

to him. They gave him his warnings twice, once orally and then once again later verbally -- written, I mean -- and in the body of the statement, the confession.

Once again you have the credibility issue. You have Detective Karcewski and Detective Saville telling you what happened on the thirteenth, how they proceeded, who suggested what, that they didn't suggest anything about the Tampax, that they didn't suggest the magazine, the light spinning, they didn't suggest the pillow in the [redacted] case or the struggle or the floor or any of that. And then the defendant turns around and he says no -- he said -- first he said they suggested it. Then later -- your recollection controls not mine -- later he said that no, I guess, and they didn't suggest.

Well, if he were guessing, he was guessing pretty darn good if you look at all of those factors. In fact, he should have been guessing about the daily number, whatever that lottery thing is, because that's a mathematically impossibility, I would suggest to you, that somebody could guess that often and that frequently and come up right.

Oh, pillow -- well, it could have been a chair -- well, I guessed pillow. I was right. Oh, light, magazine, I guessed book. I was right with

Hednar, magazine. I was right. Light was on. I guessed it was on. I could have been off but I was right.

That's mathematically an impossibility. I would suggest, for him to guess correctly all that information. So the Commonwealth says that no, he didn't guess. He confessed to the crime because he committed the crime. He didn't want to admit to it initially but finally he did. It was emotional for him. He told the police the truth and he told him that he did commit the crimes.

And that's not even looking at the high degree of similarity between the two crimes -- doggie style, same entry, same exit, same type of words being spoken, the odor of alcohol on his breath, the descriptions and the composite within the abilities of a human being to be accurate.

So the Commonwealth would ask you to return a verdict of guilty for both of these offenses and suggests very strongly that the evidence is more than sufficient and well beyond a reasonable doubt. Thank you very much.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Carpenter.

(This concludes the excerpt.)

- - -