JAMES CURTIS GILES

1	Whereupon,	
2		FRED E. MILLIGAN,
3	called as a witness	by the Defendant, having been duly swor
4	by the Court to tes	tify to the truth, the whole truth and
5	nothing but the tru	th, was examined and testified as follows
6		DIRECT EXAMINATION
7	BY MR. FITZGERALD:	
8	Q	State your full name, sir.
9	а.	Fred E. Milligan.
10	Q	How old are you?
11	A.	I am thirty-nine.
12	Q.	And you are a family man?
13	A.	
14	Q.	Children?
15	A.	
16	Q	How are you employed?
17	Α.	I am a police officer with the Dallas
18	Police Department.	
19	Q.	How long have you been so employed?
20	Α.	Sixteen years.
21	Q.	And are you in any particular section
22	today?	
23	A.	Yes, sir.
24	Ω.	What division?
25	a.	I am an investigator with the Physical

1	Evidence Section of the Identification Division.
2	Q And how long have you been so employed:
3	A. I have got nine years in that bureau.
4	Q Now, that is the bureau you are in to-
5	day, is it not?
6	A Yes, sir.
7	Q And in your capacity in that bureau,
8	is it your responsibility to go to the scene of offenses
9	and preserve and protect evidence for future possible use
10	in criminal trials?
11	A. Yes, sir.
12	Q Now, may I ask you if you had occasion
13	on August 1, 1982, to go to the location of the Susan and
14	David Law apartment in North Dallas?
15	A. Yes, sir.
16	Q And about what time did you arrive at
17	that location?
18	A. I arrived there at approximately 1:00
19	A.M.
20	And when you arrived there, were you
21	directed to Apartment 107?
22	A. Yes, sir.
23	Q And did all of your activities in your
24	professional capacity revolve around that apartment?
25	A. Yes, sir.

1	Q When you entered that apartment, what
2	did you have in mind to do?
3	A I went in you mean exactly what I
4	did?
5	Q Yes, what did you do?
6	A. I went in and first off I looked the
7	scene over. First prior to that, though, I talked to the
8	officer at the scene, found out what had happened. Then I
9	looked the scene over, and after I had done that, I photo-
10	graphed the scene. Then I started to processing various
11	different items in the apartment for fingerprints.
12	Q All right, sir. Did you have occasion
13	to find any fingerprints?
14	A. Yes, sir.
15	Q What kind of fingerprints did you find
16	what type?
17	A. I don't understand the question in re-
18	gard to the type.
19	Q Where did you find the fingerprints?
20	A. I found some fingerprints on an ash
21	tray and also on a telephone and on a plastic cardholder
22	that was in a billfold.
23	Q That was in a billfold?
24	A. Yes, the type that goes in a billfold.
25	Q It wasn't in it, you are saying it

1	could go in it?
2	A. Yes, the type that goes in one.
3	Q. Okay. What else?
4	A. That is the only thing I got any
5	comparable fingerprints from.
6	Q Let me show you what we have had marke
7	as Defendant's Exhibits 2 through 7 and ask you whether
8	these are the photographs that you had occasion to take
9	at the scene, six?
.10	A. Yes, sir.
11	Q All right. And you said you took
12	fingerprints off of an ash tray?
13	A. Yes, sir.
14	Q Is that shown in the photographs?
15	A. Yes, sir.
16	Q Would you step off the witness stand
17	and show us where it is?
18	A. (The witness complies.)
19	Q Would you stand over here, sir, and
20	just point to it for the jury?
21	A It is in this photograph here. There
22	is one on the floor here right behind this couch area.
23	Q Okay. You mentioned a telephone.
24	A. Yes, it is also in the photo. It is
25	the phone that is sitting over here on the couch.

1	Q	All right. Is that the same telephone
2	that was ripped out	of the wall or cut or extracted from
3	its mooring?	
4	A.	I don't recall exactly how.
5	Q	It wasn't connected?
6	A.	It wasn't connected.
7	Q.	And you said a plastic cardholder?
8	A.	Yes, it is here on this couch among
9	these items here th	at is one the couch, laying up there
10	where a bunch of th	at stuff was.
11	Q.	Okay. Now, you didn't find any other
12	fingerprints; is th	at right?
13	A .	No, sir, not none comparable.
14	0.	Is the type of fingerprints that you
15	found called a late	nt fingerprint?
16	A.	Yes, sir.
17		MR. FITZGERALD: May we stipulate that
18	this gent	leman is an expert qualified witness or
19	do you wa	nt me to qualify him?
20		MR. O'CONNOR: We may so stipulate.
21		THE COURT: Does the Defendant himself
22	personalî	y agree to that stipulation?
23		THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
24		THE COURT: Go ahead.
25	BY MR. FITZGERALD:	

1	Q	would you explain what a latent finger
2	print is?	
3	A.	A latent fingerprint is an unknown
4	print. It is one	that a person places upon another object
5	that can be visib	ly seen without some type of means of
6	either chemical of	r powder to bring that print out so it
7	can be seen. (Sic	
8	Q	You then have to dust the object to
9	see whether somet	hing is there?
.10	A.	Yes, sir.
11	Q.	You just don't wander around. If you
12	can't see it, you	have got to put something on it?
13	А.	Yes, sir.
14	Q.	Did you do that in each area of the
15	apartment that yo	u believed might have a fingerprint of
16	some nature on it	2
17	А.	I processed various different items
18	within those two	rooms of that apartment.
19	Q	So the answer is, "Yes?"
20	A.	Yes.
21	۵	All right. The fingerprints that you
22	lifted off the te	lephone, how many prints did you actually
23	obtain?	
24	A.	I don't recall exactly how man. It
25	was on one of the	cards a little three by five card

1	that we placed the latent prints on. To say there are
2	three or four prints on that one card is hard. I don't
3	recall exactly how many different prints on that one card
4	there would be.
5	Q Let me ask you this: You talked to
6	me for the first time a few minutes ago, didn't you?
7	A Yes, sir.
8	Q You were asked to come down here by
9	the prosecution, weren't you?
10	A. Yes, sir.
11	Q I didn't subpoena you, did I?
12	A. No, sir.
13	Q. You have talked to Mr. O'Connor, haven
14	you?
15	A. Yes, sir.
16	Q You know that your presence was asked
17	down here in conjunction with the trial of James Giles,
18	don't you?
19	A. Yes, sir.
20	Q. Did you have occasion to review your
21	records so you would be in a position to testify about the
22	fingerprints?
23	A. Yes, six.
24	Q Can you give me an idea as to how many
25	prints actually were deposited on that telephone?

1	A No, I don't recall exactly how many
2	prints other than the lift was made and placed on a card.
3	Q. And did you make an effort thereafter
4	to was there enough there to compare later with the
5	prints of another individual to see if they matched?
6	A Yes, sir.
7	Q Did you in fact match them or attempt
8	to match them with those fingerprints of James Giles?
9	A Yes, sir.
10	Q Did they match?
11	A. No, sir.
12	O The prints that were on the telephone,
13	can you tell whether they were from the right or left hand
14	A. No, sir.
15	Can you tell, if there was more than
16	one print, whether they were necessarily all made by one
17	person?
18	A. No, sir,
19	Q Did you compare the prints on the
20	phone with the prints of the occupants of the apartment?
21	A. No, sir.
22	Q So you don't know whether they belong
23	to Susan Law or David Law?
24	A. No, sir.
25	Q With regard to the prints on the ash

1	tray and the plastic cardholder, were they sufficient to
2	enable you to attempt to match them to another identifiable
3	set of fingerprints?
4	A. Yes, sir.
5	Q And did you attempt to match those
6	also with those of James Giles, the Defendant?
7	A. Yes, sir.
8	Q And did they match?
9	A. No, sir.
10	Q Were there any fingerprints lifted
11	from that Apartment 107 belonging to were
12	there any fingerprints lifted there from that apartment
13	belonging to James Giles?
14	A Not to my knowledge, sir.
15	Q Who is responsible for taking items
16	out of the apartment which might be evidence in a case?
17	For instance, if there is a rape and it happens on a blank
18	or a sleeping bag, would you be the one to direct it be
19	taken or would someone else?
20	A I would.
21	Q Did ever indicate to you
22	did you talk to her, by the way?
23	A. No. sir.
24	Q Do you know whether an indication was
25	ever made by her that the very dress that she was wearing

1	when she returned to the apartment that night was placed
2	on the ground and that she was sexually abused on top of
3	that dress?
4	A. I did not know about that, sir.
5	Q You did take the sleeping bag, though,
6	didn't you, or order it to be taken that was on top of
7	the bed?
8	A. Something on top of the bed I took.
9	Q. Okay. Now, have I covered substan-
.10	tially what your investigation has yielded?
. 11	A. Yes, sir.
12	MR. FITZGERALD: Pass the witness.
13	CROSS-EXAMINATION
14	BY MR. O'CONNOR:
15	Q Officer Milligan, did you try to get
16	some other prints or try to lift latent prints from other
17	objects there in the house?
18	A. Yes, sir.
19	Q. What other objects?
. 20	A. There was the I don't recall exactly
21	all of the objects, but there was an object laying on the
22	couch on the coffee table.
23	Do you recall anything in the bedroom,
24	the stereo and dresser, perhaps?
25	A Yes, I processed the some stuff in

there -- the dresser drawers and the top of the dresser. 1 What about entrances and exits from 2 the house, door knobs and that type of thing? 3 The door facing, I processed on that 4 back door. 5 And were you able to lift any prints from those objects? 7 No comparable prints. 8 Is that unusual for you to go to a 9 crime scene and not be able to lift comparable prints from 10 objects? 11 Not very unusual, no. A. 12 Would it be fair to say that any time 13 you go to a crime scene and attempt to lift prints, that 14 you are going to be able to lift some prints that somebody 15 has left at a location? 16 Not all the time, sir. 17 The prints that you were able to lift 18 from the objects you were able to lift the prints from, 19 you have no idea who those belong to; is that correct? 20 No, I do not know. A. 21 They could have belonged to 22 Q or some neighbor down the street or they 23 could have belonged to virtually anybody, as far as you 24 know, except not to Giles which you compared them with, 25

20

21

22

23

24

25

correct?

Correct.

Would you describe just briefly the process of taking latent prints from an object, specifical ly a telephone?

I would take the suspected item and place a powder substance on it by using a brush. I would take the brush and place it in the powder and then take the brush and place it on the object lightly and the powder itself would adhere to the latents if it has some on it. Then I would look at the latent, take a transparant tape, place it on the latent, lift it off the object and then place it on a three by five contrasting colored card.

All right, officer. Do you recall -well, strike that and let me ask you this: You mentioned the term "comparable prints." What makes a print a comparable print?

A comparable print is a latent print that has enough points of comparison on it to establish that it belongs to a certain individual.

Would it be fair to say, officer, that when you dusted the telephone for prints -- you testified earlier you were able to find some comparable prints. you also find some noncomparable prints?

> Yes, sir. A.

1	Q Smudges and that type of thing?
2	A. Yes, sir.
3	Q Did you is there any way to compare
4	partial prints or smudged prints, that type of thing, with
5	the normal prints of a person and in any way determine if
6	they were made by the same person?
7	A. There is no way, to my knowledge, that
8	it can be done.
9	Q And you did when you dusted that
10	telephone, did you find some prints that were not compar-
11	able and some smudges other types of prints besides the
12	ones you were able to lift; is that correct? (Sic)
13	A There was other smudges and stuff on
14	it.
15	G So would it be a fair statement that
16	somebody could have handled that phone and you would not
17	be able to lift their prints from it?
18	A. It is possible.
19	Q Would it be unusual for that to happen
20	A No. sir.
21	Q So it would be totally within the real
22	of possibility and would happen what percentage of the
23	time would if you are able to give a percentage of the
24	time would you say you are able to lift a print from an
25	object like that?

A higher percentage I would say. You know, in my personal experience, it is around sixty to seventy percent of the time that you will not get any comparable prints off of an object.

Milligan, would it also be a fair statement that when you compared the prints that you lifted with the prints you were told were from a man named James Giles -- would it be a fair statement that the person that made the prints that you were told were James Giles* prints that you compared with the ones you lifted -- let me strike that and let me rephrase it. Would it be possible for somebody to have made prints on the telephone or on other objects in that house and you were just not able to pick them up?

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q Would that be unusual at all?
- A No. sir.
- Q Would that be even more probable, based upon your experience, than the probability that you would be able to --

MR. FITZGERALD: To which we object.

That calls for speculation, not boils down to
this case.

THE COURT: Read it back, Mr. Hardy.

1	(Whereupon, the last question
2	was read back by the Court
3	Reporter.)
4	THE COURT: I will sustain it right
5	
6	there.
7	MR. O'CONNOR: I believe I rephrased
8	the question after that, but I will rephrase it
9	again.
10	BY MR. O'CONNOR:
11	Q Officer Milligan, is it possible, if
12	not probable, that James Giles or some person could have
13	been in an apartment on August 1, 1982, and left prints
14	that you were not able to pick up?
15	A Yes, sir.
16	MR. O'CONNOR: That is all I have.
17	THE COURT: Anything further of this
	witness?
18	MR. FITZGERAID: No. sdr.
19	
20	THE COURT: May he be excused?
21	MR. O'CONNOR: No objection.
22	MR. FITZGERALD: Let me ask him anothe
23	question.
24	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
25	BY MR. FITZGERALD:

1	Q. How r	many smudges did you lift off of
2	the telephone?	
3	A. I do	n't know. I don't have the cards
4	with me, sir.	
5	Q. You!	have no idea?
6	A. No.	sir.
7	a But	you do know that you did definitely
8	lifft several latent finge	erprints from the objects there
9	and that they definitely	did not belong to Mr. Giles?
10		COURT: Isn't that repetitious?
11	` 	FITZGERALD: Yes, but he didn't
12	·	
13		JARVIS: We will object.
:14.		FITZGERALD: No further questions.
15		O'CONNOR: No questions.
16		COURT: Thank you.
17		ETTOGENIUM; MG MTTT CCTT ME.
18 19		
20		en de la companya de
21		The state of the s
22		
23		
24		
25	5	

1	Whereupon,	
2	G. R. ROBINSON,	
3	called as a witness by the Defendant, having been duly	
4	sworn by the Court to testify to the truth, the whole	
5	truth and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified	
6	as follows:	
7	DIRECT EXAMINATION	
8	BY MR. FITZGERALD:	
9	Q. Would you state your name, please, sir	
10	A. G. R. Robinson.	
11	Q And how are you employed?	
12	A. Investigator with the Dallas Police	
13	Department.	
14	Q How long have you been so employed?	
15	A. Twenty years.	
16	Q. To what section are you assigned?	
- 17 ,	A. Crimes Against Persons Section.	
18	Q Did you have occasion to have a very	
19	limited contact in the investigation in this case, sir?	
20	A. Yes, sir, I did.	
21	Q. Was there a Mrs. Hovey who was the in-	
22	vestigator primarily assigned to the case?	
23	A. Yes, sir.	
24	Q. Did she have occasion to ask you to	
25	present certain photographs to and and ?	

1	A.	Yes, she did.
2	Q.	Do you remember the date?
3	A.	No, sir, It was in October of last
4	year but I don't k	now the date.
5	Q	Could it have been the latter part of
6	August, in the neig	phborhood of August 27, 1982?
7	A.	I am really not sure, sir. I was
8	working evenings.	
9	Q.	Do you have any records down here that
10	could help us with	the date?
11	A.	No, sir, I don't.
12	Q.	Were you requested to show certain
13	photographs to the	
14	A.	Yes, sir, I was.
15	0	And did you do that at the Courthouse?
16	A.	I did it at the Police and Courts
17	Building, down at	our office.
18	0.	And were both of them present when
19	they were shown?	
20	λ.	Yes, sir, they were.
21	Q.	And who went through the photographs
22	first?	
23	λ.	Mr. C.
24	C.	And did you tell him anything before
25	he looked at them?	

1	A Nothing except to look through there
2	and see if he saw anyone he recognized.
3	Q. Did he do that?
4	A. He looked through them.
5	Q. How did he look through them?
6	A. He just went through them and looked
7	at them one at a time.
8	Q Did he identify anybody positively
9	as the person who raped his wife?
10	A. No, sir, he did not.
.11	Q Did he hand his wife the photographs
. 12	or you the photographs?
13	A. He handed them to his wife.
14	Q. What was his wife doing as he was look
15	ing at the photographs?
16	A. She was sitting on the other side of
17	the room.
18	Q Was she looking at him or away from
19	him or within earshot?
20	A. No, I instructed her to look the other
21	way.
22	Q So she was what, ten feet away from
23	him?
24	A I wouldn't think quite that far. Prob
25	ably maybe more like six or seven feet.

1	Okay. And then what	did you do?
2	2 Well, I watched Mrs.	go through
з	3 the pictures.	
4	4 And how did she do t	hat?
5	A. One at a time.	
6	6 Did she hesitate at	any time over any
ź	photograph?	
8	A. Yes, she did.	
9	9 Q. Which one?	
.10	10 A. Of the Defendant Gil	es.
11	11 Q All right. And did	she look through
12	all of them?	
13	13 A. Yes, sir, she did.	
14	Q Did you direct her t	co look through all
15	of them when she hesitated?	
16	16 A. No, I didn't.	
17	17 Q Your instructions, 1	take it, were
18	18 simply, "Look through those photographs	and see if you
19	19 recognize the person or persons involved	i in the criminal
20	20 offense?"	
21	21 A. My instructions were	to look through
22	22 the pictures and see if she saw anyone s	she recognized.
23	23 Q She picked out Mr. C	Giles' photograph,
24	24 did she not?	
25	25 A Yes, she did.	

1	Q. Do	you recall any statement being made
2	by Mr. after she di	ld that with regard to the identifi-
3	cation of Mr. Giles?	
4	A. The	only thing I can remember him say-
5	ing is something like,	"I couldn't be sure."
6	Q. Who	said that?
7	We a	
8		am going to show you State's Ex-
9	hibits 1 through 6, 6 h	seing Mr. Giles' photograph, sir,
10		
11		s, it is.
12		I assume that you didn't have the
13		photographs after you did the
14		ou give them back to Mrs. Hovey?
15		s, sir, I did.
16		You didn't preserve them one way
17	or the other?	
18	A. No.	, sir.
19	Q Wox	ald you recognize that as one of
20	the photos that were sh	nown?
21	A. Yes	s, sir.
22	Q Hov	w about the rest of them? Do you
23	have an idea one way or	r the other?
24	4 A. II	have no idea.
25	5 MR.	. FITZGERALD: Pass the witness.

THE COURT: Anything further? MR. O'CONNOR: I think just maybe one or two questions, Your Honor. 3 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. O'CONNOR: Mr. Robinson, do you recall anything 6 else Mr. said when he -- well, when he handed -- when handed the photographs to and after she completed her view of them and picked one out, you said 9 you heard him say that he just couldn't be sure. Do you 10 remember anything else about the conversation? 11 No. I don't. 12 To your knowledge, you don't remember 13 him saying anything about, "Well, I thought that was him," 14 or, "I picked that one, too, but I just wasn't sure," or 15 anything like that? 16 I don't distinctly remember anything 17 like that. 18 Was it your understanding that Mr. 19 in your investigation of the case, during most of the 20 commission of the offense was prone on the floor with his 21 face down? 22 Yes, sir. 23 MR. FITZGERALD: To which we object 24 unless he has personal knowledge, Your Honor. 25

1	THE COURT: Sustained.
2	BY MR. O'CONNOR:
3	Q When was looking through the
4	photos that you showed her, were you watching her?
5	A. Yes, sir, I was.
6	Q Did you notice any expression on her
7	face or any unusual activity on her part when she came
8	across the photo that she identified?
9	A. Yes, when she got to Mr. Giles' picture
10	she stopped and looked at it longer than the others and
11	she had what I would consider a look of recognition.
12	Q. And then did she continue to go through
13	the other photos?
14	A. Yes, she did.
15	Q When she did say, "That photograph is
16	him. I recognize this one, was there any hesitation in
17	her voice about that?
18	A. No, there wasn't.
19	Q To your knowledge, was there any prior
20	relationships existing between the person she identified
21	and herself?
22	A. Not that I know of.
23	MR. O'CONNOR: That is all. Thank you.
24	THE COURT: May he be excused?
25	MR. O'CONNOR: No objection.