you recognize those? 14 Α Yes, sir, I do. 15 Were those submitted to you in connection 16 homicide? with 17 Yes, sir, they were. I show you what has been marked as People's 18 19 Exhibit 38 for identification, a pair of woman's underwear. 20 21 Do you recognize that? 22 Yes, sir, I do. Α 23 And again does that contain your markings? Q 24 Yes, it does. My initials are present on the Α

1 back panel of the exhibit. 2 Was that underwear submitted to you in 3 connection with homicide? 4 Yes, sir, it was. 5 Hand you what has been marked as 38, a pink shirt. 6 7 Do you recognize that exhibit? Yes, sir, I do. 8 Α 9 Does that contain your markings? Q 10 Α Yes, sir, it does. 11 Was that submitted to you in connection with Q 12 homicide? 13 Yes, sir, it was. Α 14 Q Hand you what has been marked as People's 38, 15 a light colored or beige bra. 16 Do you recognize that? 17 Α Yes, sir, I do. Was that submitted to you in connection with 18 Q 19 homicide? 20 Yes, sir, it was. Α 21 Hand you what has been marked as People's 22 Group Exhibit 7, a blue sweatshirt and blue sweatpants and ask you to look to see if you can recognize those 23 24 two exhibits?

1 Α Yes, sir, I recognize both of them. 2 And do those contain your markings? 3 Yes, sir. In the top panel of the sweatshirt Α 4 and the back panel of the pants are my initials. 5 On each of those exhibits that you have in front of you did you perform any tests on those 6 7 exhibits to determine if there was blood? 8 Yes, sir, I did. 9 Q And what did the test on each of those 10 exhibits reveal? They revealed that the reddish brown stains 11 12 which were visible on each of these exhibits were in 13 fact blood. 14 On those exhibits did you perform any tests to determine if the blood indeed was human blood? 15 16 Α Yes, sir, I did. 17 What were the results of those tests? 18 Those tests indicated that these reddish 19 brown stains were in fact human blood. 20 And were you able on each of those exhibits to perform genetic marker tests on each of the eleven 21 22 genetic markers? 23 Yes, sir, I was. Α 24 And based upon your findings of that

particular test what conclusion did you come to in comparing it to the blood of Lori Roscetti's?

A That on each of these exhibits I got all four -- excuse me, all eleven of the genetic markers and they were consistent with the blood taken from

- Q There were certain cuts made in the exhibits and lines or writing drawn around the cuts. Could you tell us were those cuts in the exhibits prior to your getting them?
 - A No, sir, they were not.
 - Q Who placed those there, if you know?
- A The actual cuts that are outlined in red have my initials next to them.
 - Q And did you place those there?
 - A Yes, sir, I did.

- Q And for what purpose did you make those cuts in the garments?
- A The purpose was to actually extract part of the stain out of the garment so that I could do the genetic marker testing.
- Q Did you also receive a plastic bag and a piece of concrete in connection with the homicide?

Yes, sir, I did. 2 And let me show you what -- let me mark for 3 this trial as People's Exhibit 22-B and 22-C for identification, a piece of concrete and a plastic bag. 4 5 Do you need that concrete withdrawn so that 6 you can observe? 7 Can I take it out? Α 8 Certainly. 9 Α Okay. 10 Q Can you tell us, do you recognize the piece 11 of concrete and the plastic bag? 12 Yes, sir, I do. 13 Q How are you able to recognize those items? 14 My initials are present on them. 15 Did you receive both of those in connection with the investigation of 16 homicide? 17 Yes, sir, I did. Α The plastic bag which is marked in front of 18 19 you, at the time that you received it was the black powder that is on it now present on the item? 20 21 No, sir, it was not. Α 22 And what did you notice on receipt of that item? 23 I noticed that there was reddish brown stains 24

1418

1

Α

present on the portions of the bag. 1 2 What did you -- Did you test that reddish brown stain to determine whether it was human blood? 3 Yes, sir, I did. And what did you determine? 5 I found that there was human blood present on 6 7 this bag. Were you able to make your genetic marker test in the eleven marker areas on that particular 9 10 exhibit? Yes, sir, I was. 11 And what did you determine in comparing the 12 results of that test to the blood of 13 I was able to determine that in all eleven 14 A genetic marker systems that the blood on this bag is 15 consistent with the blood taken from 16 Q The rock or piece of concrete that you have 17 in front of you when that object came to you what did 18 19 you observe on it? I observed that there was reddish brown 20 stains present on portions of this piece of concrete. 21 O And what did you do with that particular 22 exhibit? 23 I first went to determine if these reddish 24

similar and consistent with the blood taken from

1420

1 2 In the investigation into homicide did you receive four vials of blood marked 3 with the names Omar Saunders, Marcellius Bradford, 5 Larry and Calvin Ollins? 6 Yes, sir, I did. 7 I hand you what has been marked as People's Exhibit 25 for identification. 8 9 Can you tell us what that is, please? Yes, this was a vial of blood that I was 10 Α submitted from Marcellius Bradford. 11 12 And I hand you what has been marked as 13 People's Exhibit 26 for identification. 14 Can you tell us what that is, please? Yes, this was a vial of blood that I was 15 submitted from Larry Ollins. 16 17 I hand you what has been mark as People's Exhibit 27. 18 19 Can you tell us what that is, please? MR. ROYCE: Judge, objection, may I be heard, 20 please? 21 THE COURT: All right. 22 It might take a few seconds, whichever 23 MR. ROYCE: 24 is convenient.

THE COURT: All right.

2 (The following proceedings were had

out of the hearing of the jury.)

MR. ROYCE: Judge, my objection is as follows: That the purpose of this testimony is to attempt to corroborate the confession of the defendant.

In the confession of the defendant there is a reference to Larry and Marcellius but there is no reference to Omar Saunders. In fact there is a reference to a Daniel or Daniels.

I would object to any evidence concerning

Omar, Omar Saunders, from this witness for two

reasons: One, it does not -- it cannot be offered to

corroborate his confession; and, secondly, it is

hearsay as far as the confession and the activities of

the defendant is concerned.

The other two I would conceive perhaps there is some relevancy but the issue is to Omar Saunders I submit is not relevant to corroborate his confession which is the thrust of this. That is point one.

While we are here since they have gone into these other -- Well, I would ask a ruling on that if possible.

THE COURT: What is the State's position?

MR. O'BRIEN: Our position is simply the four persons arrested in connection with this case that we mentioned Omar Saunders' name in the opening statement and also different witnesses have mentioned Omar Saunders, including the stipulation that we have blood from him.

I would just ask to be allowed to have this witness testify that she received blood and tested it from Omar Saunders as opposed to having this Omar Saunders --

MR. ROYCE: Excuse me, may I ask that the state's attorney keep his voice down.

MR. O'BRIEN: Rather than have just the implication that we've got Omar Saunders' blood and didn't do anything with it.

MR. ROYCE: Could we please go in chambers.

THE COURT: I don't think that this is an important issue one way or the other. She testified that she checked all four. Simply explain in your closing arguments, if you want. I just can't see.

MR. ROYCE: I do think it's important because opening statements are not evidence.

THE COURT: I understand.

MR. ROYCE: All right.

1 Secondly, since this posture and the ruling of the Court, this witness examined numerous other 2 people, many, several of whom, several of whom I would 3 submit were consistent with the results of the spermatozoa and seminal fluid found. Since the Court 5 is permitting this type of testimony I would ask leave 6 7 to go into those matters because that would insinuate our theory that many other people have those 8 characteristics. 9 THE COURT: All right. You are not planning to go 10 into ninety-two? 11 12 MR. MENAKER: Absolutely, there are some 13 consistent. 14 THE COURT: I can't see any problem with that. 15 MR. ROYCE: All right. 16 (The following proceedings were had in the presence of the jury.) 17 MR. O'BRIEN: May I continue, Judge? 18 THE COURT: You may proceed, go ahead. 19 MR. O'BRIEN: O I hand you what has been marked 20

Did you receive that exhibit in connection with the case?

as People's Exhibit 27 which is Omar Saunders' blood.

A Yes, sir, I did.

21

22

23

1355

Q Your lab work when you receive a secretion
which you suspect to be semen what tests do you
perform on that secretion to determine whether in fact
tit is or isn't semen?

A There are two tests that we perform. One is a preliminary chemical test for the presence of semen which is called an acidphospho test. What this looks for it looks for the presence of acidphospho test which is found in high quantity of semen.

The second test that we actually do is a microscopic test where we make an extract from the suspected semen stain, put it on a microscope slide and we look for the presence of spermatozoa which would be visible in a semen stain.

- Q When you have a secretion which you in fact determine is both semen and it contains spermatozoa could you differentiate in that semen stain whether you are dealing with one or more depositors or donors of the stain?
 - A No, sir, you cannot.
- Q Did you receive three swabs from the Medical Examiner's Office concerning Lori Roscetti?
- A Yes, sir, I did.
 - Q I hand you what has been marked as People's

1 Group Exhibit 41 for identification. 2 Could you tell us, do you recognize that? 3 Yes, sir, I do. Α 4 How do you recognize that? 5 These were the vaginal, oral and rectal swabs 6 I was submitted. Again my initials are present on 7 each of these three cardboard containers. And were those vaginal, oral and rectal swabs 8 9 submitted by Medical Examiner's Office labeled as 10 Lori Roscetti? 11 Yes, sir, they were. 12 Did you perform any test on the oral and rectal swab for the presence of semen and spermatozoa? 13 14 Yes, sir, I did. Α 15 And what were the result of those tests 0 16 performed on the rectal and oral swab? They were negative for the presence of 17 spermatozoa and negative for the presence of semen. 18 Did you perform any tests on the vaginal swab 19 for the presence of semen and spermatozoa? 20 Yes, sir, I did. 21 22 What were the result of those tests? Those results indicated that the vaginal swab 23

was positive for the presence of spermatozoa and

1 positive for semen. 2 In a secretion such as semen can you also determine some genetic markers? 3 Yes, sir, you can. Α And what do the initials PGM stand for? 5 6 Α PGM stands for phospho-glucose-mutase. 7 Can you tell us what that is, please? Q PGM is an enzyme which is present in 8 9 everybody's blood. It is a genetic marker which you 10 again have different types and you again inherit your 11 type from your parents from birth. Are there sub or different number of types of 12 13 PGM within humans? 14 Yes, sir, there is. How many different types are there within the 15 16 PGM system? 17 Α There are ten different types within the PGM 18 system. 19 Did you perform on the vaginal swab from Lori Roscetti a test to determine whether the PGM 20 marker was on that vaginal swab? 21 Yes, sir, I did. 22 23 And was there a PGM marker on that vaginal 0

24

77/12

swab?

- A Yes, sir, there was.
- Q Did you perform a test for the PGM marker on the blood which was marked and given to you as coming from Calvin Ollins?
 - A Yes, sir, I did.
 - Q And did you determine Calvin Ollins' PGM type?
 - A Yes, sir, I did.
 - Q Did you compare the vaginal swab with the PGM marker or type to the PGM type or marker in Calvin Ollins' blood?
 - A Yes, I did.
 - Q And what conclusion did you come to as to that vaginal swab?
 - A I came to the conclusion that the PGM type of Calvin Ollins was consistent with the PGM type that I received on that vaginal swab.
 - Q And what conclusion did you come to with regard to the semen that you found on the vaginal swab and Calvin Ollins?
 - A I came to the conclusion that the semen present on that vaginal swab could have come from Calvin Ollins.
- MR. O'BRIEN: Judge, no further questions on

1 Direct Examination. 2 THE COURT: Cross-examination. 3 CROSS-EXAMINATION 4 ΒY MR. ROYCE: 5 MR. ROYCE: Thank you. 6 Q Ms. Fish, these tests that you have 7 discussed here this morning have they been in 8 existance for a considerable period of time in your 9 scientific community? 10 Α Yes, sir, they have. 11 If I might ask, for example, the PGM type 12 test has that been in existance for some time? 13 Yes, sir. Α 14 About how long? 15 PGM routinely done in the laboratory 16 probably, approximately, about fifteen to twenty 17 years. 18 And the ABO testing system, approximately how 19 long has that system been in existance? 20 Well, it was discovered back in the early Α 21 1900s so probably since then. 22 Are any of the tests that you conducted or 23 performed on the evidence or documents or equipment or

vials, are any of those tests newer than say the last

five years?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

21

22

24

Routinely in a forensic laboratory PGM sub typing has probably been recently put into a forensic laboratory but in terms of research purposes it has been around for many years.

- Many years? Q
- Α Yes.
- These are the tests that you rely on in conducting your examinations, is that correct?
 - That's correct. Α
- Now, the deceased in this case had an O type blood, is that correct?
- That's correct. Α
 - How many people in the -- strike that. Q What are the other types of blood?
- In the ABO blood group system? 16
- Yes, ma'am. 17 Q

Α

- There are four types of blood. You can be a Type A, a Type B, a Type AB or a Type O.
- And is it fair to say that the O type blood Q is present in approximately forty-three to fifty percent of the world's population?
- Approximately, yes, sir. 23 Α
 - Is that the most common type of blood?

- Q Is there another type of blood group system?
- A There are many blood group systems.
- Q Is the ABO the one that you rely on?
- A It's one of the ones that I rely on.
- Q Is that one of the ones that you relied on in this case?
 - A Yes, sir.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

- Q So, would it be fair to say that in the reliance that you have placed as far as this case is concerned the O grouping of blood is the most common of the ABO system?
 - A That's correct.
- Q All right. And in that forty-three to fifty percent of the population that has this O type blood is there any other typing that is possible to be done to further break down the categories of that blood?
 - A Yes, sir, there are.
 - Q And were those tests done in this case?
- 21 A Yes, sir, they were.
 - Q As a result of those tests that you conducted in this case did you determine that -- strike that.
- As a result of those tests that you conducted

In the rectal swab in this case you found no

1433

24

evidence of seminal fluid, is that right? 1 2 That's right. In the rectal swab you found no evidence of 3 seminal fluid? 4 5 Α That's correct. And in the oral swab? 6 0 7 That's correct. 8 Therefore your finding of seminal fluid in 9 the vaginal swab was the swab that you focused your 10 scientific testing upon for further evaluation, is 11 that correct? 12 That's correct. 13 Did you determine in the laboratory when you 14 received those swabs that the person who deposited the 15 the swab -- the vaginal -- strike that. 16 Did you determine the presence of seminal 17 fluid on that vaginal swab? Yes, sir, I did. 18 Α 19 Did you determine that the person who donated 0 that vaginal or semen or seminal fluid that resulted 20 21 on the vaginal swab was a secretor or non-secretor? 22 Α The person who determined --23 What genetic marker are we talking about?

On the vaginal swab did you reach any

1633

24

Q

1 conclusions as to whether or not the person who 2 donated that semen was a secretor or non-secretor? 3 I could not reach that conclusion. Α 4 0 Did you -- strike that. 5 Are there non-secretors? 6 Yes, sir. Α 7 What percentage of the male population are 8 secretors? 9 It depends upon if you're looking at the 10 black or the white population. 11 Q What percentage of the black population are 12 secretors? 13 Α Approximately fifty percent. 14 And what percent of the white population are 15 secretors? 16 Approximately eighty percent. 17 Can you tell from the blood, any blood 18 testing whether or not the blood is from a black 19 person or a white person? 20 In some cases you can; the majority you Α 21 cannot. 22 In this case could you tell whether the blood 23 tests that you conducted were from the black 24 population or the white population?

A No, sir, I could not.

Q And that included all the vials and the swabs

and all of the other evidence that you had you did not

determine whether or not it was from a black person or

a white person?

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

77.7 =

- Q So, the evidence that you received could have been from either?
 - A That's correct.

That's correct.

- Q Now, you knew, did you not -- strike that -- or did you know that the blood that you received from Calvin Ollins was a black person?
 - A Yes, sir, I did.
- Q All right. Did you know that the blood that you received from Lori Roscetti was from a white person?
- 17 A Yes, sir, I did.
 - Q By the way, can you distinguish between male and female?
 - A No, sir, I cannot.
 - Q So, with those known factors, that is to say, that the blood you received from Calvin Ollins and the blood and the evidence that you received from Lori Roscetti you knew scientifically as an individual

A That's correct.

- Q Had you not known that you could not have told the differences in this case whether it was white or black, is that right?
 - A That's correct.
- Q All right. Now, the vaginal swab which reveals the presence of seminal fluid did it indicate to you that it was from a person who was a secretor or a non-secretor?
 - A It could not indicate that to me.
- 12 Q It did not?
 - A It cannot indicate that to me.
- 14 Q The seminal fluid?
- 15 A No, sir, I cannot tell.
 - Q The sperm that was found in the vaginal swab did that indicate to you whether or not the person was a secretor or a non-secretor?
 - A We don't actually -- we are not testing the sperm that is present. We are testing the enzymes which are present and the antigens which are present.
 - Q Did you reach any conclusion as a result of any of the tests performed on the vaginal swab which was indicative that the sperm is from a person who is

1 a Type O secretor?
2 A No. sir.

A No, sir, I did not.

Q Did you have occasion to speak to
Officer Mercurio on approximately November 27, 1987,
concerning this case?

A I spoke with him many times, I am not too sure of the dates.

Q Did you say to him in a conversation that a test of the victim's blood revealed that she was a Type O non-secretor?

A Yes, sir.

Q And did you indicate that the sperm found in the victim was tested and the vaginal swab showed H-activity which is indicative that the sperm is from a person who is a Type O secretor?

A I indicated that H-activity was present on the swab.

Q Did you indicate that it was from a person who is a Type O secretor?

A That's one possibility. There are other possibilities.

Q Did you indicate to Officer Mercurio that the sperm was from a person who is a Type O secretor?

A I do not exactly recall if that was my exact

1 words. 2 Q Your science is exact, is it not, Ms. Fish? Yes, sir. 3 Α 4 Now, getting back to the secretor's status 5 did you determine from your examination of the blood 6 that you received from Calvin Ollins whether or not he 7 was indicative of a non-secretor? 8 From the blood sample I received from 9 Calvin Ollins I was not able to determine if he was a 10 secretor or not. 11 Are the terms 'indicative' and 'secretor 12 status' terms of art or terms that are used in the 13 ordinary means in the community? 14 Α I am not too sure I understand your question, 15 sorry. 16 Q What does in your opinion or in your parlance what does the word 'indicative' mean? 17 18 It means it's showing reactions similar to. Α

A Yes, sir, I did.

Q Did you submit that to the Chicago Police Department at sometime in this case?

result of your examination of Calvin Ollins' vial of

All right. Did you prepare a report as a

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q

blood?

1 A Yes, sir, I did.

- Q And is that a permanent report that is kept in the ordinary course of business in your profession?
 - A Yes, sir, it is.
 - Q Did you indicate in that report prepared on 20 October 1987 that you had conducted serological and biochemical examinations on the extracts of Calvin Ollins' blood which revealed the presence of human blood Type O?
 - A Yes, sir, I did.
 - Q And did it further indicate a genetic makeup of LE, parenthesis, A minus B minus?
 - A Yes, sir, it does.
 - Q And did you further indicate that that is not indicative of a secretor status?
 - A That is correct.
 - Q Now, did you further determine or reach any conclusions that the seminal fluids found in the vaginal swab was indicative of a secretor status?
 - A There were ABO blood type substances present in that vaginal swab. That means, of all the body fluids which are present in that vaginal swab someone who was a secretor had to deposit that ABO blood type substance.

in their semen, that is correct.

Q Secretor is a break -- strike that -- is a process of elimination -- strike that.

The use of secretor and non-secretor is a scientific means of excluding or including persons within the potential of donating that seminal fluid, isn't that right?

A It's not a very reliable one but it is sometimes used in paternity cases.

Q It is a factor that you considered sufficiently important at the time to include in your report, is it not?

A In terms of what in my report? I am not too sure I understand your question there either.

Q All right. You made an examination to determine whether or not the seminal fluid on the vaginal swab was from a person who was a secretor or a non-secretor, did you not?

A No, sir, I did not.

Q Did you make a note -- strike that.

47.53

រាឃភ្ន

23

Q

A

24

In the majority of cases, yes.

And you can rely upon that?

```
1
               In this case?
          Q
 2
               I am not too sure what you're trying to get
 3
      me to say.
               I am not trying to get you to say anything?
 4
 5
               I am not sure of the question, could you
 6
      repeat it.
 7
          MR. ROYCE: Could I ask that it be read back,
 8
      please?
          THE COURT: Sure. Read it back.
 9
10
                          (The record read back by reporter.)
11
          MR. ROYCE: Do you understand that?
12
               Yes, sir.
          Α
               Could you answer that?
13
          Q
14
               Yes, sir.
          Α
15
               Okay?
         Q
16
               The answer was yes, sir.
         Α
               You determined that -- strike that.
17
         Q
               You told Mercurio that the evidence was from
18
     a person who was a Type O secretor, did you not?
19
              It's one of the possible explanations I gave
20
         Α
     to Mercurio, I know I gave others.
21
              Do you -- did you understand that question?
22
         Q
              Yes, sir, I did.
23
         Α
24
              All right. Did you tell Mercurio that the
         Q
```

สพธดั

1 person who donated the sperm that was found on the 2 vaginal swab was a Type O secretor? One of the things I said to Mercurio. 3 4 Does that mean yes? 5 THE COURT: I think the question answers itself. I don't know where you're going. 6 7 MR. ROYCE: I'm going right now --8 THE COURT: All right, go ahead. 9 MR. ROYCE: Q Does that mean you did tell him that? 10 11 Not in those words. 12 Did you determine that Calvin Ollins' Type O 13 blood was that of a non-secretor? 14 No, sir, I did not. Α 15 Did you prepare a report which showed that 16 the activity of his Type O blood was indicative of 17 that of a non-secretor? 18 No, sir, I did not. 19 Q Did you prepare a report which indicated that the Calvin Ollins blood that you tested is not 20 21 indicative of a secretor status? 22 Yes, sir, I did. Α 23 And you relied upon that factor in your

1223

further examination of this case, did you not?

24

TWE

- A There was nothing to rely on in that factor.
- Q Did you conduct any further examinations after you made that conclusion on the Calvin Ollins blood?
- A I conducted some further examinations on the electrophoresis, yes.
- Q And did those conclusions confirm or disclude the secretor's status of Calvin Ollins' blood?
- A The further enzyme testing that I did on his blood has nothing to do with the secretor status.
- Q So, as far as your final report concerning the secretor's status of Calvin Ollins' blood is it correct that his blood is not indicative of a secretor status?
 - A That is correct.
 - O In the first --
- If I may turn just briefly to the rock that was found at the scene and submitted to you -- By the way, you don't know where any of these things were found, do you? I mean you weren't there?
 - A No, sir, I was not there.
- Q Your work is done in the laboratory at 11th and State?
 - A Yes, sir, it is.

And your examination of this rock did it 1 Q 2 reveal diffuse areas of blood? 3 A The area was actually concentrated in specific area but it was what I would call a diffused 4 5 blood stain, it did not have a pattern to it. 6 Does that mean that for us that it was not Q 7 covered with blood? 8 Yes, sir. 9 And it was in an area on the --10 May I approach? THE COURT: Yes, sure. 11 12 MR. ROYCE: Q It was on the top portion in this section (indication). 13 14 Well, it can't be marked but, for the record, 15 Judge, it appears to be on the space of approximately 16 three inches and then down approximately three inches 17 on this exhibit. Is that a fair evaluation of my observations? 18 Yes, the visible blood stains are present 19 20 there; correct, yes. Other than those visible blood stains in that 21 22 area did you examine the entire rock? 23 Α Yes, sir, I did.

Did you find any blood in any other portions?

10.5

1 Yes, sir, I did. Α 2 And were those small amounts of blood? That's correct, not visible to the eye. 3 Α Not even visible to the human eye but as a Q result of microscopic examination? 5 6 Yes, the actually preliminary chemical 7 testing that we do is very sensitive and will pick up small amounts of blood. 8 9 And those were in these little pores and 10 areas of the rock itself? 11 Over scattered areas of the rock itself, yes. 12 The ammo box, the tools -- strike that. 13 The results of this test did it reach -- did you reach any conclusions as to whether or not this 14 15 blood that was on here was from anyone other than the 16 deceased in this case? 17 No, sir, I did not. Α 18 And would it be your conclusion then that the blood that was found on this was in fact the deceased? 19 20 Α No, sir, I cannot say that. 21 I notice throughout your testimony and from 22 time to time you have used words that are not 23 conclusiary in nature. Is that a fair statement?

That is correct.

16

24

TW6 1

And is that because there is certain 1 2 inconclusive nature of your examination that cannot let you reach absolute conclusions? 3 Not exactly, no, sir. 5 Q Are you able to reach an absolute conclusion 6 as to whose blood is on there? 7 No, sir, I cannot do that. On the rock? 8 0 That's correct. 9 Α 10 In your Direct Examination you said that the 11 tests that you conducted of the blood grouping was consistent, I believe your words were, consistent with 12 13 coming from Calvin Ollins, is that right? 14 That's correct. Do you mean that you cannot exclude 15 Q Calvin Ollins from the blood group classification, 16 isn't that what you mean? 17 18 Α Yes, sir. What is the percentage of people who would be 19 0 20 included in that group? We need to get a little more specific. 21 22 group of what? Well, you have included Calvin Ollins in a 23

group that is consistent with the blood that you've

24

-776

examined in this case, is that right? 1 2 Α Correct. Is there a percentage that you can place upon 3 the group that he is included in? 4 Are you talking in terms of the percentage of 5 the population which could be included as contributing 6 7 the secretions to the vaginal swab? 8 0 Yes. Yes, I can do that. 9 Α 10 Q And what is that percentage? I think it is approximately thirty-seven 11 Α 12 percent. Of the population in the world? 13 Q That's correct. 14 Α And of the population in Cook County? 15 Q The statistics we use are statistics from the 16 17 United States. And thirty-seven percent of the population of 18 Q 19 Chicago? That's -- Chicago would be included in these 20 21 statistics. Q And that is what you had meant when you had 22 said that Calvin Ollins' blood is consistent with the 23

1 8.50

blood found at the scene?

24

TWEE

MR. O'BRIEN: Judge, objection, it is not the 1 blood found at the scene --2 3 MR. ROYCE: I withdraw the question. MR. O'BRIEN: It is the semen. 4 5 THE COURT: Okay. MR. ROYCE: Q And that is what you meant when you 6 7 said that his blood is consistent with the test 8 results that you received, that you obtained in this 9 examination, isn't that right? 10 That his genetic markers are consistent with 11 this body fluid that I found on the vaginal swab. 12 Q As well as thirty-seven percent of the population? 13 14 That's correct. Α 15 Of the United States? 16 Α That's correct. 17 Would it be fair to say then approximately four out of ten people fit this same group? 18 Approximately, sure. 19 MR. ROYCE: Nothing further. 20 MR. O'BRIEN: May I, Judge? 21 THE COURT: All right. Redirect. 22

23

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. O'BRIEN:

- Q When counsel is talking about what
 Calvin Ollins is consistent with that is the seminal
 fluid that you found from the vaginal swab marked came
 from the vaginal swab marked came
 - A That's correct.

Q And counsel says that you can't -- when you test this blood you can't say it is exactly from

You tested it in eleven genetic markers, is that correct?

- A That's correct.
- Q And each of those eleven genetic markers were they the same as the eleven genetic markers that you tested in blood?
 - A Yes, sir, they were.
- Q Can you determine whether Calvin Ollins is a secretor or non-secretor from his blood?
 - A No, sir, I cannot.
- Q And how many percent of the black population is that true?
- A That's approximately true in thirty percent of the black population.

1 Q Based upon your examination of Calvin Ollins' 2 blood in the genetic marker system and your vaginal swab which examination of 3 4 contained semen what did you conclude? 5 I concluded that his type is consistent with 6 the types that I found on that vaginal swab. 7 MR. O'BRIEN: No further questions, Judge. 8 RECROSS-EXAMINATION 9 BY MR. ROYCE: 10 Did you submit or put that in any police Q 11 report or, I'm sorry, any laboratory report that you 12 prepared in this case? 13 Α Put what? 14 That Calvin Ollins is consistent with that? 15 We reach no conclusions in our laboratory 16 reports. 17 Q Did you put anything in any report to that 18 effect? 19 No, sir, I did not. 20 But you did indicate that the blood from 21 Calvin Ollins was Type O which is not indicative of a 22 secretor status? 23 Which means that his blood Type O that I

cannot determine the secretor status from the blood.

1452

24

TWEG

1 /

And did you reach a conclusion in that case

24

Q

```
that preliminary chemical test for blood conducted on
  1
 2
      the extracts of Calvin Ollins' saliva yielded negative
      reactions, is that right?
 3
               That's correct.
 4
          Α
 5
               And is that because he was a non-secretor?
          0
 6
               No, sir.
          Α
 7
               Is that one of the possibilities that he was
 8
      a non-secretor?
 9
               No, sir.
10
          MR. ROYCE: Nothing further.
11
          MR. O'BRIEN: Judge, I have nothing further as to
12
      that.
13
          THE COURT: Thank you, you are excused.
14
          THE WITNESS: Thank you.
15
                                         (Witness excused.)
16
          THE COURT: Is your next witness going to be
17
     lengthy?
         MR. O'BRIEN: Yes, sir, he will be.
18
          THE COURT: Let's have the jury take a little
19
20
     rest.
21
               Short recess.
22
                               (A recess was taken.)
                      Bring the jury out.
23
          THE COURT:
                          (The following proceedings were had
24
```

1	in the presence of the jury.)
2	THE COURT: You may be seated.
3	Is the State ready to proceed with their next
4	witness?
5	MR. VELCICH: Yes, Judge. We would call
6	Raymond Lenz.
7	(Witness sworn.)
8	RAYMOND LENZ,
9	a witness called on behalf of the People, being
10	first duly sworn, was examined and testified as
11	follows:
12	DIRECT EXAMINATION
13	BY MR. VELCICH:
14	MR. VELCICH: Your Honor, may I proceed?
15	THE COURT: You may.
16	MR. VELCICH: Q Sir, would you please tell us
17	your full name and spell your last name?
18	A Raymond Lenz, L-e-n-z.
19	Q What is your occupation?
20	A I am employed as a criminalist at the Chicago
21	Police Department crime lab.
22	Q How long have you been working at the Chicago
23	Police Department crime laboratory?
24	A Oh, about ten years now.