Cowans, Stephan

Dennis Le Blanc, fingerprint technician with the Boston Police Department (pp. 2-62) Rosemary McLaughlin, latent print examiner with the Boston Police Department (pp. 63-91)

1	A	That's what she stated.
2		MR. DILDAY: I have nothing further.
3		MR. COFFEY: No further questions.
4		THE COURT: You may step down. Thank
5		you.
6		THE WITNESS: Thank you.
7		MR. COFFEY: Dennis LeBlanc. Police
8		Officer Dennis LeBlanc.
9		
10		DENNIS LE BLANC, Sworn
11		DIRECT EXAMINATION
12	Q	(By Mr. Coffey) Good afternoon, sir.
13	A	Good afternoon.
14	Q	Could you tell us your name, spelling your last
15	.'	name for us?
16	A	Officer Dennis E. LeBlanc. That's
17		L-e-B-l-a-n-c.
18	Q	Where are you employed, sir?
19	· А	As a Boston police officer.
20	Q	And what is your function in the Boston Police
21		Department?
22	A	I am assigned to the Identification Unit. I am
23		a fingerprint technician and a police
24		photographer.

Q And, as a member of the Identification Unit, what are your duties and responsibilities, sir?

1.4

- A I fingerprint and photograph prisoners. I fingerprint and photograph crime scenes. I fingerprint latent prints. I photograph latent prints. I photograph crime scenes and I search for latent prints. I photograph autopsies, and I fingerprint dead bodies for the purposes of identification. I also verify prisoners over our fingerprint computer out of the Identification Unit.
- Now, could you just take us through your training, your background, and your experience relative to your role as a latent print person in the Identification Unit?
- A I have been assigned to the Boston Police Latent
 Print Unit since 1989. I have been trained by
 the FBI in a forty-hour course in basic
 fingerprints. I have been trained by the FBI in
 a forty-hour course in advanced latent prints.
 I have also been trained by the FBI in a fortyhour course in search for crime scene evidence
 and the preservation of that evidence. I have
 been trained in photography also by the FBI.

1 Q Have you testified in various courts throughout the Commonwealth? 2 3 Α Yes, I have. Q And would that include Suffolk Superior as well-4 as other Superior Courts? 5 Α Yes, it does. 6 7 You have also testified out of state concerning Q 8 your role as a latent print person in the Identification Unit? 9 Yes, I have. 10 Α How many prints have you compared during your 11 Q 12 time down in the Identification Unit, 13 approximately? 14 Α In the thousands. 15 Can you tell us what a fingerprint is, exactly? Q How would you define a fingerprint? 16 There are three major classifications of a 17 Α fingerprint. First we have the latent prints. 18 That is a fingerprint that is left on an object. 19 This fingerprint can be seen with the naked eye 20 or it cannot be seen. If it can't be seen, I 21 22 can use certain processes to make that 23 fingerprint seen by the naked eye.

The second print is a -- this print

can be left in g	grease, butter, dust, dirt, and
also blood. And	the third fingerprint is the
inked impression	or what we have now, computer
imaging print.	

- Q What is a fingerprint made up of? What is the makeup of a fingerprint?
- A Everybody's fingerprint is unique; that is, that nobody has the same fingerprint. You may have the same classification. You may have a couple of characteristics that can be found. Since 80 percent of the people perspire, that is what a fingerprint is. On the tips of your fingers, on your hands, your palms, you have pores. Through these pores the secretion comes, which is 98 percent moisture, water. The other 2 percent are fats and oils.
- Q So, if one was perspiring, would it enhance a fingerprint?
- A Yes, it would.
- Q If one were nervous and sweating, it would enhance the fingerprint?
- A You would get more moisture on there.
- Q Now, you talked briefly about classifications.
 What do you mean by that?

24

1 Each print is classified. There are three main Α classifications of a print, loops, arches, and 2 whorls. 3 Q And could you define what a loop is for us? I have a demonstration card. 5 MR. COFFEY: Your Honor, with the 6 Court's permission, may Detective LeBlanc step 7 down? 8 THE COURT: 9 Yes. Sir, you said there are three different types of 10 classifications, prints --11 Three main classifications. 12 What was the first one? 13 14 The first one is called a loop. If you were to take --15 16 THE COURT: He has to be able to see it, too. 17 18 If you were to take a Magic Marker and put it 19 over the tip of your finger and put it on an 20 inked impression, you may get what we classify as a loop; that is, the ridges come in and the 21 22 ridges go out.

Q And, the ridges, you're referring to the lines that, if you look at your own fingers, you can

see?

A Correct. If you put that Magic Marker, what happens is that the ink on that would adhere to what we call the hills. And we have the valleys. The hills will become black and the valleys are white.

Another way of a loop is that the ridges come in and the flow out the other side. If you have one of these loops, 80 percent of the time it's going to be on your right hand. It's a right-handed loop. If you had one of these on your hands, 80 percent of the time, it's going to be on your left hand.

The second main classification we have are arches. The ridge detail comes in from one side of the finger and it goes out the other side.

- Q Again, what ridge detail, exactly?
- A Ridge detail is the minutia that makes up the fingerprint. It is what we have on an inked impression. That is --
- So, if one were to look at their own fingers, the ridge detail is the stuff that they're seeing, the ridges and so forth and lines on

וואאה

FORM LASER BOILE A 🕲 PENGAD • 1-800-61-49

their own fingers?

- A Correct.
- Q Okay.

A The third main classification we have are whorls. As you see, the ridges come in and they just make a circle around the middle of your finger. They can be big circles or they can be large like that.

want to compare it to an inked impression, the inked impression is when you just ink your finger and you roll it. One of the main points is an ending ridge in which a ridge comes down and ends. That is a point of identification.

If we go to the latent print, we find an ending ridge. We go to the inked impression. We find that ending ridge. That we have as one point, one point of reference.

- Q So what you're looking for -- you have the latent print that is on the object; correct?
- A Correct.
- Q And you compare it with the inked impression, the known prints of that person.
- A Yes.

- And you hold them up and you compare them; is that correct?
- Correct.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Okay. Continue. Q
 - The second characteristic that we could use is Α the dash or a dot. If we find one of these on our latent, we then go to the inked impression to find that characteristic. Now we have two points of identification. We have a short ridge, which is a little bit bigger than the We can use either end of this as a point of identification.

Another characteristic is a bifurcation. What we have is a ridge that comes All of a sudden, it breaks into two more ridges. What we call that is an upward bifurcation. We find that on the latent. to the inked impression and see if we can find another one.

Another point is an island. is, it also could be an upward bifurcation. can use that as one point. Or, also, it could be a downward bifurcation. I can use that as another point of reference from my latent to my

inked impression. Here is the downward bifurcation which comes down and breaks into two more ridges. It is now another point of identification. We look for that on the latent to the inked.

When we have our points of identification, they will look like this on my latent print. What I have as my ending ridge, I mark that as a point of identification. I go down to my Point No. 2 and 3. I have my short ridge. I count that as two more points of identification.

while the fire truck or whatever it is goes by.

Down to No. 4 point here. I have a downward bifurcation. I mark that off as another point of identification. No. 5, I have my island. I can count that as two points of reference or I can count that as one. I have a dot. I can use that as a point of identification. No. 7, I have a downward bifurcation. I can use that as a point of reference from my latent to my inked impression. And, No. 8, I have an ending ridge.

What we do is that we can count one,

Α

- 23

		3-201
1		two, three, four, five. Right inside here, I
2		don't have another point of reference. I don't
3		have a bifurcation here. If I did, I could not
4		match. It would not be a match.
5	· Q	So what you're looking for is these points of
6		identification; is that correct?
7	A	Correct.
8	Q	So you take your latent print, the print that's
9		found on the object and you compare it with the
10		known print of the person; is that correct?
11	A	Correct.
12	Q	And you look for points of identification that
13		match up?
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	And how many total points of identification do
16		you need to make a positive identification?
17	A	Eight.
18	Q	What is the method are there different
19		methods to enhance a latent fingerprint so you
20	·	can see it?
21	A	We have two ways that we can make a latent print
22		seen with the naked eye. We have objects that
23		are porous, which means that they absorb. That

your fingerprint is mostly moisture, if you were

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

to touch a piece of paper or a piece of wood or any object that is paper, it would absorb it into that paper. With that, we usually come up with a ninhydrin. We apply the ninhydrin to the paper. A fingerprint would appear. It appears to be purple. We use that chemical for porous objects.

The other one we have is the cyanoacrylic fuming method, c-y-a-n-c-o-a-c-r-y-l-a-t-e (sic).

- Q What is that?
- Α That is Super Glue. That chemical is used for glass bottles, jars, anything that would not absorb fingerprints. The object is put into a fish tank. The fish tank is two feet, three feet high. At the bottom of the fish tank, we have a heating element. It is an element that you would put your cup of coffee on and it heats. You then take a tray, a little tin cup. You put about eight drops of Super Glue in that. And then we plug it in. We close the tank up and we plug it in. What happens is that the Super Glue is heated. And, when it is heated, it rises. And it attaches to any moisture that

is in that tank.

If I put my hand on the side of the tank, my palm print and fingers would appear.

If I put an object in there, whatever moisture is on that glass cup, any jewelry box, it would appear. When it is done, the object will have white on it. And that is the moisture that is left.

- Q What does the term "lifting a fingerprint" mean?
- Lifting a fingerprint is when you find a fingerprint on an object. Usually, it is an object that we cannot bring back into our office. It could be the outside of a window. It could be a car. We observe a fingerprint on it. This fingerprint could have been done by Super Glue or it could have been done just by plain dusting with black, gray, or white powder. We see it. We take a lift, clear plastic lift. It has a sticky side. We open up the plastic lift. We put it right over where the print is. We then lift the lifter, close it, and the fingerprint has been transferred from the car or the window to that lift.
- Q Now, the lift process is done on an object such

		3-204
i		as if you have a fingerprint inside of a
2		building or something like that; is that fair to
3		say?
4	A	Correct.
5	Q	Something you cannot bring back to the
6		Identification Unit?
7	A	Correct.
8	Q	In this particular case, you didn't have to do
9		that because the mug, you could bring back and
10		do the Super Glue method; is that right?
11	A	Right.
12	Q	Concerning this particular matter, the shooting
13		of Sergeant Gregory Gallagher, did you, in fact,
14		respond to the scene at some point, sir, of
15		29 School Street?
16	A	Yes. I was requested on May 31st to respond
17		there.
18	Q	What was your function when you went over to
19	:	29 School Street?
20	A	I responded with Sergeant Folib. My main
21		function was to relieve Officer McLaughlin.
22	Q	And who is Officer McLaughlin?
23	A	Officer McLaughlin was the fingerprint

technician and photographer at the scene.

1	Q	And she and you were partners or colleagues, I
2		should say?
3	A	Colleagues.
4	Q	You worked together in the Identification Unit?
5	A	We worked the same tour of duty, yes.
6	Q	Now, once you arrived at 29 School Street on May
7		31st, 1997, what did you do, sir?
8	A	Officer McLaughlin requested me to take some
9		photographs of some latents that were on a door.
10	Q	And was that part of your function, to
11		photograph various items?
12	A	Correct.
13	Q	And why would you photograph the door, sir?
14		May I approach the witness, your
15		Honor?
16		THE COURT: Yes.
17	Q	For any potential prints?
18	A	There could have been potential prints. There
19		were ridge details.
20	Q	Nothing identifiable, however?
21	A	No, sir.
22	, Q	This is standard practice, to photograph the
23		various areas where the crime took place?

Yes, it is.

I show you a photograph. Do you recognize what 1 Q 2 is depicted in that photograph? 3 Α Yes. And what is that, sir? 4 It's a photograph of a No. 4 with 5 Officer McLaughlin's initials on it right beside 6 7 a possible latent print. Did you ascertain later -- no prints were taken 8 Q off of that door? 9 As far as I know, yes. 10 11 MR. COFFEY: Your Honor, I move to 12 introduce the photograph of the door. 13 (Photograph was entered and 14 marked Exhibit No. 86 in 15 Evidence.) 16 Q. What else did you do after photographing the area? 17 She asked me to check the doorknob for possible 18 19 latent prints. 20 And did you do that, sir? 21 Α Yes. 22 And tell us what process you did concerning the 23 doorknob.

A I did a visual on it. I did not see anything.

24

Α

Q

By a suspect.

Then I added powder, black powder. 1 Q And, as a result of adding the black powder, was 2 anything enhanced or magnified in any way? 3 No, it wasn't. Α 5 Q Did you get any prints at all off the doorknob? No, I didn't. 6 Α 7 Q And you're referring to the doorknob of the back -- side door of 29 School Street? 8 9 Α Yes. 10 Now, at some point, did you return back to the Identification Unit later that day? 11 Yes, I did. 12 Α And what was your function later on that day 13 Q 14 concerning the evidence in this matter? 15 Α I was requested to process an item. 16 And which item is that? Q 17 Α A glass mug. 18 Q Why were you asked, particularly, to do this 19 mug? Because the object supposedly had been handled 20 Α 21 by someone. 22 By the suspect? Q

I approach you, sir. I show you what's been

23

1

2

m	arked	Exhibit	81.	Do	you	recognize	that?

- A Yes, I do.
- Q And what is that, sir?
- A It's the mug that I processed for fingerprints on May 31st.
 - Q If you could, take us through that process that you're referring. Was that mug Super Glued, the process you just told us about?
- A Yes.
- Q And where is this taking place? Where is the identification unit?
- A At that time, the Identification Unit was out in some trailers in East Boston.
 - Q Tell us what happened concerning the process.
 - A The mug was placed into the fuming tank by Sergeant Folib. He then added Super Glue. He then closed it up and plugged it in. And, about twenty minutes later, we went back there and -- he had the Super Glue out of the tank.
 - Q When it came out, the mug, did it appear to have some white substance on it?
 - A Correct.
 - Q What is that again, sir?
- 24 A That substance -- the white is the Super Glue

		3 209
1		attaching itself to any moisture that is on that
2		object.
3	Q	Did you photograph the mug and strike that.
4		First of all, did you identify a
5	·	fingerprint on that mug?
6	A	I recovered identifiable prints, yes.
7	Q	And how many prints did you recover?
8	A	Two.
9	Q	Were you then provided by various detectives
10		with the name of an individual?
11	A	Yes, I was.
12	Q	And what name was that?
13	A	Stephan Cowans.
14	Q	As a result of being provided that name, what
15		did you do with that information?
16	A	I obtained an inked impression, a set of inked
17		impressions, and I matched them up to this
18		latent print. I compared all ten fingers to
19	·	this latent print.
20	Q	What is an inked impression?
21	A	An inked impression is when someone has control
22		of your finger and he applies black printer's
23		ink, takes your finger, puts it down on one spot
24		on that card or piece of paper; and he rolls

24

from nail to nail. 1 That is what we call an inked impression. 2 Did you have Stephan Cowans's inked impressions 3 Q with you? Yes, I have. 5 Α Could you take those out, please? 6 7 MR. DILDAY: Objection. Side bar, your Honor? 8 9 10 (Side-bar conference.) 11 MR. DILDAY: I want to renew my objection for the record because I know what's 12 going to be the next question after the latents 13 come out, the identification and comparison of 14 15 the latent prints with the print on the jar, 16 which they say is my client's. And I know that 17 the Court has said it's going to allow that, but 18 I want to make my objection for the record now. THE COURT: Okay. You are objecting 19 20 to that because, as I understand it, you say there is no evidence that he was in the house. 21 22 MR. DILDAY: Correct.

THE COURT: Your objection is noted.

In conjunction with that, as I said before, now,

2

3

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

after having heard evidence up to this point in the case, there is sufficient evidence in this case to warrant a rational trier of fact in concluding that inferentially, the evidence supports his presence in that house. MR. DILDAY: Okay. I'd also like to the burden is on the Commonwealth to show that

add as part of the buttress of my objection that the print could not have been impressed there at another time, and they have yet to do that.

THE COURT: Oh, I think there is ample evidence to establish that.

MR. COFFEY: The woman testified she never saw him before.

THE COURT: Excuse me?

MR. COFFEY: The woman testified she never saw him before.

THE COURT: Oh, I understand that. There is evidence that somebody fitting his description was in the area. The policeman has testified and has identified him before this jury as the person who accosted him, and that person immediately after that disappears. couldn't be found. Lo and behold, this stranger

appears in this lady's house with his gun. 1 MR. COFFEY: And the sweatshirt has 2 been identified. 3 4 (End side-bar conference.) 5 6 Now, Detective, where we left off, you were 7 talking about inked impressions; is that correct? 8 - A Correct. And what is inked impressions, exactly? 10 Q are they? 11 12 Α An inked impression is a set of fingerprints of all of your fingers, inked, hand rolled by a 13 fingerprint technician. 14 15 Q And, does the Boston Police Department, as a 16 matter of policy, maintain inked impressions of 17 people? Yes, they do. 18 Α 19 And that could be for people who apply for 20 various licenses; is that correct? Correct. 21 A 22 People who have been arrested, of course, Q 23 before; correct? 24 Correct.

1-800-65, -6989
PENGAD.
FORM LASER BONL A

		5 213
1	Q	There's various reasons you'd have inked
2		impressions of people in your files, so to
3		speak?
4	A	Right.
5	· Q	You have thousands and thousands of inked
6		impressions of people?
7	A	At least 500,000.
8	Q	And I asked you to take out the inked
9	-	impressions concerning Mr. Cowans. Do you have
10		those today?
11	A	Yes.
12	Q	Would you take it out, please?
13	A	(Witness complies.)
14	. Q	Would you display that?
15		With the Court's permission, could
16		Detective LeBlanc get down and show that to the
17		jury, your Honor?
18		THE COURT: Have you seen this?
19		MR. DILDAY: No, I haven't, your
20		Honor.
21		THE COURT: Show it to him first.
22	Q	Would you describe to the jury what that
23		document is, sir?
24	A	This is a fingerprint card by the Boston Police
	I	

Department. Each of these images is each finger on both hands, rolled. It is a controlled rolled fingerprint. Down here, we have fingerprints of your four fingers together. The main reason why we do that, sometimes these fingers get interchanged. This is to make sure the fingerprints are in the right positions and not --

Q Thank you. You can resume the stand.

Now, after the detectives provided you with the name of Stephan Cowans, you retrieved that inked impression; correct?

- A Yes, I did.
- Q Tell us what you did next.
- A I did a comparison. I went for what my latent print was. I tried to find a couple of bifurcation or ending ridges in my latents. And I go to the first finger. I don't see it there. I go to the second finger, third, fourth, fifth, and then the sixth.
- Q What do you mean by the "latent"? You're referring to the print that was taken off of that mug, one of the two prints?
- A Correct.

1	Q	Would you be kind enough, Detective, to show the
2		members of the jury where exactly that print is
3		on that mug.
4	A	Police officer.
5	Q	Police officer. I'm sorry.
6		Officer, show us on that mug where the
7		print is located.
8	A	The print is located
9	Q	You can get off the stand, with the Court's
10		permission.
11		THE COURT: Yes. Show then show
12		each of them, going down.
13	A	The fingerprint is right here, just before the
14		handle. What I have is a black background.
15		With that black background, it shows up, the
16		white ridges. If I had a black background here,
17		blank prints, if I inked it with black, I'll put
18	·	a white background. The background being black,
19	·	you can see the white ridges. They really come
20	·	out. You can see them with the naked eye.
21	Q	Is that print in the same condition it was back
22		a year ago on May 31st, 1997?
23	A	Yes. The print it has been boxed since that
24		night I found the print.

1 Q What did you do next?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- After observing that print, I then brought it Α into the photo room to be photographed.
 - Q And is that standard procedure concerning these matters, to photograph the prints?
 - I could have lifted this print, but my protocol Α is to photograph it because with a photograph, I've got a negative. And, with the negative, I make my blowups and my charts.
 - By "photographing," you leave that print in the Q exact same manner it was the day of May 31st, 1997?
 - That print is in the same condition. Α have the white powder, which you use because the Super Glue is white -- I can use white powder to enhance it.
 - Now, you said you photographed the latent print; Q is that correct?
- Ά Correct.
- And you have a photograph of the inked Q impressions?
- Α Yes.
- 23 Q Tell us what you did.
- 24 Α On the 31st or -

1

2

- Q No. During the process to identify this through comparison, tell us what you did, comparison of the latent print and the inked impression.
- A To the suspect?
- Q Yes.
- A What we do is we have a computer which would blow up this print five times its size. Then what we do is we take the latent print, negative. I, in turn, blow that up five times its size. From there, I make my comparison of the points.
- Q Do you have two photographs relative to the latent print on the bottom and the inked impressions?
- A Yes. I have the photographs.
- Q What finger left the impression on the mug, sir?
 - A It was the left thumb, although we say the sixth finger.
 - So you took a photograph of the left thumb, the inked impressions, compared that with the inked -- strike that -- the latent print off that mug?
 - A Correct.
 - Q Do you have those photographs with you today?
- A Yes.

23

1 Q Would you take them out, please? (Witness complies.) 2 Α Would you tell us what you have taken out of the 3 Q envelope there, sir? 4 There is a negative and a photograph of the 5 Α No. 6 finger or left thumb on the card. 6 It is a 7 negative and a photograph of the print on the 8 glass, 9 Q Again, with the Court's permission, can you step down and show the jurors those last two 10 11 photographs you referred to. 12 Α This here is the photograph of the print. 13 is the negative of the print. Photograph of the 14 No. 6 finger and a --15 THE COURT: Okay. Hold it. Hold it, 16 please. This is a negative of the picture with a print 17 Α 18 on it. And this is the negative and a photograph of the No. 6 finger. 19 20 MR. COFFEY: I would move to introduce 21 the two photographs, your Honor. 22 THE COURT: Just the photograph? 23 MR. COFFEY: The --

THE COURT:

Okay. Photograph of the

1		latent
2		MR. DILDAY: Note my standing
3		objection.
4		THE COURT: Photo of the latent,
5		Exhibit 88.
6		COURT REPORTER: Exhibit 87, your
7		Honor.
8		THE COURT: What is the photo of the
9		door? Is that 86?
10		COURT REPORTER: Yes.
11		(Photograph of fingerprint
12		negative was entered and
13		marked Exhibit No. 87 in
14		Evidence.)
15		(Photograph of No. 6
16	•	negative was entered and
17	·	marked Exhibit No. 88 in
18	·	Evidence.)
19	Q	Now, sir, did you begin your comparison
20		concerning those two fingerprints?
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	Did you blow up those photographs in order for
23		demonstrative purposes, to demonstrate how you
24		made the comparison?

20

21

22

23

24

- Q Do you have those with you, the enlargements?
- A Yes, I do.

Α

- Q Would you take them out, please?
- A (Witness complies.)

Yes, I did.

- Q Open them up, please, if you would. Tell us what you have here.
 - A What I have --

MR. COFFEY: With the Court's permission, if the officer could step down, your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes.

- A What I have is an enlargement of the inked fingerprint and an enlargement of the latent print. It is approximately ten times its size.
- Q So on the left is Stephan Cowans' inked impression; is that correct?
- A Correct.
- Q And on the right is the fingerprint you took off the mug?
 - A The photograph of the mug.
 - Q Photograph, yes. Tell us what you did with that, sir.
 - A After the enlargement, what I do now is I go

through my inked impressions. I find the starting point. I find an ending ridge, a bifurcation, dash, a dot, downward bifurcation, or an island. I go to my latent print. I start my numbering, one to how many points I want to demonstrate.

I go to my number one point. I go to my latent print. I have a bifurcation. It is -I go to my number one point. Downward
bifurcation. I then go to my inked impression.
I find that bifurcation right here. I mark them both one. That is one point of identification between this latent print and this inked impression.

- O So the first point of identification you found on the left-hand side of the inked impression.

 You found it in the identical place on the right-hand side in the print off the mug?
- A Correct.

- Q After you make your first point of identification, what do you do next?
- A From the first point, I went down one point. I went down one ridge. I came to an ending ridge right here. Came down. Two points. I marked

off a second point. I went over here to my inked impression. I came down one ridge.

Again, I found one ending ridge. I marked that as my second point of identification.

THE COURT: Wait one moment, please.

Q For my number two point on my inked impression,
I counted over three ridges, three ridges. I
went to the left of number two. One, two,
three. I found again another ending ridge. I
go to my number two point on my inked
impression. I counted three ridges over. I
found an ending ridge. I then marked both of
these cards point number three.

From my point number three, I went two ridges to the left of number three. I came across another ending ridge, short ridge. I went to my inked impression, counted over two ridges from my number three point. And I found another short ridge or an ending ridge.

From my number four point -- I made that my main one to get over to this side of the latent print. I counted over one, two, three, four. From there, I found my point number five on my latent. I counted over from number four,

one, two, three, four. I found my bifurcation of my inked impression. I marked that off as point number five.

Again, from my center of my print, the number four point, I counted over seven ridges.

I went to the left. Seven ridges. I got my ending ridge here, which I went over to my inked impression, found it, marked it as number six.

Again, from my number four, I get to point number seven. Again, I counted seven ridges over, came down, found a bifurcation. For my inked impression, I went over to number four, over seven, came down. I found my bifurcation. I marked that as number seven.

To get to point eight, I went four ridges to the left of the number seven point, one, two, three, four. I have an ending ridge.

On my latent, I counted over. I have my ending ridge -- on my inked impression.

For number nine, I went two ridges to the right of number eight. One ridge, two ridge. I have an ending ridge on my latent. I have an ending ridge on my inked.

To get to the number ten point, I went

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

		3-
1		three ridges to the right of number nine. The
2		nine point, one, two, three. I have an ending
3		ridge. Then I went to my inked impression,
4		counting three ridges over. I have an ending
5		ridge.
6	Q	So you have charted out exactly ten points of
7		identification on that diagram?
8	A	I charted out ten. I numbered them and show t

- I charted out ten. I numbered them and show the Each line will go to each point. As you see, they are almost identical space and everything else.
- How many points of identification did you find Q in total?
- I marked off another six just by putting dots there.
- So you have a total of 16 dots on that diagram; Q is that correct?
- Correct. Α
- And you have charted out ten of them for the jury's consideration?
- Correct. A
 - Q Thank you. Based on your comparison, Officer, of the latent print and the inked impression, what conclusion did you come to, sir?

1/89D

1	A	That they were identical.
2	Q	Whose print was it?
3	A	Stephan Cowans'.
4	Q	Now, you said you found another print. Strike
5		that.
6		Your Honor, I move to introduce the
7		diagram here.
8		MR. DILDAY: Note my objection based
9		upon our side-bar discussion.
10		THE COURT: Okay. Eighty-nine.
11		(Diagram was entered and
12		marked Exhibit No. 89 in
13		Evidence.)
14	Q	Now, you said there was another print that you
15		identified on that mug, sir?
16	A	Yes, there was.
17	Q	And where was that print located on the mug?
18	À	On the backside of the mug, underneath the
19		handle.
20	Q	During your taking photographs of that mug, did
21	·	you crack the bottom of that mug, sir?
22	A	Yes.
23	Q	Concerning the second print, tell us about that.
24		What did you do with that second print?

7/39

1	A	I received certain inked impressions or what we
2		call elimination prints from anybody who could
3		have touched the glass.
4	· Q	Did you receive some elimination prints from a
5		woman named Bonnie Lacy?
6	A	Yes, I did.
7	Q	And, when you say "elimination prints," did
8		someone do what you described a few minutes
9		earlier, take the finger and roll it back and
10		forth?
11	A	Correct. Officer McLaughlin took a card, inked
12		every single finger by doing nail to nail.
13	Q	Is that the best way to do someone's
14		fingerprints, is nail to nail?
15	A	Correct. You get the full roll. And there is a
16		possibility that I could identify any part of
17		that fingerprint.
18	Q	Now, after you received Bonnie Lacy's inked
19		impressions, what did you do with that, sir?
20	· А	I did a comparison between the second print and
21		her prints.
22	Q	And did you do a similar strike that.
23		Did you take pictures of that, as
24		well?

	_	
	-6989	
	뽀	
	22	
	Ψ	
	800-651-6	
	· n	
	7	
	o.	
	0	
	∞ .	
	·	
	_	
	•	
	ENGAD	
	₽.	
	⋖	
	ď.	
	×	
	~	
	ш	
	<u> </u>	
	_	
	-	
	ூ	
	_	
	~	
	-	
	٠.	
	2	
,	á	
	\simeq	
	₩.	
	_	
	w	
	co.	
	4	
	≕.	
	록.	

- Yes, I did. 1 Α Do you have those photographs with you Q 2 concerning the second -- on-that mug? 3 Α Yes. 4 Would you take them out, please? 5 (Witness complies.) Α 6 7
 - What you have in your hand now, is that 0 Bonnie Lacy's inked impressions?
 - Yes, it is. A

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q Would you just hold that up for the jury's consideration, please?
- Α (Witness complies.)
 - And, elimination prints, that's standard Q procedure throughout the Boston Police Department?
 - Mostly we take them of the victim of a Α crime scene, usually on a break. We take elimination prints in case we find a latent print at the scene. We want to eliminate the victim's prints.
 - And did you do that in this matter, sir? Q
 - Yes, I did. A ·
 - Do you have photographs of Ms. Lacy's prints and Q the second print on that mug?

- What I have here is a photograph of the other Α And I have the inked impression.
- Q Did you enlarge the -- similar to what you had done with Mr. Cowans' print, did you enlarge the inked impression of Ms. Lacy and the second print on the mug?
- Yes, I did. Α
- And do you have that enlargement with you here Q today?
- Yes, I do. Α

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Would you take that out, please. Q
- (Witness complies.) 12 Α
 - Would you display that for the jury? Q
 - This is a photograph approximately ten times its Α original size. There is the second print on the glass. This is the latent print. And this print is the inked impression. And what I can do to identify this right away is go right to this short little ridge. It's right there. And a short little ridge right here on the piece of glass. And, from there, I just counted all the way up, marked off all my ten points.
 - And, you went through the same process you just went through concerning Mr. Cowans' print; is

22

23

24

		3-229
1		that correct?
2	A	Correct.
3	Q	And how many points of identification did you
4		find concerning the second print?
5	A	I marked out ten, and there's three other points
6		that I marked
7	Q	So a total of thirteen points of identification,
8		ten of which I believe you charted out there?
9	A	Correct.
10	Q	You can resume the stand.
11		As a result of your comparison between
12		the inked impression of Ms. Bonnie Lacy and the
13		second print on that mug, what conclusions did
14		you come to, Officer LeBlanc?
15	A	That they were one and the same; and, that is,
16		of the No. 9 finger.
17	Q	No. 9? Which finger is that, sir?
18	A	The left ring finger.
19	Q	The left ring finger? And that was the print of
20		Bonnie Lacy?
21	A	Correct.

MR. COFFEY: Your Honor, I move to introduce this document here.

MR. DILDAY: Standing objection.

23

24

Α Yes. She looked at them two days later. 1 Did she confirm your findings? 2 Q Yes, she did. 3 Α MR. COFFEY: Thank you. 4 THE COURT: Cross-examination. 5 6 7 CROSS-EXAMINATION (By Mr. Dilday) Good afternoon, Officer. Q 8 Good afternoon. Officer, one of the first things you said on 10 direct testimony as part of what you do in the 11 Identification Unit is you said you verify 12 prisoners over the computer system; is that 13 14 correct? 15 Correct. Α And would you explain how that is done? 16 Q Every person who is arrested for a crime in 17 Α Boston now is booked at the station. 18 Years ago, they were brought down to the Identification 19 20 Unit, where we took a full set of inked 21 impressions, classified them and searched them.

Now, because of the computer age, they book at

the station in which a booking officer who is

designated for that tour of duty would do what I

have done in years past. Instead of using ink, they use the computer. The computer would take a picture -- take -- take a picture of it, nail to nail. You would do all ten fingers plus the four flat fingers. And, through the age of computers, it is sent down to the Identification Unit in which we verify prisoners by their fingerprints.

- Q And, so, in doing this, it means that the computer system already has in it fingerprints of people that you have had previously take prints or give prints, I should say?
- A It has -- since 1995, it has a full set of prints of everybody arrested since 1995. The other prints, what they did was they scanned a card that has your two thumbs.
- Q So anybody who has been arrested by the Boston Police since 1995 would have his or her prints within that computer system?
- A Boston Police computer system, yes.
- Q And is there another computer system called A.F.I.S.?
- A A.F.I.S. is a state police computer. It's the automated fingerprint identification system.

- A It is a state law that anybody arrested or applicants who are applying for a job, their prints be sent to the state police so they can do a search. Some of these prints are entered. Some prints aren't.
- And the process with this A.F.I.S. computer system, you use that all the time when you're looking to compare prints once you get them; isn't that correct?
- A Boston uses that system where we have a found latent print at a crime scene which we have no suspect to match it with.
- Q And, often, when you find these latent prints with no suspect and you put them in the A.F.I.S., sometimes, you'll come back with a hit and sometimes you won't; is that correct?
- A Correct.

.20

- Q Now, do you know how Boston puts its prints into the A.F.I.S. computer system?
- A Since 1995, they are printed for our computer onto an inked impression card. They are then sent to the state police in Sudbury, Mass., where they, at some time, maybe a week, two

- weeks, a month, are entered into the A.F.I.S. computer by the civilians who work at Sudbury.

 Q So, if I understand you correctly, Officer,
 - So, if I understand you correctly, Officer, anyone who is arrested by the Boston Police since 1995 would have his or her prints sent into the A.F.I.S. computer system?
 - A Correct. And also print. When a person is fingerprinted by Boston, there is a Boston card taken and then there is a state card taken that has state police, 1010 Commonwealth Avenue, at that time when they were at 1010. That card can be printed, signed by us, signed by the person who is being printed. And, at some time, that would be brought over to the state police, when the state police would take over and do what they have to.
 - Now, Officer, going back to May 30th or May 31st, I guess, of 1997, you went and Rosemary McLaughlin went to the crime scene and gathered up evidence for the Identification Unit; is that correct?
 - A I went there after -- I went there in the morning around ten o'clock to relieve Rosemary McLaughlin.

- Okay. As a result of the two of you going Q 1 there, you gathered a number of items to see if 2 you could lift latent prints from them; is that 3 correct? 4 I did not gather any evidence. She had it all 5 Α packed and ready to go. I was cleanup. 6 7 Q So you were the cleanup man? Α Cleanup person. 8
 - And so Ms. McLaughlin, you're aware, are you Q not, that she kept about nine or ten items for herself to run the latents on?
 - Α Correct.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

2.0

21

22

23

- And she gave you one item to do; is that Q correct?
 - Α Sergeant Folib gave me the item to process.
- And who is Sergeant Folib, sir? 0
- He was my sergeant in charge of the latent print. 17 Α room at that time. 18
 - Okay. So Ms. McLaughlin processed all of the Q items except for the mug that was given to you?
 - Α I processed the mug first. When she came back to work at five, six o'clock that night, she processed the rest of the items.
 - Now, on May 31st of 1997, you lifted a latent

1		print from that mug; did you not?
2	A	I photographed the print. I did not lift it.
3	Q	Okay. So you photographed the print and you
4		traced it; is that correct?
5	A	Correct.
6	Q	And then you so that gives you a good
7		understanding of that print by photographing it
8		and tracing it; is that correct?
9	A	Correct. I traced it in order to put it into
10		the state police computer.
11	Q	And, when you put it into the state police
12		computer system, that's the A.F.I.S. system; is
13		that correct?
14	A	Correct.
15	Q	And, at that time, it came back with no match
16	·	whatsoever; is that correct?
17	·A	Correct.
18	Q	Now, on direct examination, sir, you testified
19		of how you make a lift. If I remember
20	·	correctly, you said that what you do is once you
21		dust off the print, you put the Super Glue or
22		whatever and it's identifiable. You then place
23		a sticky substance on that print?
24	A	We call it it's a clear plastic. It's a

lift. When we open up the lift, one side is
sticky. The other side is not sticky. We put
the sticky side right on the lift, right on the
object that has the print. We then lift it,
close it. And now the print is transferred from
the object to the left.

- Q Now, once you take the lift, that print is now on the lift; is that correct?
- A Correct.

- Q If I were to take the lift like that with the print on it and put it on another item, a print would occur on that item; would it not?
- A Not this print.
 - No. I'm asking you, sir, if I were to take a lift and I were to put it on another item, then that print could appear on that item; could it not?
- A I don't know.
- Q You don't know?
- A I don't know.
 - Q Now, you've been to various training at the FBI; is that correct?
 - A Correct.
 - Q And, at the FBI, they taught you how to pull

-800-631-6989	
PENGAD • 1	
FORM LASER BONG A 🚱	
፳	

		3-238
1		lifts, make lifts, and do things like that;
2		isn't that correct?
3	A	They taught me how to dust, find latent prints.
4	Q	They taught you how to find prints?
5	A	Right.
6	Q	And, at the FBI, didn't they also say that,
7		sometimes, people can fabricate by putting
8		prints where they weren't originally?
9		MR. COFFEY: Objection, your Honor.
10		THE COURT: No. He can have it. Do
11		you understand his question?
12	A	You could do it.
13	Q	So your answer is that it could be done?
14	A	Anything can be done.
15	Q	And, at the FBI, in their training sessions,
16		they let you know that it has been done in the
.17		past; didn't they?
18	A	They bring out a couple of cases, yes.
19	Q	And the way that would be done is by taking a
20		lift and imprinting that lift on another object;
21		is that correct? That's one of the ways it can
22		be done?
23	A	I do not know if that print would stay on that
24		object.
	I	·

1 Q That's not my question. I'm just saying based on what you were taught at the FBI, is that one 2 of the ways that a print could be put on an 3 object? 4 MR. COFFEY: Objection, your Honor. 5 6 THE COURT: If he knows the answer to 7 it. If he doesn't, he can say so. They say it can be done. 8 Α Pardon me? 9 Q 10 Α They say it can be done. And so they taught you that at the FBI; is that 11 Q 12 correct? 13 They didn't reach. They said it has happened in Α 14 the past. 15 MR. DILDAY: I have nothing further. 16 One moment. 17 On direct, you testified that the prints are Q 18 mainly moisture? 19 Yes. Α And so, if I perspired and was sweating, then I 20 Q 21 would have a much better chance of leaving a print than if I had just come in and wiped my 22 23 fingers dry; is that correct? 24 Α The more moisture on your hand, it's a good

- possibility that all we're going to get is a smudge because the water is going to be on your hands. After it's processed, all there is is a white spot.
- Now, when you did the analysis of this glass mug, did you find any smudges?
- A Yes, there is.
- Q And about how many did you find, sir?
- A Right on this print or right on the latent print, underneath that latent print, there is a smudge.
- Q And that one you couldn't identify from anything; is that correct?
- A No. That smudge means someone else handled it or the same person handled it in the same spot at the same time twice.
- Q Although what I'm saying is, from that one smudge, you couldn't identify anything?
- 19 A No.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

23

- Q And you called the two latents. One latent, you say, is Ms. Lacy's print.
- 22 A Correct.
 - Q And the other latent, you say, is my client's print.

Α

Both fingerprints were entered into A.F.I.S.

PENGAD.

Q And you got negative results. What does that mean?

A When I enter a fingerprint into the A.F.I.S., I ask the computer for ten candidates. I take my latent print. I photograph that print five times its size. I then trace with tracing paper all the ending ridges, the bifurcations, the dots, the dashes, any points of identification that I can find on that latent print. After I trace it, I then reduce it back to its original size of one to one.

have a computer terminal at the identification unit. I scan that photograph of that latent into the computer. It then comes up on the screen five times its size. I then go into that computer, and I make any kind of corrections I want. Sometimes they make two ridges that come together. That's giving me extra points. I don't want that. Sometimes when I make my curve to join two ridges, they combine that. The computer combines that and it gives me more points. I don't want that. What I want is the computer to check my nine points against what

- And, when you send the print up there to the computer, does it come back with a match or does it come back with candidates that generally fit the description of the fingerprint?
- A It comes back with possible candidates.
- Q You don't get a match from the computer; is that correct?
- A No. It's a fingerprint technician who compares what the computer has sent back to us.
- So it would give you, for instance, ten possible candidates or ten people who fall into the classification of a whorl; is that correct?
- A Correct.

- Q And then what do you do with those ten candidates?
- My latent print would come up on one side of the screen five times its size. And then the image that the computer says could or could not be comes up on the other. Then I go from my latent print, I go to the computer image. I try to find an ending ridge, bifurcation, dot, dash, whatever. If I don't see it, I click on to the next possible candidate.

- And, if you get a possible candidate, do you ultimately, however, go to your files and get the inked impressions and do your comparison like you did here?
- Correct. Α

2

3

5

6

15

16

18

23

- Tell us about that.
- 7 If the computer -- if the image on the 8 computer -- and I match it up to the latent print and I have a feel that it is, it could, it 9 10 may be, I could call up the state. I could ask 11 them who the card belongs to. I then get the 12 I go to our filing system. I see if we 13 have a card. And then I compare my latent to 14 the inked impression on that card.
 - So the actual comparison is done based on inked Q impressions; is that correct?
- 17 Correct. Α
 - The computer doesn't do the comparison?
- 19 No. Α
- 20 It gives you some possible candidates? Q
- 21 Correct. Α
- 22 You actually have to do the manual labor like you did in front of this jury, connect the various points?

		3 243
1	A	Correct.
2	Q	From your inked impressions.
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	Now, in the last, let's say, from January to
5		June, today, how many prints have you sent up to
6		the A.F.I.S. computer, approximately?
7	A	I've entered about 250.
8	Q	And, out of those 250, how many come back
9		potential candidates, a potential match?
10	A	After comparing what the computer gave me, I
11		have five positive identifications.
12	Q	So that would suggest you have 245 negative
13		results; is that right?
14	A	Correct.
15	Q	So, if my math is correct, you get hits about
16		two percent of the time?
17	A	Correct.
18	· Q	That doesn't sound like a very efficient
19		machine; is it?
20		MR. DILDAY: Objection, your Honor.
21		THE COURT: Sustained in that form.
22	Q	Well, what's the success rate of this machine if
.23		it's only two percent?
24		MR. DILDAY: Objection again, your
1		

Honor.

1

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

THE COURT: That's a conclusion. That

conclusion can be drawn by the jury.

- Q Did I ask you to retrieve Mr. Cowans' print from the A.F.I.S. machine?
- A Yes, I did.
- Q Did you?
- A Yes.
- Q Would you take a look at it?
- 10 A Yes.
 - Q Is there something on there that you noted? Did you feel that this was a good print or a poor print? In other words, was there enough there to compare it?
 - A Compare it with my latent print that I had, no.
 - Q Why was that?
 - A Because the part of my latent prints that I had was a good -- the ridges were fine. You could see the ridges. I could see my core. I had definitely three points in my latent print and definitely with my inked impression that I could match up no problem.
 - Q So what are some of the reasons why you would not get potential candidates out of the A.F.I.S.

machine?

A The A.F.I.S. machine --

MR. DILDAY: Objection, your Honor.

THE COURT: He may have it.

- Q Go ahead, sir.
- A The A.F.I.S. machine has eight fingers in there.

 When you send them up a card, they have eight

 fingers. They leave out the baby fingers. That

 could be one reason why you don't get a hit,

 because maybe you have a baby finger. They will

 not put them in.

The second reason why you don't get a hit is that they are also classified by the civilian workers up there. The typed card is scanned in there. Now, they will classify a loop, an arch, a whorl. If they classify it wrong and I question the computer, question all whorls, that is, the pattern that goes around to make a circle, I will not get a hit. The computer is as good as the image that is put in there.

Q What if the image is slightly off, in other words, you take a photograph of an image that's slightly at an angle?

A Again, they classify the prints as a loop, arch, or whorl; and they center it. If they center it wrong and it's supposed to be facing this way and I put it in straight up and down or I put it over this way, I'm not going to get a good response.

If the print is smudged -- what I mean is sometimes if the fingerprint technician over-

If the print is smudged -- what I meand is sometimes if the fingerprint technician overinks, too much ink in the middle of the finger or the outside of the finger or the bottom of the finger, what the computer reads is a blob.

Now, that blob, they may give me 15 points in the middle. I don't have 15 points in the middle of my print. I only have three. So the computer ain't going to reach that high, those 15 points.

THE COURT: How many points do you need to make a positive comparison?

THE WITNESS: Eight and above, according to the FBI.

THE COURT: Eight and above?
THE WITNESS: Yes.

Q In the last six months, the success rate of A.F.I.S. machine is about two percent?

1

2

Α

About two percent, yes.

MR. COFFEY: Thank you.

The computer gave ten images between my latent

24

A

		3-25
1		print
2	Q	No. My question to you is once you said the
3		computer can give you candidates that might fit
4		that print; is that correct?
5	A	Correct.
6	Q	Did it give you candidates that might have fit
7		that latent print?
8	A	It gave me numbers.
9	Q	And, with those numbers, can you follow through
10		and get a particular person?
11	A	If I agree that this print my latent print
12		agrees with what's on the computer.
13	Q	And, as a result, you didn't get anybody; is
14	·	that correct?
15	A	I did not match them up to anybody.
16	Q	And you talked about the issue that one of the
17		reasons the computer may not be the greatest
18		avenue is that it doesn't do little fingers, is
19		that correct, baby fingers?
20	A	Correct.
21	Q	But this was the thumb; was it not?
22	A	The computer takes what it is given.

But you put in a thumbprint; did you not?

I put in eight prints.

24

And it turned out to be a thumbprint; was it 1 Q 2 not? 3 Α On June 15th, yes. Q So, if that was a thumbprint and you know that 4 Mr. Cowans' prints are in the computer, his 5 thumbprint should have been there; is that 6 correct? 7 No, sir. 8 Α You've got a print from the A.F.I.S. system in 9 Q front of you; isn't that correct? 10 Correct. 11 Α 12 Q And so you're telling me that since 1995, all 13 prints taken by Boston are put in the A.F.I.S.; 14 isn't that correct? 15 Α Only one set of prints is put into A.F.I.S. These prints were put in in 1990. They stay no 16 matter what condition they are in. 17 So, therefore, he does have prints within 18 Q 19 A.F.I.S.? 20 As of 1990. Α 21 And you never got a match; isn't that correct? Q The print is distorted. 22 Α

I asked you a question, sir. I ask that be

stricken. The question calls for a simple "yes"

or "no." You never got a match or a candidate 1 from putting into A.F.I.S. that print which 2 designated Stephan Cowans; did you, sir? 3 I did not match my tracing of my latent print to Α anybody. 5 MR. DILDAY: Thank you. 6 7 FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION 8 (By Mr. Coffey) When you say the print was 9 destroyed, what do you mean by that? 10 THE COURT: No. That's the end of the 11 questioning. You may stand down. 12 MR. COFFEY: Side bar, your Honor, for 13 a moment? 14 15 16 (Side-bar conference.) 17 MR. COFFEY: I am going to call 18 Detective William Hussey. I want to bring this 19 to the Court's attention. The printing of the gun, a woman, Sharon Wong, she did the printing. 20 21 There is nothing on the gun. I just want to 22 show the jury that we made the effort to print 23 the gun. Sharon Wong is on vacation and is in

Las Vegas right now. Hussey will be able to

24

1 apologize. THE COURT: All right. You may stand over there while the others come down now. (End side-bar conference.) (Jury in.) THE CLERK: For the record, your Honor, this is the continuation of the Stephan Cowans matter, Docket No. 97-11231. Present in the courtroom is Mr. Cowans, with Attorney James Dilday. Representing the Commonwealth is Assistant District Attorney James Coffey. All jurors are present. THE COURT: All right. Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. Everything is in order with the instructions, I hope? The jury indicates that is so. Next witness, please. MR. COFFEY: Thank you, your Honor. Rosemary McLaughlin, please.

ROSEMARY MC LAUGHLIN, Sworn DIRECT EXAMINATION

(By Mr. Coffey) Good morning, ma'am.

		4-6
1	A	Good morning, sir.
2	Q	Could you tell us your name, spelling your last
3		name for us?
4	A	My name is Rosemary McLaughlin,
5		M-c-L-a-u-g-h-l-i-n.
6	Q	And where are you employed, ma'am?
7	A	I am a Boston police officer, presently assigned
8.		to the Identification Unit.
9	Q	And how long have you been with the Boston
10		Police Department?
11	A	For twenty years.
12	Q	And how long have you been with the
13		Identification Unit?
14	A	Nine years.
15	Q	And what is your function down at the
16		Identification Unit?
17	A	At the present time, I am a latent print
18		examiner.
19	Q	And, briefly, could you take us through your
20		training and experience relative to your role as
21		a latent print examiner, please?
22	A	As far as schooling goes, I have taken forty-
23		hour courses in conjunction with the
24		Massachusetts Criminal Justice Training Council

and taught by special agents of the FBI in basic fingerprinting, fingerprint comparison, the collection, preservation of evidence, and advanced latent fingerprints. Through the International Association of Identification and Massachusetts Criminal Justice Training Council, I have taken a forty-hour course in advanced ridgology, dealing with latent fingerprints; and a three-day symposium on the identification and comparison of palm prints.

I have also taken a three-day course in crime scene photography at the Boston Police Academy, taught by the FBI; and I am a member of the New England Division of the International Association of Identification.

- Q And approximately how many comparisons have you made relative to fingerprints?
- A Thousands.
- Q And you've been doing this for the last nine years, you said?
- A That's correct.
- Q Drawing your attention to May 30th, 1997, were you working that particular evening?
- A Yes, sir. I was.

1	Q	And where were you stationed at that time?
2	A	At that time, the Identification Unit was
3		located in East Boston.
4	Q	Were you summonsed to go someplace on that day,
5		ma'am?
6	A	Yes, sir.
7	Q	And where did you go?
8	A	We were initially told to go to 7 School Street
9		Place in Jamaica Plain.
10	Q	What was your function? Why were you going
11		there?
12	A	The function of the unit at that time was to
13		photograph the crime scene and process or
14		collect fingerprint evidence for possible latent
15		fingerprints.
16	Q	Do you work with Dennis LeBlanc? Is he a
17		colleague of yours?
18	A	Yes, sir. He is.
19	Q	So your function was to gather evidence and try
20		to print certain evidence for potential
21		fingerprints?
22	A	Yes, sir.
		·

And did you do that that particular evening?

24

23

A

Yes, sir. I did.

- In particular, concerning some fencing, did you

 print or do some testing or process various

 items out of a fence, in particular, wooden

 pickets?
 - A Yes, sir.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q Tell us about that. Where did you do that? Where were they located?
- A From the backyard area of 7 School Street Place, three tall pickets from a stockade fence were removed from the scene, and four broken pieces of stockade fencing. Also, from the rear portion of the yard at a portion of the fence which abuts or is next to 29 School Street, four large stockade pickets were removed from the scene and brought back to the unit for processing.
- Now, is it standard procedure to test various items throughout the crime scene?
- A Yes, sir. It is.
- Q And that's what you were doing that particular afternoon or evening?
- 22 A Yes.
 - Q What time did you arrive there, approximately?
- A I believe we responded shortly after 5:30 p.m.

1	Q	I show you a picture, ma'am, that has been
2		marked as Exhibit 73.
3		May I approach the witness, your
4		Honor?
5		THE COURT: Yes.
6	Q	Do you recognize what is depicted in that
7		photograph?
8	A	Yes, sir. I do.
9	Q	Would you hold that up for the jury?
10		And did you analyze or process any of
11		those pickets concerning the fence there, ma'am?
12	A	Yes, sir. I did.
13	Q	And would you point to the area where you
14		processed the pickets?
15	A	The four pickets were taken from the corner area
16		in the backyard of 7 School Street Place.
17	· Q	And, after processing those four pickets, tell
18		us what results, if any, you got.
19	A	As a result of processing, no identifiable ridge
20		detail was developed.
21	. Q	You said you also did three pickets in the gate
22		entrance; is that correct?
23	A	That's correct, sir.
24	Q	I show you, if I could may I approach the

- A That was located in the rear of 7 School Street

 Place in the same portion of fencing where the

 four large pickets were found at the section of

 the fence that abuts 29 School Street.

 I show you Exhibit 73. Would you take a look at

 that. Does that picture depict a piece of the

 fencing -- strike that -- the wood that you
 - A Yes, sir. The piece of wood is located right here next to the picket fence.
 - Q And, as a result of processing that piece of wood, what conclusions, if any, did you come to?
 - A I found no identifiable fingerprints.
 - Q Moving along, ma'am, at some point, did you process two cars that were located in the driveway of 7 School Street Place?
 - A Yes, sir. I did.

processed?

- Q Again, is this standard procedure in the Boston Police Department to process various items at the crime scene?
- A Yes, sir. It is.
- Q Concerning a red Toyota, did you process that, ma'am?
- 24 A Yes, sir.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

		4-1
1	Q	Where was that located, ma'am?
2	A	That was located in the driveway of 7 School
3		Street Place.
4	Q	I show you this photograph here, if I may,
5		Exhibit 46. Do you recognize that, ma'am?
6	A	Yes, sir. I do.
7	Q	Hold it up, if you would.
8		Is that the red Toyota that you
9		processed?
10	A	Yes, sir. It is.
11	, Q	And, as a result of processing the red Toyota,
12		what conclusions, if any, did you come to?
13	A	As a result of processing, I developed a partial
14		palm print and one fingerprint, both which had
15		sufficient ridge detail for a possible
16	-	identification. They were located in this
17		portion of the rear of the motor vehicle at the
18		driver's side rear fender, behind the gas tank.
19	Q	Were you able to identify that particular print
20		that you processed?
21	A	No, sir. I was not.
22	Q	Could you tell the age of that particular print?
23	A	No, sir.

Could you tell the gender of the person that put

- the print there or donated the print? 1 No, sir. 2 Α Could you tell the age of the donor of that 3 Q print? No, sir. 5 Α So you don't know who put that print there? 6 Q 7 Α No, sir. I do not. Now, concerning the Dodge Caravan, did you 8 0 process that? 9 Yes, sir. I did. 10 Α 11 And tell us about your examination. What did Q you process? 12 As a result of processing the Dodge Caravan, I 13 took three fingerprint lifts, one of which 14 15 contained sufficient ridge detail, without 16 enough points of identification for a possible 17 identification. I show you Exhibit No. 41. Would you just hold 18 Q 19 that up. Is that the Dodge Caravan that you 20 processed, ma'am? 21 Α Yes, sir. It is.
 - And you said you were able to identify a Q particular print?
- 24 Α Yes, sir.

23

24

Α

Yes, sir.

1 Q And, as a result of getting that print, did you 2 compare it with some elimination prints? Α Yes, sir. I did. 3 And what is an elimination print? Q Α An elimination print is a deliberate or inked 5 б impression taken of individuals who have ready access or usual access to items that are being 7 8 processed from a crime scene. That would 9 include occupants of an apartment, owners of 10 items and the like. 11 Q For instance, elimination prints were done on the Lacy family, to the best of your knowledge? 12 13 Α Yes, sir. They were. 14 And they were done on the Pitre family, to the Q 15 best of your knowledge? 16 Α Yes, sir. They were. 17 Q And the Pitres own 7 School Street Place, where 18 that Caravan was located; is that right? 19 Yes, sir. And did you take Benjamin Pitre's, the owner of 20 Q 7 School Street Place, and review his prints? 21

I did.

And did you compare his inked impressions with

the latent print that you recovered off the

23

24

Place.

1 Dodge Caravan? 2 Α Yes, sir. I did. Q And, as a result of your comparison, what conclusions, if any, did you come to, ma'am? 4 I found the latent fingerprint on the Dodge Α 5 Caravan to be identical to the Nos. 8 and 9 6 fingers, or the left middle and ring fingers, of 7 Benjamin Pitre. 8 Did you become aware that he owned that 9 Q 10 particular vehicle? 11 Α Yes, sir. I did. 12 Now, on May 31st, you -- did you become involved Q in some testing done at 29 School Street? 13 Yes, sir. 14 Α And was that as a result of a search warrant? 15 Q 16 Α Yes, sir. It was. And what time, again, did you arrive at the 17 Q 18 scene, approximately? 19 Α I believe approximately 6:00 a.m. 20 Q I am talking at the scene, itself, at 29 School Street -- strike that -- at 7 School Street 21

At 7 School Street Place, we received a call at approximately 5:30 p.m. on the 30th of May.

24

handle?

		4-17
1	Q	So you were working throughout the night at this
2		point?
3	A	Yes, sir.
4	Q	Now, at approximately 6:00 a.m., you went to 29
5	-	School Street?
6	A	Yes, sir.
7	Q	And you began collecting various items at
8		29 School Street, the home of the Lacys?
9	A	Yes, sir.
10	Q	In particular, did you collect one glass jar?
11	A	Yes, sir. I did.
12	Q	What else did you collect, ma'am, at that
13		residence, if you recall?
14	A	At the residence, I also collected a handled
15	·	glass mug; a razor handle, a shaving razor
16		handle; three plastic runners from the floor of
17		the kitchen; and a plastic water bottle from the
18	·	table.
19	Q	Now, concerning the glass mug with the handle,
20		did you collect that yourself?
21	A	Yes, sir. I did.
22	Q	And what was done with that glass mug with the

I transported it back to the Identification

24

		4-18
1		Unit.
2	Q	And did Dennis LeBlanc do initial testing on
3		that mug, itself?
4	A	Yes, sir. He did.
5	Q	Concerning the second item, a glass jar, did you
6		examine that, ma'am?
7	A	Yes, sir. I did.
8	Q	Tell us about that. Did you process that
9		particular jar?
10	A	Yes, sir. I did.
11	Q	And, as a result of processing, did you get any
12		identifiable prints?
13	A	Yes, sir. I found one latent fingerprint with
14		sufficient ridge detail for a possible
15		identification.
16	Q	And, again, did you go to your elimination
17		prints concerning the Lacy family?
18	A	Yes, sir. I did.
19	. Q	And did you retrieve Carl Lacy's, which would be
20		the owner of the the husband of the household
21	·	there, his print?
22	A	Yes, sir.

And did you compare his print with the print

found on that second glass jar?

A Yes, sir. I did.

1

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q And, as a result of comparing those two prints,
 what conclusions, if any, did you come to?
 - A I found that the ridge detail on the glass jar was identical to the inked impressions of the No. 6 finger or left thumb of Mr. Carl Lacy.
 - Q Concerning the plastic water bottle, did you process that, as well, ma'am?
 - A Yes, sir. I did.
- Q And, after processing that, what conclusions, if any, did you come to?
 - A I found that the ridge detail was not sufficient for a possible identification.
 - Q Concerning the razor handle, did you process that, as well?
 - A Yes, sir. I did.
 - Q Would you tell us what conclusions, if any, did you come to concerning that razor handle?
 - A I found no identifiable fingerprints.
 - Now, these three plastic runners, these were located on the kitchen floor near the hutch or the cabinet area near the kitchen table, as well?
- 24 A Yes, sir.

- Q And did you process those three plastic runners?

 A Yes, sir. I did.

 And, as a result of processing those three
 - Q And, as a result of processing those three runners, what conclusions, if any, did you come to?
 - A On two of the runners, I found a small amount of ridge detail which proved insufficient or not enough for a positive identification.
 - Q There simply weren't enough points of identification?
- 11 A That's correct.

5

6

7

8

9

- 12 Q Now, on 6/3, June 3rd, 1997, were you furnished

 13 with Sergeant Gregory Gallagher's gun belt and

 14 attachments and radio?
- 15 A Yes, sir. I was.
- Q In particular, the gun belt and attachments, what was included within the attachments?
- A Included were a can of pepper mace, handcuffs
 and holder and a radio holder.
- Q And did you process that material?
- 21 A Yes, sir. I did.
- Q And, as a result of processing that, what conclusions, if any, did you come to?
- 24 A I found no identifiable fingerprints located

		4-21
1		there.
2	Q	And, concerning Sergeant Gallagher's radio, that
3		would be a police radio?
4	A	That's correct, sir. Department issue Motorola
5		walkie-talkie.
6	Q	And did you process that, as well, ma'am?
7	A	Yes, sir. I did.
8	Q	Again, did you come to any conclusions relative
9		to that?
10	A	Yes, sir. I found no identifiable prints.
11	Q	Now, concerning the mug that your colleague,
12		Dennis LeBlanc, tested, did you have an
13		opportunity to look at that on May 31st of 1997?
14	A	Yes, sir. I did.
15	·	MR. COFFEY: May I approach the
16		witness, your Honor?
17		THE COURT: Yes.
18	Q	I show you Exhibit No. 81. Do you recognize
19		that mug?
20	A	Yes, sir. I do.
21	Q	And what do you recognize that mug to be, ma'am?
22	A	This is the mug that I transported from
23		7 School Street Place and was processed by
24		Officer LeBlanc.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q And, on May 31st, was a print identified on that particular mug, ma'am?
- A Yes, sir. It was.
- Q Is that print in the same condition today, June 24th, I believe, or 25th, excuse me, as it was on May 31st, 1997, the print, itself?
- A Yes, sir. It is.
 - Now, is it standard procedure at the Identification Unit once one technician has found a print, made a comparison and made an identification, positive identification from a latent to an inked print, is it standard procedure for a colleague to confirm that person's findings?
 - A Yes, sir. It is. It is always done in any comparison when we have a positive result.
 - Q For instance, the prints that you just mentioned to this jury about Mr. Pitre and Carl Lacy, you found positive prints there; is that correct?
- A That's correct.
- Q Did you have one of your colleagues confirm your findings concerning Pitre and the Lacy prints?
- A Yes, sir. I did.
- Q Relative to the mug that I just showed you,

24

		4-23
1		Exhibit 81, what did you do concerning that mug
2		relative to your confirmation process?
3	A	As far as confirming the print, I examined the
4		mug and examined the latent fingerprint on the
5		mug and compared it to the inked impression.
6	Q	Tell us about your comparison, if you would.
7		What did you do, exactly?
8	A	In the comparison, I was looking for specific
9		points of identification or specific
10		characteristics to be present in each of the two
11		prints in the same relative space in each print.
12		And, as a result of my comparison, I concluded
13		that the latent fingerprint on the glass mug was
14		identical to the inked impression of one
15		Stephan Cowans.
16	. Q	And when did you do your testing, ma'am?
17	A	I did that comparison on June 15th.
18	Q	So the print on the mug was identified or
19		developed, I should say, on May 31st; is that
20		correct?
21	A	That's correct.
22	Q	And when did the Identification Unit become
_		

aware of Stephan Cowans's name?

A Mr. Cowans's name was given to us on June the

		4-24
1		12th.
2	Q	So you had the print for shortly a little
3		less than two weeks?
4	A	That's correct.
5	Q	And, as a result of getting his name,
6		Officer LeBlanc did his initial comparisons?
7	A	Yes, sir. He did.
8	Q	And then you confirmed his findings?
9	A	I did.
10	Q	Did you also do that with strike that.
11		Was there a second print found on that
12		mug, ma'am?
13	A	Yes, sir. There was.
14	Q	And was that from one Bonny Lacy, the owner of
15		29 School Street?
16	A	Yes, sir.
17	Q	And did you take her inked impressions,
18		elimination prints, and compare them with a
19		second print on Exhibit 81?
20	. A	Yes, sir. I did.
21	. Q	Did you go through the same procedure, by that,
22		look for points of identification, compare the
		·

latent print with the inked impressions and find

the various points of identification identical

24

in	each	print?

- A Yes, sir. I did.
- Q And, at the conclusion of your tests, what conclusion did you come to?
 - A I found that the second latent print was identical to the inked fingerprint of Bonnie Lacy.
 - Q Is there any way you can transfer an actual Super Glue print from one object to another?
 - A Super Glue print cannot be transferred to any other item. In Super Glue process, the Super Glue, itself, contains a chemical polymer. That polymer adheres to the moisture within the ridge detail on an item. The process hardens that moisture, making it almost crystalline and bonds it to the surface, so that the actual Super Glue print is bonded to that surface and cannot be removed.
- Q And that was the process that was done on that mug concerning Mr. Cowans's print; is that right?
- A That's correct.
- Q And that mug, as you just told this jury, is in the same condition it was on May 31st, 1997?

1	A	Yes, sir.
2	Q	And that's approximately two weeks before you
3		even had this individual's name; is that
4		correct?
5	A	Yes, sir. It is.
6		MR. COFFEY: One moment, your Honor?
7		THE COURT: Sure.
8		MR. COFFEY: I appreciate your time,
9		ma'am. Thank you.
10		THE COURT: Cross-examine.
11		
12		<u>CROSS-EXAMINATION</u>
13	Q	(By Mr. Dilday) Good morning, Ms. McLaughlin.
14	A	Good morning, sir.
15	Q	Ms. McLaughlin, during the process of this
16		investigation, you were at that house on
17	·	School Street on both May 30th of '97 and
18		May 31st; is that correct?
19	A	I was at 7 School Street Place on the 30th and
20		inside 29 School Street on the 31st.
21	Q	Now, on the 30th of May, you got there were
22		certain items that were retrieved that you
23		examined; is that correct?
24	A	Yes, sir. It is.

to a search warrant, various items were seized

mug at all?

4-28 from 29 School Street; is that correct? 1 2 Α That's correct, sir. And amongst those items were one glass mug with 3 Q a handle? 4 5 Α Yes, sir. 6 Q One glass jar? 7 Α Yes, sir. One plastic water bottle? 8 Q 9 A Yes, sir. 10 Q One razor handle? 11 Α Yes, sir. 12 Q And three plastic rug runners? That's correct. 13 Α Now, out of all these items that were taken on 14 Q May 30th and May 31st, you did the analysis on 15 all of them except for the glass mug with the 16 17 handle; is that correct? 18 Α That's correct, sir. 19 And, after that -- the glass mug with the handle was processed by Police Officer LeBlanc; is that 20 correct? 21 22 A Yes, sir. It is. 23 And were you involved in the processing of that

22

23

- 1 Α No, sir. I was not. And were you with him when he processed that 2 Q mug? 3 No, sir. Α 4 And did you handle that mug and work with it 5 between May 31st of 1997 and June 15th, when you 6 did your review of it? 7 8 Α No, sir. I did not. So it's your testimony that from May 31st of '97 9 10 through June 15th of '97, you didn't have any 11 access to that mug, per se? I had no dealing with it at that time. 12 Α And so you did -- so, when you say the mug is in 13 the same condition as it was on May the 31st of 14 15 1997 --16 Α Yes. 17 -- you didn't see that mug when it was Q 18 processed; did you, ma'am? 19 I did not see the actual processing of the item, 20 no, sir.
 - Now, Mr. Coffey asked you about whether you Q could transfer a print from one item to another. You have been to various classes on fingerprint analysis, including the ones in Quantico run by

1	·	the FBI; is that correct?
2	A	I have not been to Quantico.
3	Q.	But you've been to various classes; is that
4		correct?
5	A	Yes, sir.
6	Q	And you are aware that there is a way that you
7		can lift a print and then place it on another
8		object; isn't that correct?
9	A	In theory, sir. I have never seen it directly.
10	Q	Oh, I understand that you may have never seen
11		it. But you are aware that it can be done?
12	A	I understand the theory, sir.
13	Q	And, in reference for this particular mug, for a
14		two-week period from May 31st through June 15th
15		of 1997, you don't specifically know what was
16		done with that mug; do you, ma'am?
17	A	During that period, no, sir.
18		MR. DILDAY: I have nothing further,
19		your Honor.
20	•	THE COURT: Anything else?
21		MR. COFFEY: A couple quick questions,
22	,	your Honor.
23		*

1		REDIRECT EXAMINATION
2	Q	(By Mr. Coffey) Concerning the mug, the process
3		that was used on that was the Super Glue method?
4	A	Yes, sir. It was.
5	· Q	Not any lifting method; is that correct?
6	A	That's correct.
7		MR. DILDAY: Objection, your Honor.
8		THE COURT: No. He may have the
9		question.
10	<u>.</u>	MR. DILDAY: May we approach side bar.
11		
12		(Side-bar conference.)
13		MR. DILDAY: She wasn't there when the
14		analysis was done.
15		THE COURT: Well, you can come back on
16		redirect. She is answering a question that he
17		put to her, and she may do so.
18		(End side-bar conference.)
19		
20	Q	The process that was used was the Super Glue
21		method concerning that mug?
22	A	Yes, sir.
23	Q	And that print is in the same condition that you
24		saw it on May 31st, 1997?

24

A

Q

	ļ	4-3
1	A	Yes, sir.
2	Q	And, concerning your hours that night, what time
3		did you start, about 4:00 on May 30th in the
4		afternoon?
5	A	That's correct, sir.
6	Q	And what time did you work until?
7	A	I was relieved at 10:00 a.m. on May 31st of '97,
8		sir.
9	Q	Was that mug a priority item because you were
10		informed that the suspect had touched that
11		particular mug?
12	A	Yes, sir. I was told at the scene that that
13		item had been identified positively as having
14		been touched.
15		MR. COFFEY: Thank you.
16		
17		RECROSS-EXAMINATION
18	Q	(By Mr. Dilday) Ms. McLaughlin, you weren't
19		there when the analysis on this mug was done;
20		were you?
21	A	The actual processing?
22	Q	Yes.

And you didn't see who did it; did you?

No, sir.

1/990

	1	4 33
1	A	No, sir.
2	Q	And you didn't actually see how it was done; did
3		you, ma'am?
4	A	The actual processing, no, sir.
5		MR. DILDAY: Thank you.
6		THE COURT: Thank you. You may step
7		down.
8		MR. COFFEY: May I have one moment,
9		your Honor, please?
10	· .	THE COURT: Yes.
11		MR. COFFEY: The Commonwealth calls
12		Tennille Davis, your Honor.
13		
14		TENNILLE DAVIS, Sworn
15		DIRECT EXAMINATION
16	. Q	(By Mr. Coffey) Good morning, ma'am.
17	A	Good morning.
18	Q	If you would be kind enough to just get a little
19		closer to that microphone.
20		Would you tell us your name, please,
21	·	spelling your first and last name?
22	A	Tennille Davis, T-e-n-n-i-l-l-e D-a-v-i-s.
23	Q	Ms. Davis, how old are you?
24	· A	Twenty-one.