1	A That I don't know. It was delivered to me
2	to be processed. I don't know where it was at
3	though.
4	MS. AUSTIN: Thank you. Your Honor,
5	have know further questions.
6	MR. KAISER: No redirect, Judge.
7	THE COURT: Thank you. You may step
8	down.
9	MR. KAISER: Again, your Honor, we'll
10	be little bit out of order. Next witness,
11	Melinda Jackson.
12	MELINDA JACKSON
13	Having been first duly sworn testified
14	as follows:
15	DIRECT EXAMINATION.
16	BY MR. KAISER:
17	Q Ms. Jackson, what do you do for a living?
18	A I'm a laboratory scientist for the Michigan
19	State Police in the forensic division at Sterling
20	Heights laboratory.
21	Q How long you been so employed?
22	A 12 years.
23	Q Precisely what type of science do you
24	practice and participate in at the crime lab?
25	A Responsible for the analysis of whatever

- 1 . comes into the laboratory that involves body fluids,
- 2 ° blood, semen, saliva, persspiration and also hair
- 3 ° comparisons.
- 4 . Q And did you receive any training, both
- 5 ° formal, in terms of your education before you started
- 6 ° with the State Police as well as any subsequent to
- 7 ' your employment with the State Police?
  - 8 ° A Yes, I have.
- 9 ° Q Would you give the Court a brief
- 10 ° description of what the training consist of?
- 11 ' A A Batchelor of Science from Michigan State
- 12 ° University in criminalistics, with a major in medical
- 13 ° technology. Upon joining the State Police I
- 14 ' completed a year's training program in serology,
- 15 ° which is a study of body fluids, and two years
- 16 ' training program in hair comparisons. I have also
- 17 ° received training from outside agencies, such as the
- 18 \* Macon Research Institute in Chicago, Serological
- 19 ' Institute in California, and also the FBI.
- 20 ° Q And let's, in terms of blood and hair
- 21 ° comparisons, have you been doing that the whole
- 22 ° period of time you have worked with the State police?
- 23 ° A Blood and serology the whole time and hair
- 24 ° comparisons for the last nine years.
- 25 ° MR. KAISER: Your Honor, I will be

asking this witness for some opinions regarding some 1 scientific evidence in this matter. I don't know if Counsel wants to ask any further background questions before I proceed with my direct exam.

5 MS. AUSTIN: I reserve, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

MR. KAISER: Thank you, Judge.

(Mr. Kaiser, continuing): Like to ask you whether or not you did some scientific analysis in a 10 ° matter regarding an alleged sexual assault involved 11 \* with a victim identified as a Annett Ventimiglia and a suspect described as Nathaniel Hatchett?

Yes, I did. 13

14 What types of analysis or comparisons did you do in that investigation?

> May I refer to my report? A

Certainly, if that will refresh your

18 ' memory.

6

7

8

12

16

17

21

23

19 ° Yes, it would. A

Go ahead. 20 0

A Okay. I received evidence back in November 22 ° of 1996, which was a evidence collection packet, and o in it contained several items which I did analysis on 24 ° to detect the presence of semen and also to see if 25 ' there are any foreign hairs present. Also in the kit

- 1 \* was a blood sample which I went ahead and did a blood 2 \* typing on.
- Q Would you be able to tell the Court whether
  or not the analysis you just described came from
  People's Proposed Exhibit 12?
- A Yes, it did. I recognize the property tag

  number that corresponds to my report and also it has

  our laboratory number assigned to this case as well

  as my initials.
- MR. KAISER: It might be -- it's

  11 premature. I don't know if Counsel has objection to

  12 Exhibit 12, but I will make the motion now.
- MS. AUSTIN: Your Honor, may I reserve

  14 my decision until the witness is questioned? You're

  15 proposing it at this time; is that correct? You're

  16 not trying to offer it as an Exhibit at this time?
- MR. KAISER: Well, yes, I guess I am.

  18 'It can be the Court's ruling it can be reserved if it

  19 'choices because -- may I confer with Counsel?
- 20 ° THE COURT: Sure.
- 21 ° MS. AUSTIN: No objection.
- 22 ° THE COURT: Court will receive Exhibit
- 23 ° 12 in evidence.
- 24 ° Q (Mr. Kaiser, continuing): Describe what
- 25 ° this is other than you already have. Think you

- 1 ° called it an Evidence Collection Kit. Can you be 2 ° more specific?
- A This is a kit that was distributed by the

  State Police, and it is for use on victims of alleged

  sexual assaults and it is used at hospitals to

  collect samples for the purpose of examination by the

  remarks to
- 8 ° Q What you did with this after the initial 9 ° time that you received this was just regarding the 10 ° blood?
- A No, I also checked the swab and smears for
  the presence of semen or seminal fluids, and I did
  receive some head hair combed and I looked for
  possible foreign hairs among those.
  - Q What were the results of those searches?

15 °

- A Again, if I can refer to the results of the report? I detected the presence of semen on the vaginal swab and smears, on black pants and underpants. I did not detect any foreign hair to the victim and I went ahead and typed the blood sample.
- 21 ° Q Thank you. Did you ever do anything with 22 ° People's Proposed 1?
- 23 A Yes. This was, again, Evidence Collection
  24 Kit that came into the laboratory in November of
  25 1996. I recognize it by the property tag number that

1 ° corresponds to my report as well as my laboratory number and my initials. 3 Q First of all, can you be more specific in terms of what that consist of; what that is that 5 ° you're holding? 6 This is the Evidence Collection Kit. 7 ° this particular case it was used to collect known samples from the suspect. 9 Is his name on there anywhere? 10 ° Yes, it does. On the property tag it says 11 ' samples from Nathaniel Hackett. Q By the way, I don't think I asked you, how 12 ° is is People's 12 identified in terms of who those 13 ° 14 ° samples came from? 15 A It says the victims name, 16 ° Thank you. Now, what did you do -- let me 17 ° 18 ° ask Counsel if there is any objection to the 19 ° admission of 1, which was previously testified to but 20 ° not admitted. 21 MS. AUSTIN: No objection, your Honor. 22 THE COURT: Court will receive that item. 23 (Mr. Kaiser, continuing): You don't mind 24

25 ° if I leave it here few minutes, if you have to refer.

- 1 ' What did you do, if anything, with the contents of
- 2 ° People's 1?
- 3 ° A In that particular case I went ahead and
- 4 ° collected blood samples. I typed the blood sample to
- 5 ° determine SAVO blood type as as well as secreter
- 6 ° status and I went ahead and looked at the known hair
- 7 ' samples.
- 8 ° Q How about People's Proposed 5, can you tell
- 9 ' the Court anything about that exhibit?
- 10 ° A This would be the envelope that I generated
- 11 ° in the laboratory, and inside it contains hair
- 12 ° samples that I mounted and in glass slides for the
- 13 \* purpose of comparison.
- 14 ° Q And can you tell the Court how many hair
- 15 ' samples were there on those slides?
- 16 ° A There are three glass slides present. One
- 17 ° is labeled head hair from suspect, pubic from suspect
- 18 ° and passenger floor.
- 19 ° Q Can you tell the Court where each of those
- 20 ° came from, and why don't you stick with the same
- 21 ' identification that you just referred to them on the
- 22 ° record. So it would be head hair?
- 23 ° A Yes. Head hair sample would have been the
- 24 ° hair that was collected in this kit, the known
- 25 ° samples?

- 1 Q People's 1? Yes, from the suspect as well as the pubic 2 hair would have also been collected out of the known 3 samples from this kit. 5 Which again this kit you mean, People's 1? A Yes. 6 7 0 Okay. 8 A And the passenger floor was the hair 9 . collected from the passenger floor, was hair that was collected out of the evidence that was received by 10 ° myself from the Sterling Heights Police Department. 11 12 0 And would that have come out of the 13 contents of People's 3? 14 Yes, that would be correct. 15 You can put the three slides back in 5. 0 And these two, to this point, have not been admitted. 17 ° I will move their admission now. 18 MS. AUSTIN: No objection. 19 THE COURT: Court will receive Exhibit 20 ° number 5 into evidence. 21 (Mr. Kaiser, continuing): Now, could you 22 tell the Court, these are the things among others
- that you analyzed during the course of your 23 investigation in this case; is that right? 24 ° 25 A Yes.

Q What findings did you have, if any? Let's 1 begin with any serological findings? 2 °

Okay. I did detect the presence of semen 3 on the vaginal swab, on the under pants and black pants. Samples were collected and store frozen at 5 ° our laboratory. I went ahead and typed the blood sample from the victim and suspect. Would you like those results? 8

Q Yes, please.

9

16

17 °

19 °

21 °

10 ' I went ahead and typed those for the AVO 11 ' blood type. There are four blood types, blood type 12 A, blood type B, blood type A-B and blood type O. In both cases the victim and suspect were both blood 13 type B. I went ahead and determined their secreter 14 15 ° status.

> Q What does that mean?

Secreter is going to be an individual who A 18 ° exhibits their AVO blood type in their other body fluids. For example, saliva, persperation, semen and 20 'vaginal secretion. 80 percent of the population are secreters. On an individuals who is a non-secreter, 22 ° he is one that does not exhibit their blood type in 23 ' their body fluids. In this particular case both 24 ° victim and suspect were secreters. It was then that 25 ' I determined that because they were both the same

 blood type and they were both secreters, rather than use the sample for blood typing it would be better to send the sample to DNA unit for further identification.

- So your conclusion, at least at that point absent a DNA analysis, you could not say anything significant about your findings regarding the fluid?
  - A Correct.

5

6

7

8

- And what about the hairs?
- 10 In regards to the hair samples, I again 11 . received some brown paper bag that contained various ' debri and dirt from areas of the vehicle, I believe, 12 and I went ahead and did analysis on the hairs that 13 ° 14 ' were collected from those.
- If I could interrupt, and tell the Court little bit about what kind of analysis you do on 16 ° 17 ' hairs to start the process of making a comparison?
- First of all hair samples are collected, 18 19 . and again in most cases you have known samples from both individuals involved and any other people that 20 could be involved also. You go ahead and classify 21 the known samples, as far as racial origin, body 22 origin, whether it's from the head area, pubic area, 24 and then go ahead and do a classification using 25 ° microscopic techniques whereby we look at about 13

- 1 ° different characteristics that are found with a --
- 2 ° within a hair under a microscope. Once those are
- 3 ° classified, any hairs that are similar to the known
- 4 ° are placed under a comparison microscope, and what a
- 5 ° comparison michroscope is, it has two stages on the
- 6 ° microscope and it has a split screen, and you're able
- 7 \* to put your unknown hair and known hair under the
- 8 \* same field of view and do a comparison to see if they
- 9 are similar in all respects, from the root to the
- 10 ° tip, and whether it's possible that it could have
- 11 ° originated from that particular source or not.
- 12 ° Q So you first classify them as to race and
- 13 ° part of the body that the hair came from?
- 14 ° A Correct.
- 15 ° Q And then looking at more particularized
- 16 ' factors in the hair to come up with whether or not
- 17 ° it's similar or not?
- 18 ° A Correct.
- 19 ° Q And what is the significance of the word
- 20 ' similar that you're using as you testify?
- 21 ° A The hair would have to be, to contain the
- 22 ° same characteristics. The unknown hair would have to
- 23 ° contain the same characteristics as the known hair in
- 24 ° order to say it was similar and could have
- 25 ° originated. Again, it is not an identification.

- 1 ° With hairs, you can not identify an individual to a
- 2 . hair but you can say that it's similar to that
- 3 ° individual known sample.
- 4 ° Q If the 13, or how many factors were there
- 5 \* that you go into in greater depth?
- 6 ' A Probably 13 to 16. It depends on the type
- 7 ° of hair and how many characteristics are within a
- 8 ' hair. Not everybody has the same characteristics
- 9 ° within their hair.
- 10 ° Q If the known and unknown don't have a
- 11 ° matching of those 13 or 16 then you say it did not
- 12 ° come from the same person?
- 13 ° A Correct, it could not have come.
- 14 ° Q You can exclude some?
- 15 ° A Definitely.
- 16 ° Q And you only say they are similar if there
- 17 ° is a match of all the factors?
- 18 ° A Yes.
- 19 ° Q What was the finding, if any, you made
- 20 ' regarding the hairs that you compared that were in
- 21 ' People's 5, the three slides you mentioned?
- 22 ° A In that particular instance I was able to
- 23 ' determine that there was one pubic hair collected
- 24 ° from the passenger floor that had similar
- 25 ° characteristics to the known pubic hair of Nathaniel

Hatchett and could have originated from that source.

Q When we have fingerprint experts on the stand they always say it's certain, there's nobody 3 else that could have it. When you have DNA experts on the stand you get some degree of certainty, not as of as fingerprints, but they try to get close?

Yes.

14

20

21

22

24

I don't know if you understand where I'm going, but is there any language or description you can give the Court at all in terms of number in the 11 ' population or any types of characteristics or \* statistical language regarding when you find • similarity when you compare hairs?

No. Unfortunately there is not, and for A the reason being there has never been any study where \* people's hair have been collected, classified and 17 ° identified using the characteristics. Whereby with 18 ° DNA we actually know the number of people because we 19 ' have blood samples that are drawn from individuals and they can generate footprints. There has never been a study, just comparison.

Q And studies exist even with blood typing and Rh factors. For example, the B positive you can say 11 percent of the population has such a blood 25 ° type or 11 or 13 percent, whatever it is?

		5.
1		A Yes.
2		Q Same with the other blood types and the Rh
3		factors and the secreter factors also?
4		A Yes, they all come with statistics because
5	0	they're generated on people's actual blood types.
6		Q Other than the two analysis that you have
7		described so far, the three I guess, were there any
8		others that you did with scientific evidence you
9		received in this examination?
10		A No, there was not.
11		Q Was there any other conclusions that you
12	0	reached other than those you described regarding
13		materials that were submitted to you for analysis?
14		A No.
15		MR. KAISER: That's all I have,
16	0	Judge. Thank you.
17		THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Kaiser.
18		Ms. Austin?
19		If I might interrupt you for another
20		short arraignment. But get started.
21		MS. AUSTIN: Thank you.
22		CROSS EXAMINATON
23	0	BY MS. AUSTIN:
	0	

Good afternoon, Ms. Jackson.

Good afternoon.

24

1		Q I have some questions to ask you about your
2		analysis. I'm going to go slow. If I mis-state
3	•	something please correct me. All right?
4	0	A Okay.
5		MR. KAISER: Court wanting to break?
6	•	THE COURT: Why don't I so I don't get
7	0	you messed up in your train of thought.
8		(Proceedings in short recess)
9		
10		
11		
12	0	
13	0	
14		
15		
16		
17	0	
18	6	
19		
20	0	
21	0	
22	0	

- 53 THE COURT: You may continue, Ms. 1 2 . Austin, on cross. (Ms. Austin, continuing): Ms. Jackson? 0 A Yes. 5 You indicated on direct testimony that \* there were several analysis that you did; is that 6 ° correct, with the evidence that you got in this case? 7 8 Yes your testimony was that the first analysis was an analysis of the blood samples? 9 10 ° A Known blood sample. Q And when you say known blood samples, what 11 ° 12 ° do you mean known? 13 A Known samples would be blood samples 14 ° collected from the individual directly. Q And your known blood samples that you had 15 " were from who? 17 ° A I received one known blood sample 18 ° originally in the first we had in the collection kit 19 ° from the victim. That would have been the first, 20 ° then subsequently I received a blood sample in an 21 ° evidence collection kit from the suspect.
- 22 ° Q And your analysis was these two blood 23 ° samples?
- 24 ° A Yes.
- Q You indicated both the victim and the 25 °

- 1 ' Defendant had like blood; is that correct?
  - A They had the same ABO blood type.
- Q Now, because both of those individuals had the same blood type you indicated that this did not
- 5 include anything for you in terms of your analysis;
- 6 ° is that correct?

- 7 \* A Well, at that point in time, actually it's
- 8 ° State Police policy that all sexual assault cases,
- 9 ' once items are collected and blood samples are
- 10 \* submitted, the case goes to the DNA lab for further
- 11 analysis rather than going ahead and using samples
- 12 ° for ABO blood typing which will not get you a very
- 13 ° good percent as compared to DNA typing.
- 14 ° Q So what you did was to send the blood
- 15 ' samples on to the State lab for DNA analysis?
- 16 ° A Yes, along with the collected samples, the
- 17 'known seminal stains from the vaginal swab as well as
- 18 ' the underpants.
- 19 ° Q Would you say that again?
- 20 ° A In order to do a comparison you need to
- 21 ° have the unknown samples, which would be considered
- 22 ' the vaginal swab in this case and the underpants,
- 23 ' those that contain the seminal fluid to compare to
- 24 ° the known blood samples.
- 25 . Q I would like to repeat that for you just to

- be sure my notes are correct. Your unknown samples

  would be the swab from the vagina area and pants?

  A Correct, underpants.
  - Q Underpants?
- 5 ° A Yes.
- Q And the known sample that went came from the Defendant, Mr. Hatchett, and from the victim?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 ° Q Okay. So that was sent on to the crime
- 10 ° lab?
- ll ' A Yes.
- 12 ° Q Now, you indicated that your second
  13 ° analysis was the secreter status. That correct?
- 14 ° A Yes.
- 15 ° Q Now, could you detail for me what is
  16 ° included or involved in this secreter status
  17 ° analysis?
- A Yes. That would be the determination of

  what is called antigen that is present on a red blood

  cell, and that antigen determines whether or not

  you're going to exhibit your ABO blood type in your

  other body fluids besides your blood.
- 23 ° Q And what was your finding in this analysis?
- 24 ° A Both individuals were secreters.
- 25 ° Q And because both individuals were secreters

- 1 ° were you able to draw any conclusion from the
- 2 · analysis?
- 3 ° A Again, the samples were sent for DNA. It
- 4 ° was not necessary to go ahead and run the vaginal
- 5 ° swab to determine what blood type could be present on
- 6 ° them.
- 7 ° Q And this was to show whether this blood
- 8 ' type that they both had could be shown in other
- 9 ° bodily secretions other than the blood?
- 10 ' A Correct.
- 11 ° Q Now, we get to the third analysis, that's
- 12 ' the hair samples; is that correct?
- 13 ° A Correct.
- 14 ° Q And the hair samples that you showed us
- 15 ° earlier were on glass slides; is that correct?
- 16 ° A Yes, the one that I did a comparison on.
- 17 ° Q Were others provided to you?
- 18 ° A I received samples that were collected from
- 19 ° the vehicle, however, those were not similar to
- 20 ° either the victim or the suspect. Now I did not ever
- 21 ' receive known pubic hairs from the victim so it's
- 22 ° possible that there may be hairs within those samples
- 23 ° that are similar to the victim but I did not know
- 24 ° what her known pubic hairs looked like.
- 25 ° Q Because you did not have a known sample?

- 1 . A Correct. I had no -- I had no head hairs
  2 . from the victim, correct.
- 3 ° Q So there were other hairs that were 4 ° presented to you but you did not test?
- 5 ° A Correct.
- Q Let's talk about -- there was one hair from the Defendant's pubic area that you did test; is that correct?
- 9 . A That was collected from the passenger floor
  10 . that was identified to me as collected from the
  11 . passenger floor, yes.
- 12 ° Q Okay. Now your direct testimony said, and
  13 ° correct me if I'm wrong, that that hair could have
  14 ° originated from the Defendant. Was that your
  15 ° testimony?
- 16 ° A Yes.
- 17 ° Q When you say could have, and you don't have 18 ° my statistical probability; is that correct?
- 19 ° A Correct, not with hair comparisons.
- 20 ° Q Could it have come from some person other
- 21 ° than the Defendant?
- 22 ° A It would have to be of the negro race, and 23 ° from a pubic area it is possible.
- 24 ° Q So your testimony is that the pubic hair 25 ° that was submitted to you, even though it could be

- 1 \* the Defendant's hair it could have been someone
- 2 ' else's hair; is that correct, of the negro race?
- 3 ° A It is possible, yes. Again, hair 4 ° comparisons are not an identification.
- 5 ° Q Okay. Let's restate that. Hair
  6 ° comparisons are not identification?
- 7 . A No. You cannot identify an individual by 8 . their hair like you can with fingerprints.
- 9 Q So while the hair could have belonged to 10 the Defendant it could have belonged to millions of 11 other people?
- A I wouldn't say millions because again hair

  comparisions, the purpose of doing them is for

  evidential reasons for inclusion and exclusion and

  there are many, many differences. I wouldn't say

  millions but it's possible that it could be from

  another individual with the exact they would have

  to have the same characteristics that were present in

  his sample as well as the unknown sample.
- 20 ° Q When you say they would have to have the 21 ° same characteristics, there is 13 to 16 factors that 22 ° you spoke about on direct?
- 23 ° A Correct.
- 24 ° Q So your testimony is that it is possible 25 ° that the hair could come from someone other than the

1		Defendant?	5.
2	0	A That's correct.	
3		MS. AUSTIN: I have no further	
4		questions.	
5		MR. KAISER: No Redirect.	
6	0	THE COURT: Thank you.	
7			
8		Having been first duly sworn testified	
9		as follows:	
10	0	THE COURT: We'll have you take a seat.	
11		I know this won't be easy but we need to	
12		have you keep your voice up as much as	
13		possible. If you need a break at some point	
14	0	just let me know.	
15	0	THE WITNESS: Thank you.	
16	0	THE COURT: Mr. Kaiser?	
17	0	MR. KAISER: Thank you, Judge.	
18		DIRECT EXAMINATION	
19		BY MR. KAISER:	
20	0	Q I notice as you walked up to the witness	
21		stand you were carrying a stenographer's note pad	or
22	0	some notes. Would you be sure if you need to look	at
23	0	something, whether it's there or anywhere else, you	2
24		tell us you're going to do that?	
25	0	A Okay.	

Okay.