DIRECT HYAMINATION

2 DIRECT EXAMINATION

- 3 BY MR. PASTOR:
- 4 Q. Ms. Golden, what is your occupation?
- 5 A. I am a forensic scientist with ReliaGene
- 6 Technologies, which is a private DNA analysis firm
- 7 here in New Orleans.
- 8 Q. And Ms. Golden, have you ever qualified as
- 9 an expert in any court?
- 10 A. Yes, I --
- MR. WOLFF:
- Your Honor, we would stipulate to
- Ms. Golden's expertise.
- 14 MR. PASTOR:
- In what field?
- 16 BY MR. PASTOR:
- 17 Q. What field are you qualified as an expert
- 18 in?
- 19 A. DNA analysis and molecular biology.
- 20 Q. DNA analysis.
- 21 THE COURT:
- Wait, wait.
- BY MR. PASTOR:
- 24 Q. Ms. Golden, --
- 25 THE COURT:
- Wait, Mr. Pastor. You interrupted
- her while she was --
- MR. PASTOR:
- Oh, I'm sorry. Did I?
- 30 THE COURT:
- 31 -- trying to give her qualifications.
- Would you please let the jury, give the

- benefit, tell the jury about --
- 2 MR. PASTOR:
- I thought they stipulated to them.
- 4 THE COURT:
- 5 Well, they stipulated, sure. They
- know, but the jury needs to know.
- 7 MR. PASTOR:
- 8 Okay. I'm sorry.
- 9 THE COURT:
- 10 All right.
- 11 BY MR. PASTOR:
- 12 Q. Please.
- 13 A. I'm an expert in DNA analysis and molecular
- 14 biology.
- 15 Q. And have you ever failed to qualify as an
- 16 expert?
- 17 A. No, I haven't.
- 18 Q. Okay. In this case, current case concerning
- the homicide on April the 5th, 1997, I believe,
- 20 did you happen to be contacted by anyone to do any
- 21 testing?
- 22 A. Yes. Our laboratory was contacted by the
- 23 Jefferson Parish Sheriff's Office to do DNA
- 24 analysis on several items which they had delivered
- 25 to us.
- Q. And who chose what items for you to examine?
- 27 A. I'm not sure. The Sheriff's Office
- 28 delivered them to us.
- 29 Q. Your company did not decide which items to
- 30 check?
- 31 A. That's correct.
- 32 Q. They gave you some items and said, "Test

- this one and test this one"?
- 2 A. That's correct.
- Q. What items did they send to you to be
- 4 checked?
- 5 A. They delivered two reference blood samples
- to compare against two items of evidence.
- 7 Q. Okay. And what were those referenced
- 8 samples, ma'am?
- 9 A. We received a reference blood sample of Ryan
- 10 Matthews and a reference blood sample of Travis
- 11 Hayes.
- 12 Q. Okay. And what were those samples?
- 13 A. What --
- 14 Q. What was it? Was it blood; was it hair?
- 15 A. Right. They were both blood samples.
- 16 Q. Both blood samples. And if you received
- 17 blood samples, can you test that to get someone's
- DNA, what do they call it, molecular scheme?
- 19 A. Profile.
- 20 Q. Profile.
- 21 A. Right. You --
- 22 Q. Just like --
- 23 A. Right. DNA is present in the nucleus of
- every cell of your body, so you can -- the DNA
- that you obtain from blood can be compared against
- 26 DNA that you have extracted from any other cell
- 27 from a piece of evidence.
- 28 Q. And, once again, you didn't pick out which
- 29 -- what did you compare their blood to?
- 30 A. We received a knit ski mask as well as a
- 31 separately packaged piece of tissue that was
- 32 labeled as coming from the ski mask.

- 1 Q. Okay. And do you know what that material
- was, that you received, besides the ski mask?
- 3 A. The tissue?
- 4 Q. Yeah.
- 5 A. No, only that it -- only how it was labeled.
- 6 Q. Okay. And did that material, not the ski
- 7 mask, the material that you received separately,
- 8 did that in fact contain human DNA?
- 9 A. Yes, it did.
- 10 Q. And did you test that and get its profile?
- 11 A. Yes, I did.
- 12 Q. And did you attempt to match that profile to
- the profiles of either Travis Hayes or Ryan
- 14 Matthews?
- 15 A. Yes, I compared it to both profiles.
- 16 Q. And what was the result of that?
- 17 A. Both Ryan Matthews and Travis Hayes were
- 18 excluded as donors of the tissue that was received
- 19 from Jefferson Parish Sheriff's Office.
- Q. And does that mean that they were not the
- ones who left that behind?
- 22 A. That's correct.
- Q. And there's no -- and that's no doubt about
- 24 that, is that correct?
- 25 A. There -- yes, there is no doubt that neither
- of those two individuals could have donated the
- 27 DNA profile that I found on that piece of
- 28 evidence.
- 29 Q. What's the percentage of error in your
- 30 testing?
- 31 A. To my knowledge, we have had zero errors.
- 32 Q. And once you've tested an article like that,

- is that the only one test done, or is there any
- 2 kind of quality control?
- 3 A. We have several quality controls that are
- 4 present throughout the testing process, among them
- is a negative control, which is a sample that is
- 6 processed only with the solutions and the reagents
- 7 and the plastics that we use. This sample should
- 8 give no result, and that indicates that we have
- 9 not, in the laboratory, or inadvertently
- 10 contaminated the sample with some foreign DNA that
- should not be there. The negative controls gave
- all the expected results in this case.
- In addition to that, we processed what's
- 14 called a positive control, and that is a known
- sample that we've processed along with all the
- evidence and the reference samples. This positive
- should give a result that we expect, otherwise,
- 18 it's an indication that the testing was not
- 19 performed properly. And all of the controls, the
- negative and the positive, as well as other
- controls, gave all of the expected results.
- 22 Q. And when the tissue that they gave you
- 23 didn't match, did you make any other attempt to
- see if any other part of that mask might contain
- DNA that would match either Travis Hayes or Ryan
- 26 Matthews?
- 27 A. Well, at the same time that we were
- 28 processing with tissue, we also examined the ski
- 29 mask for any unusual stains. Did not find
- anything unusual, but took a cutting from the
- 31 mouth area of the ski mask. Generally, when a
- person has worn something, clothes, shirts,

- jackets, something like a ski mask even, they're
- qoing to leave either epithelial cells or cells
- from saliva, possibly blood, hair, anything like
- 4 that, that contains DNA, behind. And we wanted to
- see if we could extract some DNA from the ski
- 6 mask.
- 7 So, we took a cutting from the mouth area,
- 8 proceeded with our testing and produced a genetic
- 9 profile, which we also compared to the two
- 10 reference samples.
- 11 Q. And that was human DNA that you found?
- 12 A. Yes, it was.
- 13 Q. In that sample you took?
- 14 A. Yes, it was.
- 15 Q. And so, you got a profile there as well?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. The same way you got a profile on the first
- material you had, now you had a profile of DNA
- 19 from the cutting from the mask, is that correct?
- 20 A. That's correct.
- Q. And did that match either Ryan Matthews or
- 22 Travis Hayes?
- 23 A. No, it did not. Both of the individuals
- were also excluded as donors of this profile.
- Q. Tell me this: If I wear a mask for like one
- or two days, and perspire and it'll get saliva on
- it, and then someone else takes that same mask and
- wears it and gets saliva and perspiration on it,
- and you test a piece that has a combination of my
- 30 perspiration and somebody else's perspiration, how
- 31 does your test reflect that?
- 32 A. Our testing will indicate if a particular

- 1 profile is a mixture of more than one
- 2 individual's. Each individual's DNA is
- 3 contributed to by your father, as well as your
- 4 mother, and each of these contributions is termed
- an allele. So, at all of the sites that we have
- looked at, one person should have at least one or
- 7 two alleles, but not more.
- 8 So, when we generated a profile that has
- 9 more than two alleles, that's an indication to us
- that we have a mixture of two different donors, at
- least two, possibly more, but at least two. So,
- the results that we obtained from the cutting of
- the mouth of the ski mask did show more than two
- alleles, and that indicates that it's at least two
- 15 donors in that profile.
- 16 Q. Can you compare Mr. Travis Hayes' and Ryan
- 17 Matthews' profile to both of those --
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. -- profiles?
- 20 A. Yes, you can.
- Q. So, the fact that there is two different
- 22 profiles does not preclude you from matching them
- to either one of those profiles, is that correct?
- 24 A. That's correct. You can still exclude
- 25 someone from being any part of the mixture.
- Q. Okay. And once you got your results, you
- 27 communicated those results to the Jefferson Parish
- 28 Sheriff's Office or the lab, whoever you talked
- 29 to?
- 30 A. That's true. We issued a report containing
- 31 all of our conclusions and the results.
- 32 Q. Did they ask you to examine any other part

- of that mask?
- 2 A. No, not after our initial testing.
- 3 Q. Now, tell me this: If I'm wearing a flannel
- shirt on a hot April night, what has been
- described as a hot April night, where policemen
- are wearing summer uniforms, t-shirts, because
- 7 it's so hot; if I'm wearing a flannel shirt such
- 8 as this one (indicating), and I'm running for over
- a block in it, is there a good chance that there
- will be some kind of, I don't know what you would
- call it, material, samples, sweat, hair, whatever,
- that would be transferred to this shirt either to
- the collar, underarms, any part of it that might
- 14 be tested, in order to see if it matches the
- 15 donor?
- 16 A. It's very common to find the DNA profile of
- the owner of a particular item of clothing on that
- 18 clothing, as a result of the sluffing off of skin
- 19 cells. Your epithelial cells on your skin all
- 20 have nuclei with DNA in it. All those areas that
- you described, the collars, the cuffs, those are
- 22 areas where you're likely to find the profile of
- the wearer of a piece of clothing.
- Q. Were you even aware that a shirt was
- recovered, that might have had that kind of
- 26 information on it?
- 27 A. No, I was not aware of it.
- Q. Did the Sheriff's Department or anybody from
- 29 the State of Louisiana, the District Attorney's
- office, anybody ask you to check the shirt to see
- if perhaps you could find some kind of profile on
- 32 here that might match Travis Hayes or Ryan

- 1 Matthews?
- 2 A. No.
- Q. If they would have, would you have done
- 4 that?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Okay. Now, let's say a glove, like this
- 7 (indicating).
- 8 MR. PASTOR:
- 9 May I approach the Witness, Your
- 10 Honor?
- 11 THE COURT:
- 12 You may.
- 13 BY MR. PASTOR:
- 14 Q. If someone was wearing this glove
- 15 (indicating), would you be able to attempt to
- analyze that, any part of that, to get the DNA
- 17 sample?
- 18 A. Sure. We can analyze all different sorts of
- 19 samples for a DNA profile.
- 20 Q. And once again, just because more than one
- 21 person may have worn that glove, would not
- 22 preclude you from getting DNA samples to match
- 23 against either Mr. Travis Hayes or Ryan Matthews,
- 24 would it?
- 25 A. That's correct. A mixture of DNA profiles
- does not interfere at all with the accuracy of the
- 27 results.
- 28 Q. And did anyone ask you to examine the glove?
- 29 A. No.
- 30 Q. When you perform tests, and you perform
- 31 tests for the State of Louisiana a lot, don't you?
- 32 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. And you perform tests for anyone who asks
- 2 you to perform tests, --
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. -- if they paid your fee?
- 5 A. Yes. As a private laboratory, we do work
- for the DA's office, the Sheriff's Office, for
- 7 private individuals, defense counsel.
- 8 Q. And when the DA matches a suspect, normally,
- 9 the State asks you to come in and testify about
- those tests, don't they?
- 11 A. Yes, that's true.
- 12 Q. And if they don't match, do they bother to
- 13 ask you to come in?
- 14 A. Not usually. I can't speculate about their
- 15 motives, but.
- 16 Q. Okay. And in this case, who eventually
- subpoenaed you to come testify in Court today?
- 18 A. You did, the Defense.
- 19 Q. And did I ask you to come in here and slant
- 20 your testimony toward Travis Hayes?
- 21 A. No.
- Q. If I would have, would you have done that?
- 23 A. No.
- Q. And you performed every test that you were
- 25 asked to perform, \--
- 26 A. Yes.
- 27 Q. -- is that correct?
- 28 A. That's correct.
- 29 Q. And the Jefferson Parish Sheriff's Office,
- and as far as you know, the District Attorney's
- office, is aware of what tests you performed?
- 32 A. Well, we issued our report to the Sheriff's

- Office, and how they disseminated that
- information, I can't be sure.
- 3 Q. Okay. But they were satisfied with your
- results and didn't ask you to go any further, is
- 5 that correct?
- 6 A. They didn't ask us to go any further.
- 7 Q. And once again, none of the samples from
- 8 that mask or the matter that was handed to you
- 9 ever came back to Travis Hayes, is that correct?
- 10 A. Neither of the evidence samples were
- 11 consistent with the reference sample from Travis
- 12 Hayes, that's true.
- 13 Q. And neither for Ryan Matthews, is that
- 14 correct?
- 15 A. That's also correct.
- 16 Q. Is there any doubt in your mind that this
- 17 separate sample that you were sent, whatever the
- matter it was that the JPSO said was found in the
- 19 cap, did not belong to Travis Hayes?
- 20 A. I'm not sure what you're asking. There is
- 21 no --
- 22 Q. You got --
- 23 A. There is no doubt in my mind that: Travis
- 24 Hayes is clearly excluded from the piece of tissue
- 25 that we received from Jefferson Parish Sheriff's
- 26 Office.
- 27 Q. And how about Ryan Matthews?
- 28 A. Also Ryan Matthews.
- 29 Q. And was there any indication from any tests
- you performed that would have linked either Travis
- 31 Hayes or Ryan Matthews to the mask or the tissue?
- 32 A. Not based on the tests we performed.

- 1 Q. Okay. Is there anything else the jury
- should know about -- I know very little about DNA,
- you know that. Is there anything else the jury
- 4 should know about your tests or whatever functions
- you performed in conjunction with this case?
- 6 A. I don't believe so.
- 7 Q. I'd like to know can you recognize, can you
- 8 identify this for me, please?
- 9 A. Yes, this is the report we issued to the
- 10 Sheriff's Office regarding this case.
- 11 Q. In this case?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And did you review this?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And the results in this test are basically
- the same thing that you're telling this jury
- 17 today, is that correct?
- 18 A. Yes, they are the same.
- MR. PASTOR:
- I want to mark this D-8, Judge. I'd
- 21 like to introduce it into the record as
- 22 well.
- MR. KENNEDY:
- That's fine.
- 25 THE COURT:
- 26 Any objection by the State?
- 27 MR. KENNEDY:
- No, sir.
- 29 THE COURT:
- D-8 is admitted.
- 31 BY MR. PASTOR:
- 32 Q. And if I'm correct, the report is the first

- 1 page, is that right, and these are the results?
- 2 A. Right. The whole thing is the report, and
- 3 that includes a list of the evidence we received
- and when, a table of the results, which are the
- 5 actual allele results that I described, as well as
- a page of verbal conclusions describing the fact
- 7 that the two individuals are excluded as donors.
- 8 Q. And the back of this is just, and the last
- 9 three pages are really your reference materials as
- to your expertise and your references and how you
- qualify as an expert and how your lab qualifies as
- 12 an expert, is that correct?
- 13 A. That's correct.
- 14 MR. PASTOR:
- I have no further questions. Thank
- 16 you. Answer the DA's questions.
- 17 * * * * *
- 18 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 19 BY MR. WOLFF:
- 20 Q. Good evening, Ms. Golden.
- 21 A. Good evening.
- 22 Q. The report that Counsel just marked as a
- Defense exhibit, that is a report that is sent to
- Detective Ralph Sacks at the Homicide Division, is
- that correct, Jefferson Parish Sheriff's Office
- 26 Homicide Division?
- 27 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 28 Q. Okay. Just so everybody's clear, are you
- 29 aware of the fact that Mr. Pastor came into
- 30 possession of that report through the District
- 31 Attorney's office?
- 32 A. No. I'm not aware of what happens to the

- report after we issue it to the agency that hires
- 2 us.
- 3 Q. Okay. So, you send it back to Detective
- 4 Sacks and from there, you really don't know what
- 5 happens to it, is that correct?
- 6 A. That's correct.
- 7 Q. Are you aware of the fact that Detective
- 8 Sacks, the lead homicide detective, has already
- 9 testified that he made your report a part of his
- 10 report, and he has already testified to your
- 11 findings; are you aware of that?
- 12 A. No, I'm not aware of that.
- 13 Q. Okay. Obviously not the detail that you've
- 14 testified to, but he has informed the jury that
- the samples obtained from the mask and the tissue
- 16 excluded Travis Hayes and Ryan Matthews as donors
- of those samples. I just want you to be aware of
- 18 that.
- I believe you testified that there were two
- samples that were tested, is that correct?
- 21 A. There were actually four samples that were
- tested, two of which were evidence and two of
- which were known reference samples obtained from
- 24 the two suspects.
- Q. The known reference samples being the blood
- 26 from the two suspects and the two reference
- 27 samples, or --
- 28 A. The reference samples are the known samples
- 29 from the two individuals and then the evidence
- 30 samples are the unknown samples from the ski mask.
- 31 Q. Okay. And the tissue was one piece of
- 32 evidence that you all analyzed, correct?

- 1 A. That's correct.
- 2 Q. And the tissue was -- you were able to
- obtain DNA or find DNA from the tissue sample,
- 4 correct?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. All right. And that was, how many donors
- 7 was on that tissue sample?
- 8 A. The tissue sample was consistent with a
- 9 single donor.
- 10 Q. Do you know where that tissue sample was
- 11 retrieved from?
- 12 Å. No.
- 13 Q. Do you know if it was retrieved from the
- outside of the mask or the inside of the mask?
- 15 A. No, I only know we received it separately
- 16 packaged and labeled as having been obtained from
- 17 the ski mask.
- 18 Q. Okay. How does DNA come to be on a sample
- 19 such as a piece of evidence such as a mask?
- 20 A. Well, there's a variety of ways. As I said
- 21 before, DNA is present in every nucleated cell of
- your body, which includes skin cells, blood cells,
- sperm. And so, at any time when there's any sort
- of a secretion on a substance, or sluffing off of
- cells, anything like that, you're going to
- 26 possibly leave DNA.
- Q. How do you store samples in the laboratory?
- 28 A. The laboratory is -- we have a freezer which
- is kept locked, that we store evidence in. This
- 30 freezer is kept in a forensic laboratory with
- 31 restricted access. This forensic laboratory is
- maintained within the entire facility, which is

- monitored by 24 hour security.
- 2 Q. Ms. Golden, you testified, I believe, that
- there were two samples -- there was a sample taken
- from the mask and that there were two donors
- 5 assigned to that?
- 6 Å. Yes.
- 7 Q. So, what does that tell you?
- 8 A. It's an indication that two people may have
- 9 possibly worn the mask.
- 10 Q. Okay.
- 11 A. That --
- 12 Q. Two people may have possibly worn the mask;
- does that mean that more than two people may have
- 14 worn the mask?
- 15 Å. It's possible that more than two people did.
- 16 It's at least two people left DNA on the mask.
- 17 Q. Okay. How is it possible that if all you
- have are two donors that you found on the mask,
- 19 that more than two people could have worn the
- 20 mask?
- 21 A. In order to detect DNA, you need to have a
- 22 certain minimum quantity present. And it's
- 23 possible that if someone wore the mask and did not
- leave sufficient number of cells present, that we
- 25 may not have detected it.
- Q. Okay. And I believe you testified that it
- is common for a person who owns a piece of
- 28 property, a ski mask, a flannel shirt, it would be
- 29 common for that person's DNA to be found on that
- 30 piece of clothing in some way, is that correct?
- 31 A. That's correct. Or when someone wears a
- 32 piece of clothing, to find DNA from that

- 1 individual on that item.
- 2 Q. And Mr. Pastor asked you if he wore a mask
- for two or three days and sweated and coughed and
- 4 sneezed and spit and things of that nature, you
- should find his DNA on a mask, is that correct?
- 6 A. Yeah, I would not be surprised to find that.
- 7 Q. What if Mr. Pastor wore a mask for a few
- 8 days and coughed and sneezed and spit and did all
- 9 sorts of nasty things that we people do, --
- 10 MR. PASTOR:
- I object, Your Honor, to doing all of
- those things.
- 13 (Laughter)
- 14 THE COURT:
- 15 Overruled.
- MR. WOLFF:
- 17 Everybody, except Mr. Pastor.
- 18 THE COURT:
- 19 Continue.
- 20 MR. WOLFF:
- 21 If I may --
- 22 THE COURT:
- Thank you. You woke everyone up.
- 24 MR. WOLFF:
- Thank you, Judge.
- 26 BY MR. WOLFF:
- 27 Q. And then, I wore the mask for a brief period
- of time, maybe a minute, then took the mask off
- and threw it, is my DNA necessarily going to be on
- 30 the mask?
- 31 A. Not necessarily. In a situation where
- 32 you're talking about wearing an item of clothing,

- 1 it's difficult to speculate whether or not you're
- going to find DNA from that person on there. It's
- yery likely and it does not surprise me at all,
- 4 because of what I've described. But, it's also
- 5 not necessarily true that you will find the DNA
- 6 there.
- 7 For example, if you wear the item for just a
- 8 short period of time or you're particularly
- 9 fastidiously clean, and you're not sluffing off a
- 10 lot of hair or skin, it's not necessarily true
- that you'll find that profile.
- 12 Q. And when you mentioned hair or skin,
- Mr. Pastor made it a point that you didn't decide
- which pieces of evidence to test, Jefferson Parish
- 15 Sheriff's Office made that decision, correct?
- 16 A. That's correct.
- 17 Q. But once this mask gets to you, who decides
- to take the sample out of that mouthpiece area?
- 19 A. The scientist doing the analysis.
- Q. Okay. And commonly, when you receive a
- 21 piece of evidence like a mask, what is the first
- thing that you're going to do, to determine if
- there's anything that should be tested?
- 24 A. Generally, we receive a piece of evidence
- like that, we're going to examine it for any
- unusual stains, maybe there's blood on it. If we
- find something unusual, then we're going to look
- specifically at those areas. On this particular
- 29 mask, it was examined and no unusual stains were
- noted, however common sense will tell you that if
- 31 someone's wearing the mask, there's likely to be
- 32 some DNA near the mouth area, which is why we

- 1 chose that area to test.
- 2 Q. So, if they're going to leave DNA, then that
- 3 would be a good place to look?
- 4 A. That would be a good place to look.
- 5 O. But you didn't find, or whoever -- you
- didn't examine this, right, somebody else did?
- 7 A. That's correct.
- 8 Q. Okay. This scientist that examined it,
- 9 obviously didn't find any suspect stains, nothing
- 10 unusual?
- 11 A. That's correct.
- 12 Q. Didn't find any blood?
- 13 A. Didn't find any noticeable blood.
- 14 Q. Didn't find any hair?
- 15 A. That's correct.
- 16 Q. I mean, hair would have been easy, right?
- 17 A. It's possible that there was some
- 18 microscopic hair that he missed, but there was no
- 19 visible hair.
- 20 Q. Okay. So, no hair that would be easily
- noticed by a scientist, so a scientist would say,
- "Okay, here's a piece of trace sample, so I'm
- going to test this"?
- 24 A. That's correct.
- 25 Q. The scientist pretty much looked at it and
- said, "Well, I don't see anything unusual, I'm
- going to take a shot, I'm going to do the mouth,"
- 28 correct?
- 29 A. Right. After some close examination, he
- made the determination to test the mouth area.
- 31 Q: Now, Ms. Golden, if there was DNA in the
- mouth area from at least two people, at least two

- people wore the mask, right? This DNA in the
- 2 mouth area that we did not see, that the
- 3 scientists did not see, how do I know or how does
- the jury know that there isn't DNA on other areas
- of this mask that also the scientist can't see?
- 6 A. It's possible that there's DNA on other
- 7 areas.
- 8 Q. So, it would be possible to check any number
- 9 of places on this mask and find any number of DNA
- specimens from any number of donors; would that be
- 11 correct?
- 12 A. It's possible to test many areas on the mask
- and what the results of that are, I can't
- speculate. But it's possible that there's DNA on
- the mask that we're not aware of.
- 16 Q. And, in fact, if somebody had just witnessed
- a shooting or robbery, a terrible scene, people
- running and firing shots and all, that person may
- 19 become excited and they perspire, correct?
- 20 A. That's correct.
- Q. And if that person who was perspiring picks
- up a mask, then DNA could be transferred from his
- perspiration to a mask, is that correct?
- 24 A. It's possible. Casual contact is less
- likely to transfer DNA than more routine wearing.
- Q. Okay, so casual contact. So, again, if
- somebody did not own the mask, but wore it for a
- 28 minute and then discarded it, never to come into
- 29 contact with it again, it would be less likely
- that his DNA would be on there than, say, somebody
- who actually owned the mask, is that correct?
- 32 A. It would be less likely, that's correct.

- 1 Q. And again, you'd need a threshold of how
- 2 many cells?
- A. It varies, but I would say about 50 cells is
- an adequate number to receive a good DNA profile.
- 5 Q. And let me ask you, I guess the key question
- 6 here, the most important question for this jury:
- 7 Do your test results, do your analysis exclude
- 8 Travis Hayes or Ryan Matthews as being somebody
- 9 who could have handled or actually worn this mask?
- 10 A. My test results exclude Ryan Matthews and
- 11 Travis Hayes as contributing to the DNA in the
- areas that we tested. Any additional DNA samples,
- 13 I can't say anything about.
- 14 Q. So, you can't say that Ryan Matthews never
- wore this mask, can you?
- 16 A. No, I cannot say that.
- 17 Q. And you can't say that Ryan Matthews never
- handled this mask, can you?
- 19 Å. No.
- Q. You can't say that Travis Hayes never wore
- this mask, can you?
- 22 A. That's correct.
- Q. You can't say that Travis Hayes never
- 24 handled this mask, can you?
- 25 A. That's correct.
- Q. If somebody is wearing a flannel shirt such
- 27 as this one (indicating), I believe Mr. Pastor
- asked you about the collar, if you would have
- 29 sweat or DNAs, or whatever, both, around the
- 30 collar. What if a person was wearing a t-shirt
- underneath and the flannel shirt was worn over the
- 32 t-shirt, but left open as some people do wear it;

- would it be less likely that the DNA would go, I
- guess, through the t-shirt into the collar, than
- 3 if somebody was just wearing the flannel shirt
- with no shirt underneath, if you follow what I'm
- 5 saying?
- 6 A. I follow what you're saying. That's a
- 7 difficult question to answer. It all boils down
- 8 to how much contact the individual's cells, which
- 9 of course contain the DNA, have with that item.
- 10 And so, anything like a t-shirt or any sort of
- inhibition to leaving yourselves on this item, is
- going to decrease the likelihood of getting a DNA
- 13 profile from it.
- 14 Q. And Ms. Golden, you can't tell me the age of
- 15 the stain of the DNA that was located in the
- mouthpiece of this mask, can you?
- 17 A. That's correct. I cannot tell the age.
- 18 Q. You can't tell if that DNA was placed there
- on April 5th, 1997 or April 5th, 1990, can you?
- 20 A. No, I can't.
- 21 Q. I mean, you all use -- you did PCR DNA,
- 22 right?
- 23 A. We did PCR analysis, that's correct.
- Q. Well, I'm sorry, PCR analysis. And is that
- 25 the same thing, the same type of analysis that's
- used on dinosaur bones and things like that, or am
- 27 I off track?
- 28 A. Yes, they do some PCR on ancient samples as
- 29 well.
- 30 Q. Okay.
- 31 MR. WOLFF:
- Thank you very much, Ms. Golden.

- 1 MR. PASTOR:
- I have a few more questions,
 - Ms. Golden.

4

- REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 6 BY MR. PASTOR:
- 7 Q. Mr. Wolff said if I put this mask on for a
- 8 minute and took it off, there would be less of a
- 9 chance of me putting DNA on it, is that correct?
- 10 A. That's -- if you put the mask on and take it
- off, there's --
- 12 Q. In a minute.
- 13 A. -- there's less likely that you're going to
- leave DNA than if you put the mask on and wear it
- 15 around for a day.
- 16 Q. Okay. What if I put it on and go into -- I
- don't want to put it on, but if I put it on and
- 18 came up to you and you and I had a violent
- 19 argument, and I'm cursing and I'm enraged and I'm
- 20 telling you, "Give it up. Give it up." And you
- don't give it up, and I tell you over and over
- 22 again, you and I are into a violent argument; is
- there more of a chance that I'm going to have spit
- on there and sweat on there, than if I just put it
- on for a minute and take it off?
- 26 A. Are you asking me if there's more of a
- 27 chance that there's going to be DNA there, --
- 28 Q. Yes.
- 29 A. -- as a result of the spitting and --
- 30 Q. Yeah, and the activity, and the
- 31 hyperactivity?
- 32 A. Yeah, I would guess yes.

- 1 Q. Much more so than the minute that Mr. Wolff
- would have you believe that this mask was worn, is
- 3 that correct?
- 4 A. Yes. If someone simply touches the mask,
- there's not as much of a chance of getting DNA as
- if someone is spitting or sweating or salivating
- 7 on the mask.
- 8 Q. So, if there's a ten minute confrontation,
- 9 there's a much better chance of DNA being
- transferred than the minute of putting the hat on,
- is that correct?
- 12 A. That's correct.
- 13 Q. If I'm wearing this glove, and I'm using it
- as a trigger, as a gun glove, and I'm using it for
- ten or fifteen minutes, there's a good chance I'm
- 16 going to transfer cells, skin cells, in the inside
- of this glove, isn't it?
- 18 A. I can't speculate on how good of a chance,
- but any time you have prolonged contact with the
- item, there's more of a chance.
- 21 Q. Now, tell me this: When Jefferson Parish
- 22 Sheriff's Office asked you to test this mask and
- whatever the tissue came from, because we were
- never given the benefit from anybody from JPSO --
- MR. WOLFF:
- Objection, Your Honor.
- MR. PASTOR:
- 28 -- to tell us --
- 29 MR. WOLFF:
- 30 Objection.
- 31 * * * * *
- 32 (Whereupon, the following colloquy was the

```
reported at the bench, outside of the hearing of
1
     the jury:)
3
     MR. WOLFF:
                  Judge, Mr. Pastor has been given
               everything that we have. He has had this
               report for months. To say, "We've never
               been given the benefit of what JPSO has,"
               that's --
     MR. PASTOR:
10
                   I'm asking her if she was ever given
11
12
               it. I'm asking her if she was ever given
               the benefit, from the JPSO, to know where
13
               that tissue came from, and she was. She
14
               was just given a tissue and saying,
15
               "Examine this."
16
     MR. WOLFF:
17
                   I believe the proper question would
18
19
               be to the Witness, "Do you know where the
20
               tissue came from?" He's saying "we"
               didn't know where the tissue came from.
21
22
      MR. PASTOR:
23
                   I'll rephrase.
      MR. WOLFF:
24
25
                   Meaning, Mr. Pastor --
26
      MR. PASTOR:
27
                   I'll rephrase.
28
      THE COURT:
29
                   Rephrase your question.
30
      MR. WOLFF:
31
                   You could have asked Detective Sacks.
```

(Whereupon, the bench conference was then

32

- 1 concluded.)
- 2 * * * * *
- 3 BY MR. PASTOR:
- 4 O. Did Jefferson Parish Sheriff's Office ever
- tell you where that tissue sample came from?
- 6 A. The outside of the envelope that we received
- 7 the tissue in is labeled --
- 8 Q. Uh-huh.
- 9 A. -- as removed from the blue side of ski
- 10 mask.
- 11 Q. And does it say that it's from the inside or
- 12 the outside?
- 13 A. No. It says, "Suspect's tissue removed from
- 14 blue side of ski mask."
- 15 Q. Tell me this, hypothetically, okay? I'm
- trying to get you to match certain items to a
- certain person, okay. And so, I give you one or
- 18 two samples first, and they come back negative to
- the person that I'm trying to identify it through,
- okay? Use that as my hypothesis, okay.
- 21 The more items I give you to test, that come
- up negative, let's say that I give you a shirt
- next and I ask you to check that and you find DNA
- in the collar or you find DNA in the underarms,
- from wearing a t-shirt, I stood like this and
- sweated in the underarms, or in the cuffs of the
- shift, right, because I think you were telling us
- that it could rub off there.
- 29 If you tested this, the collar and the
- underarms, and they also came back negative to the
- 31 person that I was asking you to test to, then,
- 32 there's more of a chance that that person wore

- either the shirt or the mask, is that correct?
- 2 A. I really couldn't speculate about that.
- 3 Q. Well, --
- 4 A. It --
- 5 Q. You're an expert, that's what you're here
- 6 for.
- 7 A. I am not an expert in investigating the
- 8 drime. I'm an expert in DNA analysis.
- 9 Q. The more positive samples you find on
- 10 clothing, the more chance it is that one person
- 11 wore all that clothing?
- 12 A. That's true.
- 13 Q. Is that true?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. All right. So, if you would have found DNA
- that matched Ryan Matthews or Travis Hayes on the
- mask and on the shirt and on the glove, then
- there's a real good chance that they had worn that
- 19 at some time or another, right?
- 20 A. That's correct.
- 21 Q. So, if I started getting nervous that I'm
- going to get the bad results, the best thing for
- 23 me to do is stop the testing?
- 24 MR. WOLFF:
- Objection, Your Honor. Speculation
- on this Witness' part.
- 27 MR. PASTOR:
- That's what experts do.
- 29 MR. WOLFF:
- 30 No. No, it's --
- 31 THE COURT:
- 32 Sustained.

- 1 BY MR. PASTOR:
- Q. And if you get something like a mask and you
- don't find any hairs or any stains, is it true
- that the next place most likely to find the sample
- 5 would be around the mouth area?
- 6 A. That's what I would say, yes.
- 7 Q. Yeah. And they, once the Sheriff's Office
- found out that none of these samples matched Ryan
- 9 Matthews or Travis Hayes, then they didn't ask you
- to do anything more, did they?
- 11 MR. WOLFF:
- Objection, relevance.
- MR. PASTOR:
- 14 It goes to lack of evidence, Your
- Honor.
- * * * *
- 17 (Whereupon, the following colloquy was then
- reported at the bench, outside of the hearing of
- 19 the jury:)
- 20 * * * * *
- MR. WOLFF:
- My objection is to relevance, Your
- Honor, and also, it's outside the scope
- of cross-examination.
- MR. PASTOR:
- If there are -- okay, I know you're
- 27 telling me to be quiet and --
- MR. WOLFF:
- I was just asking you to keep it down
- so the jury can't hear you.
- 31 MR. PASTOR:
- Well, I didn't know I was yelling. I

said if there are, let's say, three glasses with fingerprints on them and only one is tested, and the other two are not tested, and there was, let's say, three people at a party, and the Sheriff only decides to test one glass, and has the ability to test the other two glasses and doesn't, okay, then that, to me, shows a lack of evidence, which gives rise to reasonable doubt.

They had more material they could have tested. They had more things to try to link these people to these physical exhibits that they knew came from the perpetrators. I think the jury instruction is going to include that lack of evidence can also lead to reasonable doubt.

I'm trying to show that there was other evidence that they could have obtained, they chose not to, and that's lack of evidence.

MR. WOLFF:

And Your Honor, all of that is proper and fine for Mr. Pastor's closing argument, but it's got no place for redirect of this expert witness. It's not relevant to her testimony. It's outside the scope of cross-examination, and it's improper.

31 THE COURT:

I'm going to sustain the objection.

It is improper redirect. Certainly, you will be allowed to argue that in crossexamination and certainly, this Court's 3 jury charge will instruct the jury with regard to lack of evidence in their consideration of lack of evidence. 6 MR. PASTOR: 7 For the record, just note my 8 9 objection, Your Honor. 10 We're getting late. I'm about to wrap to it up. Can we get the Sheriff to 11 12 bring over Ryan Matthews just for the show up? I had wanted to -- I had 13 subpoenaed him. I had put an instanter 14 15 out for him and they said that they'll have him ready, that they could get him 16 over here in a minute, once he was 17 18 requested. THE COURT: 19 20 And you're almost finished with this 21 Witness? 22 MR. PASTOR: 23 Yes. 24 THE COURT: 25 And this will be your last witness? 26 MR. PASTOR: 27 Yes, other than that. 28 THE COURT: 29 Sure. I'll order my clerk to make 30 the call. 31 MR. KENNEDY:

389

Judge, for the purpose, we'd like to

32