```
other?
       Yes.
       So that you felt the lineup was a reliable one?
      Yes.
      Now, I believe counsel asked you what her reactions
    were upon the identification. You said she walked over
 6
    and signed the piece of paper. On direct examination
    you also testified that as the blinds were opened
    she stated something about him, is that correct?
    A That is correct.
 10
    MR. RICHARDSON: Thank you.
    (Adjourned for the day.)
12
                         JULIE LONG
13
    Called as a witness, duly sworn, testified as follows:
             DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. RICHARDSON
15
    Q Would you state your name and occupation for the
16
    Court, please.
17
    A Julie Long. I am a forensic serologist at the Montana
18
    State Crime Lab which is located in Missoula.
19
    Q And your job title is forensic scientist?
20
      Yes.
21
    Q What is your job description?
22
    A I work in the forensic serology department of the
23
    laboratory. Forensic serology is the types of
24
    identification of....
```

- 1 MISS CAUGHLAN: Please the Court, we will stipulate to
- 2 Miss Long's qualifications.
- 3 MR. RICHARDSON: Thank you counsel, but we will not
- stipulate.
- You may continue.
- 6 A As I was saying, forensic serology is the types of
- 7 | identification of the blood and other body fluids primarily
- 8 as evidence that is sent to the laboratory. Our job is
- 9 to analyze the evidence that is submitted by the law
- 10 enforcement agencies.
- 11 | Q How long have you worked in that capacity?
- 12 A I have been fulltime serologist for approximately
- 13 two years. A year ahead of that time I worked parttime
- 14 in serology and parttime as a technician at the lab,
- 15 evidence technician.
- 16 Q Would you briefly describe for us your formal education.
- 17 A I have a Bachelor of Sciene Degree in Microbiology
- 18 from the University of Montana in Missoula.
- 19 Q Have you taken any additional job related courses?
- 20 A Yes, I have.
- 21 | Q What are those?
- 22 A I have taken a course in basic blood analysis from the
- 23 | FBI academy in Virginia. I have also taken a class
- 24 in biochemical methods which is enzymes typing of blood
- 25 stains from the FBI academy. Also, from a serological

```
researching unit in Califormia. I have also taken a
course in hair and fiber examination from the FBI academy
and I have taken a forensic microscopy course from the
McCrone Research Institute in Chicago.
```

- Q Julie, would you briefly relate for us your job related experience?
- A I have been currently at the laboratory for two
  years in this capacity. Before I worked a year as
  evidence technician and parttime in serology. Three
  months prior to that while I was finishing school, I
  did a three month internship at the laboratory.
- Q Do you belong to any professional forensic organizations?
- 13 A Yes, I do.
- 14 | Q What are they?
- A I am a member of the Northwest Association of Forensic Scientists.
- Q Julie, have you previously testified in District Court before as an expert?
- 19 A Yes, I have.
- 20 | Q How many times?
- 21 A Approximately ten.
- 22 Q How many times as an expert in serology?
- 23 A I believe eight.
- Q Julie, would you briefly review for us what your typical function in a rape case would be.
  - A The evidence is submitted to the laboratory from

```
a law enforcement agency. They are either brought
   by person or sent in the mail or by UPS. The evidence
    arrives at the laboratory. The evidence technician
    or someone acting as evidence technician signs the
    evidence in, assigns it a log number for her evidence
 5
    log and then the evidence is put in locked storage
   until the time for the scientist to analyze the evidence,
 7
   at which time the analyist goes to the person that
 8
   signed in the evidence. The evidence is signed over to
   the analyist and the analyist opens the evidence, breaks
10
   the seals and analyzes the evidence.
11
   Q Could you briefly review for us what kind of evidence
12
   you receive from law enforcement.
13
   A Typically in rape cases, there is clothing from the
14
   victim or suspect, bedding, any articles of clothing
15
   or materials that were at the crime scene. There are
16
   also samples that are taken from the victim at the hospital
17
   that are taken by a physician or nurse. Samples are
   also submitted to the laboratory so we can perform tests
   to determine the differences between the individuals
20
   involved. If there is any other previous sexual partners
21
   within a certain period of time. We need to type
22
   these samples of blood and saliva from these people
23
   so we can differentiate between the people involved.
24
```

Q So, you also request that standards be taken from

- other individuals?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 | Q Did you receive evidence in the case we are in
- 4 | Court about today?
- 5 A Yes, I did.
- 6 | Q How did you receive that evidence?
- 7 A There were three evidence submissions that were
- 8 brought over personally from the detectives from the
- 9 Butte-Silver Bow Sheriff's.
- 10 Q What was done with that evidence when it arrived at
- 11 | the crime lab?
- 12 A The evidence, as I explained previously, was assigned
- 13 its evidence log and then put into the locked evidence
- 14 vault until the analyist could analyze the evidence
- or if it was evidence that should be refrigerated
- 16 it was placed in refrigeration.
- 17 Q Did you deal personally with all the evidence in this
- 18 case?
- 19 A Yes, I did.
- 20 Q When you received it, was there any evidence that any
- 21 seals had been broken or anything such as that?
- 22 A No, everything was intact.
- 23 Q Did you do anything with this evidence other than
- 24 perform serology tests?
- 25 A Yes, I did.

- 1 | Q What was that?
- 2 A Two of the particular items that were sent in, I
- 3 took off the hair samples that were contained on those
- 4 items. That basically involves laying out the items.
- 5 | In this case I believe it was a bed spread and a blanket.
- 6 I laid the bed spreak or blanket on the table and take
- 7 | a pair of tweezers and take the hairs off one at a time.
- 8 Each one is put separately on a glass microscope slide,
- 9 3" x 1". The hair is placed on miscroscope slides.
- 10 There is a mounting that is put on here to hold it in
- 11 | place and a small, it is covered with a smaller piece
- of glass to hold it here on to the glass. Each slide
- 13 is labeled as to the item and where it comes from and
- 14 these mounts are prepared so that they can be examined,
- 15 it is a permanent mount. After I finish mounting all
- 16 the slides, the hair slides, then I assign them to Arnold
- 17 | Melnikoff to do the hair analysis.
- 18 | Q Is that what you did in this case?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 | Q I am going to hand you now what has been marked
- 21 | for identification purposes as State's Exhibit 2.
- 22 | Could you briefly review that.
- 23 A It is a black plastic garbage bag. That is my label
- 24 on the front there, Butte-Silver Bow, Item A. I did
- 25 | not put it back in the original bag because it was

```
get it in the plastic bag.
 2
      So that you placed it in that bag yourself?
 3
      Yes, I did. This is my seal that is on here, my
    lab number and signature. This appears to be the
    bed spread that I took the hairs off.
 6
    Q Now, I would direct your attention to what has been
   marked and admitted into evidence as State's Exhibit 4.
   Could you testify as to what that is.
   A This again is a white plastic garbage type bag.
10
    It contains a multi-colored blanket. It has my seal and
11
   signature on it and it appears to be the same blanket
12
   I pulled the hairs off for examination.
     And that is the bag which you returned the blanket
14
   to when you were finished?
15
      Yes.
16
      And placed your seal on it?
     Yes, I did.
18
      That is also the same procedure you followed with
19
   State's Exhibit 2, is that correct?
20
   A Yes, that's right.
21
      So, Julie, it is your testimony then that everything
22
   you performed on these pieces of evidence was standard
23
   operating procedure for the crime lab in Missoula?
24
   A Yes.
25
```

a bed spread and I couldn't roll it up small enough to

```
Q Now, Julie, you previously testified that you received
    from law enforcement agency standards. Could you testify
    and inform us as to what they are?
    A Yes, we received blood and saliva standards from
 4
               , from
                                 and from Chester Bauer.
 5
      Could you tell us what those standards contained?
   A As far as identification?
 7
      You have already testified to blood standards.
 8
   A Right.
   Q OK, why do you request these?
10
   A We need to differentiate the people that are in
11
   involved in the alleged crime. In this particular
12
   instance there were three individuals involved.
13
   first type of procedure we go through is the ABO.
   You are all probably familiar with your ABO blood type,
15
   typed by the Red Cross or gave blood some time in your
16
   life, and that is one particular system that you can
17
   be typed in. There are 4 ABO blood types, A, B, AB
18
   and O. So, we first determine that of all the individuals.
19
   Then we determine if they are secretors, which simply
20
   means that if you are a secretor -- 80% of the population
21
   will secrete your blood type substance, your semen,
22
   fluids, wet saliva. It is very important in rape cases
23
   to determine if the people are involved are secretors.
24
   For example, if your blood type is "A" and you are a
25
```

```
secretor, if you are a secretor, you will have "A"
    substance in your fluids, in your vaginal fluid,
2
    and your semen, in your saliva, etc.
      So, that is why we request blood standards and saliva
   standards to determine the secretor standards of the
   individual.
      Then we go on to another type of system which is called
 7
   the PGM system. It is simply another system that we
   look at. PGM is enzymes which is found in all cells
   of your body. It takes part in breaking down the
10
   sugar. Each cell in your body breaks down sugar into
11
   enzymes. A lot of research has been done and PGM enzymes
12
   can be typed. Different distribution in the population
13
   so that we can distinguish between the individuals
   involved. That is what we needed to do in this case.
15
   The individuals were typed as far as ABO blood type,
16
   their secretor status and PGM type.
17
   Q You performed all those tests on the standards
   that were submitted to you?
19
   A Yes, I did.
20
   Q Could you briefly recap what your findings were
21
   in that regard.
22
   A Yes. May I refer to my notes?
23
   Q With the Court's permission.
24
   THE COURT: She may refresh her memory.
25
```

```
is ABO type O. She is a secretor which
    means that she secretes "H" substance. "H" substance
    is basically the same as blood type 0. The person
    who has ABO blood system should have named it ABH
    in order to be correct. "H" substance is a basic
    substance which is A substance and B substance are made
          So, if you are blood type 0, you don't have
    A or B substance but you have H and that is what
                So, she is a secretor, she is blood type 0.
   Her PGM type is, more specifically her PGM sub-type
10
              That is how it is denoted. This is how we
11
   are going to denote this type. So, her PGM subtype
12
    is 2-1+.
13
14
                  is ABO type A. He is a non-secretor
   which means that in his semen and other body fluids,
15
   he will not secrete any ABH substances.
16
   confirmed by the saliva samples. His PGM subtype is 1+1-
   which is different from her, she was a 2-1+.
19
      Chester Bauer is blood type O and he is a secretor
20
   so he will secrete "H" substance in his body fluid,
   semen and saliva. This was also confirmed by the
22
   saliva standard. His PGM subtype is 1+1+. So, these
23
   three individuals can be distinguished in the PGM
                                                    is 2-1+,
   system that I was just explaining to you.
              is 1+1-, and Chester Bauer is 1+1+.
```

```
MR. RICHARDSON: Thank you, Julie. Now, I would like
    to direct your attention to the evidence which was
    submitted to you, specifically that evidence that was
    obtained at the hospital after the rape occurred. First,
    the first item I would like to direct your attention to
    were the vaginal samples that were submitted to your
    office. Did you perform tests on those also?
    A Yes, I did.
      What tests did you perform?
   A OK. When we receive the samples, the most common
10
    samples that are sent in are vaginal samples. We are
11
    asked to determine if there is semen on those vaginal
    samples and, if so, to try to determine the time of
13
    intercourse from our results. There are different
14
   constituents of semen that we look for, acid
15
   phosphatase is another enzyme. It's found in mens'
16
   semen, it is also found in vaginal fluids but it is
17
   found in thousands of times more concentrated semen
   than in vaginal fluids. That is very easy to tell that
   it is acid phosphatase level, quantity of level, a seminal
20
   level. We also look for Choline. Choline is another
21
   chemical found in semen. We also look for sperm.
22
   Sperm is the best test possible test you can have for
   semen. Sperm are only found in semen. Another thing
24
25
   we look for is P30. It is a protein that is consistently
```

```
found in humans and in no other body fluid or on any
    other animal semen. That was recently developed
    there are many cases where we can't find sperm because
 3
    the person involved may have had a vasectomy.
   we look at four parameters to make the determination
   if there is semen present on these vaginal samples
   that are sent in.
      In this particular case there was semen identified
   in two of the vaginal samples that were submitted
   from
10
   Q Were you able to make any determination as to how
   recent sexual intercourse had taken place prior to the
12
   time that the samples were taken?
   A Yes. I determined that she had sexual intercourse within
   24-48 hours of the examination. More specifically since
   I found Choline present, that cuts down the time of
16
   intercourse to within approximately 4 to 6 hours of
  the examination. So Choline is a chemical that breaks
  down very quickly in the vaginal cavity and it is not
19
  found after a long period of time.
  Q So that you can testify here today that based on
21
  your results and your examinations,
22
                                                   had
  sexual intercourse within 4 to 6 hours of the time that
  that sample was taken from her at the hospital?
    Yes.
25
```

- 1 Q Secondly, I would like to refer to another piece of
- evidence, the sanitary napkin that was sent to your lab.
- 3 Did you perform the same tests on that?
- 4 A Yes, I did.
- 5 Q And what were your results?
- 6 A Basically, I received the same results. I analyzed
- 7 | for those same seminal constituents located
- 8 in the area on the pad that appeared to be some type
- 9 of drainage or a stained area. I did find presence
- of semen on this sanitary napkin that was sent it.
- The sample was also analyzed for ABH substances since
- she is a secretor and Chester Bauer is also a secretor.
- 13 I did find the presence of "H" substance on the pad.
- 14  $\mid$ I attempted to do the PGM typing on the sample but I
- did not get any result.
- 16 Q Now, Julie, you testified previously that both Chester
- 17 Bauer and were secretors, is that true?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 So, you cannot specifically testify here today that
- 20 the "H" factor you found in the semen was from one
- 21 individual or the other, is that correct?
- 22 A No.
- 23 Put you did find the "H" factor in a sample which
- 24 you believe to be semen, is that correct?
- 25 A Yes.

```
OK, thirdly I would like to refer to the panties
    you received. I would like to show you what has been
   admitted into evidence and marked as State's Exhibit No. 1.
   Could you identify that.
   A This is a plastic evidence bag containing a pair of
   pink panties. These are the same ones that I examined.
   Two areas have been cut out. When we locate a stain
   on a particular item, we cut it out so we can perform
   the tests on the stain.
                            It also had some paper in here
   in which Arnold Melnikoff examined the item before I
   did for hair and this is his seal. This came to me in
11
   this way. It was in his sealed paper container and
   it was assigned over to me.
13
  Q After you performed the tests, did you again place
14
   that in the sealed package?
15
   A Yes, I did.
16
      To the best of your examination, those are the
17
   panties that you performed your tests on?
18
  A Yes.
19
  Q Now, Julie, again did you perform some tests on
  those panties?
21
  A Yes, I performed the same tests that I previously
22
  described on the sanitary napkin and the vaginal
23
  samples.
24
```

Q Where specifically did you find the stain on those

```
panties?
   A It was on the back, right above the crotch on the
   back, the rear part of the item.
3
   Q Based on your experience in rape cases, is that
   an uncommon place to find a stain?
   A No, that is not uncommon.
     Would you then proceed as to what tests you
   performed and what results you received on those panties.
     I performed the same analysis on the previous
   items with regard to the presence of semen. I did find
10
   presence of semen on that item in that particular
11
   stained area that I cut out. I also performed tests
12
   for secretor substance and found the "H" factor
13
   present. I also typed the stain as far as PGM
14
   system and the PGM subtype, the result that I got
15
   was 1+1+.
16
      Based on your results there, Julie, could
17
        have been the source of that semen?
      Not in regards to the PGM subtype, no.
19
```

A Yes, I was.

20

21

22

23 | Q What results did you get there?

make a comparison with the standards?

A The semen type that I found on that stain is the same type as Chester Bauer.

Then in regards to the PGM subtype, were you able to

- 1 | Q Could you testify here today that Chester Bauer is the
- 2 only source of that semen?
- 3 A He is not the only one in the population that has that
- 4 subtype.
- 5 | Q Now, Julie, I previously have asked you if you could
- 6 prepare an exhibit for demonstrative purposes only
- 7 | that might aid the jury in your testimony. Have you done
- 8 | that?
- A Yes.
- 10 Q Now, I will show you what has been marked for
- 11 demonstration purposes as State's Exhibit 7. Is that the
- 12 demonostration that you have prepared?
- 13 A Yes, it is.
- 14 Q Would that aid you in your testimony?
- 15 A Yes, it will.
- 16 Q Would that aid the jury in understanding your
- 17 testimony?
- 18 A Yes. I believe it would.
- 19 | Q Therefore, Julie, could you testify as to what
- 20 percent of male population could be the source
- 21 of the semen that you found in the panties of Dawne
- 22 | Kindt?
- 23 A Yes, I can. This will summarize the types of
- 24 | individual that I was talking about. We start with
- 25 the ABO blood type system. The four types you are familiar

```
with, A, O, AB and B. He is in this percentile, 45% of the population is blood type O, 40% is A, 10% is B, 5% is AB. He is type O, that is the fraction that we are mainly concerned with here. 80% of the population are secretors, 20% are non-secretors. He is in the 80 percentile of secretor. In regard to PGM subtypes, 39.6% of the population has his subtype, 1+1+ and down here as far as sex, you are assuming that half the population is male and half is female. That is where 50% comes from. In order to get the percent of the population that is certain combined type simply multiply, it would be .45 X .8. .396 X .5 and that comes to be 7.15% of the population. That is the percentile of male population that have the same type as Chester Bauer.
```

- Q Julie, I would like you for the purpose of my next question assume the following facts are true.
- 1. That was raped on January 26, 1983, and that the rapist climaxed in her vaginal cavity.
- 2. That on that day she had put on a clean pair of panties.
- 3. That after the rape she again put on those same panites and semen from the man who raped her drained from the vaginal cavity and became deposited on those cavities.

```
Finally, that those panties were sent to you for ex-
    amination and that you performed the tests on them
    and received the results that you have testified to here
    today. Did you form an opinion of the percent of the
    male population the rapist would belong to?
      Yes.
       How positive are you of your opinion?
 7
    A Very positive.
       What is the degree of your certainty?
 9
       I am real certain.
10
    Q What is your opinion?
11
       That the source of semen would be 7.15% as is
12
    Chester Bauer.
13
    Q Based on your tests and results of Chester Bauer's
14
    blood and saliva, you can conclude that he is part of
15
    that 7.15% of the male population?
16
    A Yes.
17
      Thank you, I have no further questions.
18
             CROSS EXAMINATION BY MISS CAUGHLAN
19
   Q Miss Long, it is your testimony then that the
20
   field of individuals inclusive of Mrs. Kindt can be
21
   limited to a certain percentage of the population,
22
   is that correct?
23
   A The drainage from that, on that particular stain,
24
   the stain on the panties.
25
```

```
Q All right. Referring then to that particular stain,
```

- would that, the source of that stain be only the semen?
- $^3\mid$  A It is possible there is vaginal fluid there.
- $^4\mid \mathsf{Q}$  And that vaginal fluid would be from Mrs. Kindt?
- <sup>5</sup> A Yes.
- $^6$  Q Could the "H" substance having been found on that stain
- 7 belong to Mrs.
- 8 A Yes, it could.
- 9 | Q You cannot identify the individual from whom it came?
- 10 A Not the "H" substance, no.
- 11 Q Can you identify it as belonging to male or female?
- 12 A No.
- 13 Q So, actually would it then be your testimony that you
- could still limit that percentage of the population
- 15 to 7.15?
- 16 A Yes if you take all the results that we get. If
- 17 | all I had was just the "H" substance, say a stain had
- $^{18}$  deteriorated to the point where the only result I
- 19 would get would be an "H" factor, then no I could not
- 20 say that. But combine that with all the other results
- 21 | I've got, that it was semen, that it was typed PGM
- 22 system, then I can make the determination of the percentage.
- 23 Q But you can't say whether the "H" substance came from
- 24 the male or not?
- 25 A Not the "H" substance, no.

Q So, that really the only identifiable substance that could not be identified to Mrs. than the presence of some kind of male semen, would have been the PGM substance, is that correct? That's correct. Q So, would that enlarge the field of population that could have possibly had sexual intercourse with her on that day? A I don't understand, I am sorry. Q Well, your testimony is that the PGM substance is 10 the distinctive marker. 11 A Correct, in combination with the rest, yeah. 12 Q But in combination with the rest, the "H" substance 13 , is that correct? could have come from Mrs. 14 A Oh, I understand, yes, correct. 15 Q Is it also correct that the individual 16 that had sexual intercourse with her could possibly 17 have been a nonsecretor, is that also correct? 18 A In just referring to the "H" substance, yes. 19 Q So, you cannot tell whether or not that "H" substance 20 was identifiable with anyone other than Mrs. 21 A No, not the "H" substance. Q Wouldn't that expand the range of the possible sexual 23 from typing that stain? partners of Mrs. 24 A If you disregard the "H", yes it would. 25

- 1 | Q If you disregard the "H" substance?
- A Yes.
- 3 | Q So, what would that percentage of the population be?
- 4 A It would be .45 times .396 times .5. I don't have
- 5 | a calculator.
- 6 Q Wouldn't it be...
- 7 A Excluding this is what you are doing, you would take
- 8 out this factor.
- 9 | Q You would take out this factor of whether or not
- 10 the individual was a secretor?
- 11 A Right.
- 12 | Q And you would multiply it by the PGM substance
- $_{13}$  | subtype that was found 39.6 times 50% of the population,
- 14 | is that correct?
- 15 A Right.
- 16 | Q And that is the only distinctive marker other than
- 17 | the obvious presence of sexual activity, is that correct?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q And assuming that 39.6% of the population contained
- 20 | that PGM substance and half the population is male,
- 21 | that would be 19.8%?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 | Q If I were to tell you that the population of Silver
- 24 | Bow County was approximately 38,000, that 19% of that
- 25 | number would be approximately 7500. Would you agree

```
with me that that percentage of the population could
 1
    have been responsible for that PGM subtype in your
 2
    experiments.
   A Yes, they could be responsible for the subtype.
 4
    They would have that subtype.
 5
   Q That is the distinctive marker that is found in
   those substances that you tested?
     Right.
   MISS CAUGHLAN:
                   I have no further questions but I have a
   motion I would like to make out of the presence of the
   jury.
   THE COURT: Let's take a short recess, ladies and
               Step outside the hallway.
   gentlemen.
13
            MOTION OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY
14
   MISS CAUGHLAN:
                   I move that the testimony of Mrs. Long
  be stricken and the jury instructed to disregard it
  on the grounds that the testimony is more prejudicial
  than probative in that she testified a rather large
18
  population that could have been responsible for the
  presence of that substance in the tests. Uh, 19.8%
  of the population of Silver Bow County is approximately
  7500 male individuals that would contain substance
  in their body fluid and offering it as evidence against
   the Defendant is more prejudicial than probative.
   Using as my authority for making this motion is two
25
```

```
Robinson found at 27 New York 2nd 864, 1970.
     People of New York vs. Carl Masadino 42 New York 2nd
     944, 1977 case.
        In those cases, your honor, the Judge found that the
    evidence offering of an A type secretor's semen as evidence
    in a criminal trial was prejudicial in that a large
    percentage of the population in that 30-35% were type
    A secretors and that the Judge found that these facts
    were more prejudicial than probative and should not be
 10
    admitted and that is an analogy to this situation.
11
    Apparently there are 19.8% of the individuals that
12
    would have this genetic marker in their bodies and
13
    75., excuse me, 7500 individuals would be a rather
14
    large field to say that this individual could possibly
15
    be part of tht field. I think it is more prejudicial
16
    considering the weight the jury would give what is
17
    purported to be scientific evidence.
18
   MR. RICHARDSON: Your honor, maybe I missed something
19
   in the calculation of these figures but I think we
20
   broke down 19% of the population of Silver Bow to
21
   7800 but I don't remember breaking that in half which
22
   would be the male population.
                                  That would be 3800.
23
   I am not concerned with numbers. I think it is
24
   certainly probative, your honor. Mrs. Long has testified
25
```

two cases from New York, People of New York vs. James

MRS. LONG:

THE COURT:

24

25

Yes.

```
she has found the subtype that corresponds only with
  1
    Mr. Bauer's of the three standards she tested.
    more, I think the remedy for this is not arguing to the
  3
    Court fo exclusion but for proper cross examination.
    I think this is customary for the jury. It is part of
 5
    the fact finding process of the jury and an effective
 6
    remedy for this is cross examination to point out
 7
    the things she just pointed out to the Court.
 8
    THE COURT: I conclude it is a question of fact, let it
 9
    be upon the jury putting so much weight as they with on
10
    the credibility of her testimony. It is something
   you can argue about to the jury. I am not going to strike
   her testimony so your motion is denied.
13
   MISS CAUGHLAN: Your honor, if I may make one correction,
   I think that Mr. Richardson misunderstood me.
15
   believe...
16
               I wasn't too certain if you were using 50%
   THE COURT:
17
   figure or not.
18
   MISS CAUGHLAN: I was using the 50% figure, your honor,
19
                  The PGM subtype that we are talking
   in the 19.8%.
   about was 39.6% of the total population so that
21
   would be 19.8 of the total population.
22
                                            Do you agree
   with that?
23
```

Just so we clear the air there.

1	REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. RICHARDSON
2	Q Just a few brief questions on redirect. You have
3	testified that you found that Chester Bauer is a secretor
4	and that secretes "H" substance, is that correct?
5	A Yes, that's correct.
6	Q You testified that the stain you found on the panties,
7	you found presence of semen?
8	A Yes.
9	Q You also found the presence of "H" substance?
10	A Yes.
11	Q You also found PGM subtype of Chester Bauer, is that
12	correct?
13	A That's correct.
14	Q Now then going back to my hypothetical question,
15	assuming that that was deposited by the rapist, and
16	I will assume that he was also an "H" secretor,
17	then what percentage of the male population would the
18	rapist be found in?
19	A 7.15.
20	MR. RICHARDSON: Thank you, I have nothing further.
21	THE COURT: You are excused, thank you.
22	ARNOLD MELNIKOFF
23	Called as a witness, duly sworn, testified as follows:
24	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FLEMING

Q State your name for the record, please.

- 1 | A Arnold Melnikoff.
- 2 | Q Would you spell your last name, please.
- $3 \mid A \quad M-E-L-N-I-K-O-F-F.$
- 4 | Q Where do you currently work?
- 5 A Montana Crime Laboratory.
- 6 | Q Do you have a specific job title at the Montana Crime
- 7 | Lab?
- 8 A Yes, I do.
- 9 | Q What is that?
- 10 A Bureau Chief in Charge of the Laboratory.
- 11 Q Would you describe what your responsibilities are
- 12 as Bureau Chief in Charge of the Laboratory?
- 13 A I have certain administrative dutes as far as the
- 14 laboratory is concerned, and I also spend a considerable
- 15 amount of my time doing examination of physical evidence.
- 16 Q How long have you worked in that capacity, Arnold?
- 17 | A Since July, 1970.
- 18 Q 1970?
- 19 A Right.
- 20 | Q Approximately 13 years?
- 21 A That is correct.
- 22 | Q What is your formal education?
- 23 A I have a Master's Degree in Organic Chemistry from
- 24 | the University of Montana and a Bachelor's Degree
- 25 | in Biology from Northern Illinois University.

- Q In addition to that educational background in the course of your employment with the State Crime Lab, have you taken additional courses that help you in your job
- in your choice of jobs?
- A Yes, I have.
- 6 Q Would you briefly describe to the jury what they are.
- 7 A I took several additional courses at the University
- 8 of Montana Pharmacy School, one on drug microscopy
- 9 which involves the identification of plants, another
- 10 course on Toxicology which is the identification of
- poisonous substances in body fluids and I took a course
- 12 | in hair identification from the FBI Laboratory in
- 13 Washington, D.C. I took a course in Forensic Microscopy
- 14 from LaCrone Institute.
- 15 Q All these courses were job related?
- 16 A Directly related to the work I do.
- 17 | Q Now, do you belong to any professional organizations?
- 18 A Yes, I do.
- 19 Q What are those?
- 20 | A Northwest Association of Forensic Scientists, the
- 21 American Adaemy of Crime Laboratory Directors.
- 22 | Q Have you ever presented any papers or attended
- 23 meetings in any of these organizations?
- 24 A Yes, I have.
- 25 | Q Would you detail what papers were presented.

- 1 A The two papers on identification of fire accelerants
- 2 using gas chromatography. One paper about use of Diamond
- 3 | Call for Infrared Spectrometry. Another paper on
- 4 | Cocaine Toxicity and a very recent paper just a couple
- of months ago on the morphological characteristics
- 6 of primate hair which includes humans.
- 7 Q Is that generally the identification of primate hair
- 8 and subtypes or categories of hairs?
- 9 A Yes. When the hair is examined microscopally,
- 10 there is a morphological characteristic that distinguish
- 11 hair from different animals, different genera of animals
- 12 which includes primates and stuff. Genera of animals
- 13 which includes monkeys, apes, humans, they are considered
- 14 to be primate hair.
- 15 Q Have you ever testified in a District Court in the
- 16 | State of Montana before?
- 17 A Yes, I have.
- 18 Q Do you know how many times?
- 19 A Many times.
- 20 | Q Have you qualified as an expert in those District
- 21 | Courts?
- 22 A Yes, I have.
- 23 | Q Have you ever testified with resepct to the morphological
- 24 | characteristics of primate hair before?
- 25 A Yes, as to human hair primarily.

```
Do you recall how many times?
       I believe this is the 16th or 17th time.
       In each of those other times, you qualified as an
 3
    expert in the District Court?
       Yes, I have.
       In the present case, State of Montana versus Chester
    Bauer, have you received any evidence at the Crime Lab
    that you were responsible for with respect to this case?
      Yes, I did.
       Do you recall what the evidence was?
10
       Yes. Different articles of bedding from the
11
    residence and standard hair samples of Chester Bauer,
12
                and
13
       Those were known standards from those individuals?
14
      Yes.
15
      You were absolutely certain that they were their
16
    samples?
17
      They were marked and sealed as such, yes.
18
      You received those in a sealed condition?
19
   A Yes, I did.
20
      When you received those items, what do you do with those
21
   items that are known standards?
22
   A Well, in any hair examination the first thing you
23
   have to be able to do is distinguish by morphological
24
   characteristics that you can see under a microscope,
25
```

```
hair from different individuals that you cannot distinguish
 1
    their hair then there is no point in doing additional
 2
    identification you cannot tell one person from the other.
 3
       In this case did you also receive samples -- we.
 4
    had Julie Long testify, she works with you at the Crime
 5
    Lab, is that correct?
 6
    A Yes.
    Q We had her testify earlier that she took some hairs
 8
    from bedding that was submitted to you by Detective
    Dave Gertz with respect to this?
10
             She examined the bedding before I got a chance
11
    to do it so in the course of doing her examination she
    removed whatever hair was present and marked them and
13
    put them on separate microscope slides and then gave them
14
    to me.
15
    Q Did you perform any tests on the slides prepared
16
    byJulie Long and then slides prepared of the known
17
    samples of
                                         Chester Bauer?
18
      Yes, I did.
19
      Could you describe what tests you performed?
20
      Basically, I looked at the general characteristics
21
    of the hair that you can normally see with your eye
22
   light, approximately what color hair you can see with
23
   your naked eye and measured the length of their
24
   individual hair standards and then I put those hairs
25
```

on separate slides and marked them and then examined them microscopically. Under the microscope you see a lot of detail that you obviously can't see with the naked eye and that detail allows you to see what are called morphological characteristics that are representative of each one of those individual pubic and head hair. After I familiarize myself with the range of morphological characteristics of the head and pubic hair of those three individuals, I then examined hair that was removed from the bedding.

Q Now, in the course of your examination you used the microscope. Did you also prepare 35 mm. slides

Q Now, in the course of your examination you used the microscope. Did you also prepare 35 mm. slides of the examinations that you performed on these head hair and pubic hair?

A Yes, I did. The microscope we have is actually a comparison microscope. It allows you to look at the images from two microscopes simultaneously because there is a bridge connecting the two, so you can see a known hair and unknown hair side by side at the same time. It also has a very good camera which automatically sets time exposure and allows you to take pictures, colored pictures, of what you actually see at the same time you examine it. In the course of examining the slides, I took representative pictures of what I was looking at to document what I did.

```
Did you bring those representative slides with you?
 1
        Yes.
 2
       Do you have them in your possession?
 3
       Yes, I do.
 Δ
       May I have those for just a moment.
 5
                                              I will mark
    them as a group exhibit.
 6
      (Mr. Fleming had the slides marked as an exhibit.)
 7
    I am going to hand you two plastic containers of
 8
    slides and for convenience and ease in identification
    I have just simply marked them as 8. Let the record
10
   reflect that there are approximately 13 slides comprising
11
   Exhibit No. 8. Now, are those the slides that you prepared
12
   in the course of making the examination of these known
13
   head hairs from the blankets or pubic hairs from the
14
   blankets?
15
      They are.
16
      Do those accurately depict the results you obtained
17
   in the course of your examination?
18
     Yes, they show the exact same thing that you would
19
   ee with the microscope in the examination.
20
     And those slides, have they been altered in any way?
21
     The only thing that has been done, I marked them
22
   with a description describing what they show in my own
23
   handwriting. The actual photograph in each slide has
24
   not been altered.
```

```
Q Your honor, I at this time move for the admission
  1
     of State's Exhibit No. 8.
  2
     MISS DUNLAP: No objection.
  3
     THE COURT: Eight is admitted.
     Q Arnold, would it help the jury in understanding
  5
     your testimony if you were to show those slides at this
  6
     time?
 7
    A Yes, it would be a lot easier to understand what
 8
    is involved in hair examination if you can see a visual
    representation of the person examined.
 10
    Q And it would clarify their understanding?
11
    A Right, it would give them something to look at,
12
    the description I give of each slide.
13
    Q Your honor, I would ask at this time that Mr. Melnikoff
    be allowed to make his presentation with the slides.
15
    THE COURT:
                Go ahead.
16
   Q My last question was, would you show the slides
17
   and present them.
                       I think the format of what we will
18
   do is I will ask you to go the screen and explain what
19
   each slide depicts. When you wish me to change the
20
   slide, just say "next slide".
21
   A The first slide is a photograph of the actual
22
   microscope that was used in this examination. It compares
23
   microscopes and it is distinguished by the fact that it
24
   has two microscopes. As you can see, there is a bridge
25
```

```
connecting the two. You look through this binocular
 1
    head and you can see side by side comparisons of
 2
 3
         images and two separate microscopes.
    an automatic camera which allows you to take a picture
    of the same thing you are seeing in the binocular
 5
    head of the microscope.
 6
    Q This is the instrument that was used to take these
    slides and make the examination, is that correct?
    A Yes, it is.
                    The first slide I will show you are
    actual standards of head hair prepared on Chester Bauer
10
11
                      The microscopic examinations of hair,
    there are three major things you can look at. Each one
12
    of those can be broken down into a sub-description.
13
    The picture covers the whole hair. It is a long section
14
   put across the slide. You can only see it on half,
15
   the rest of the time it is over the rest of the hair.
16
17
   It's transparent so you can't see it too well.
   beings, in caucasian hair it is usually thick to inter-
18
   mediate thickness. Other races can be thicker.
19
20
   The area between the edge of the hair and center here
   is called cortex. That is the major area of the hair.
21
22
   In that hair that is/the pigment granuals are located.
   They determine color of the hair. In most individuals
23
   there is a variance in the same individual. You have
24
   lighter and darker hair. The main thing beside the
25
```

color is their distribution, shape and size. Independent of what the shape the hair is of that particular individual, from the same individual the pigment granuals have the same distribution, shape and size in the cortex of the hair. Other areas of human hair are called medullary. Human hair is quite variable. In fact, it is one of the major characteristics separating human hair from other animals. Most other animals the center part is always present and thicker than human pubic hair. It is one of the easist ways to tell pubic human hair from other animals. Some people had hair with very little medullation. Other people it is fairly constant and most people are in between where they have some.

This is a standard of Chester Bauer's hair. His hair has intermediate thickness, not as thick like here. 's hair, that is a general characteristic of all the standards I examined for that type of cutical thickness. This hair is medullation or presence of it is very rare. I only saw a couple of hairs in a very small area. Ninety-five (95%) of the hair I examined did not show any medullary. It has a pigment pattern which you can see here. The pigment area is very small and are very easily seen throughout his hair.

's hair usually shows a presence of medullary.

25

```
That may be dark or it may be translucent. It is
     present in most of her hair. Also, the pigmentation
2
     is more clumpy. In some places there are larger amounts
     of pigmentation and other places there is less.
     Her hair varied in color from root to tip. Her hair
5
     is rather long. Human hair will tend to bleach and
7
     you can see that with people whose hair is fairly
     long and dark to start with. The end of the hair will
8
     be lighter than the root of the hair. This view, what
9
     you are seeing is the same hair. This lighter hair is
10
11
     toward the tip, the darker is closer to the root.
     So, you have that to look at as well as medullary
12
     characteristics.
13
     Q Your testimony then is the left side of this picture
14
     as broken down by the -- the left side is the known
15
     head hair standard of Chester R. Bauer?
16
17
     A That's correct.
     Q The right is a known head hair standard of Dawne
18
19
     Kindt?
20
     A Correct.
     Q From looking into the microscope, you can distinguish
21
     these head hairs as shown on these pictures, that they
     are different?
23
```

Q Now, the other thing I would ask you now, if you

A Right. You see the exact same thing.

```
were to take a look at head hair with the naked eye,
 2 dompared to the naked eye how many times do they magnify
   it compared to the naked eye?
   A That particular photograph is 150 times.
                                                 The hair has
   been magnified 150 times compared to what you see with the
   naked eye.
 6
   Q That is done to make this comparison?
     Right so you can see details you cannot see with the
   naked eye.
      On the left is a standard head hair of Chester Bauer
10
   compared to a standard hair of
                                               and in this
11
   slide
                    , you see three locations of her hair.
12
   Her hair was quite long and you can only see a small
   part of it.
               So, I found an area where her hair overlapped
   and it showed an area of hair that was very light and
   bleached by the sun. Here it is light but it is not
   as light as next to the tip of her hair, very close to the
   root where it is darker. It shows the color of her hair
18
   from the root to the tip. Again, you see basically the
19
  same thing as I described independent of the color of
20
  her hair. It can be dark or translucent.
                                              The pigment
21
  distribution is kind of blotchy, it is heavy in places
22
  and lighter in places. The slide on the left is a
23
  standard of Mr. Bauer's hair. Again you see this edge
  here which is the cuticle edge, which is clipped compared
                 's hair and you don't see the medullary
  tο
                             231
```

```
in this view. Also, you can see the same type of
   pigment distribution and that is just blotchy, as you can
   see in her hair.
   Q And the medullaries that you are discussing are the
   lines down the middle to sort of make it look like a
   highway?
   A Yes, the medullaries are in the center part of her
   hair.
   Q Again, these are known standards on the left of
   Chester Bauer and on the right they are known standards
10
   of
11
   A Correct.
12
     This is a comparison of Chester Bauer's hair on the
13
   left to Mr.
                         's hair.
                                  His hair also varied
   in color from the root to the tip but not as pronounced
15
   as his wife's.
                   This is closer to the root, a little
16
   darker than the tip and not as large a difference of
17
  color as his wife's hair. His hair is characterized
18
  by cuticle. The medullary which is very broken,
  it is definitely there but more broken than his wife's.
20
  You can see it. The pigment pattern is not as blotchy
21
  as his wife's. It is still somewhat blotchy, these
22
  darker areas throughout the cortex. You don't see the
23
  real distinguishing change you can see in Mr. Bauer's
  hair. Chester Bauer's hair, the colors I am describing
```

```
what you see under the microscope are not necessarily
   what you see with your naked eye. You are using
   intense light so the color tends to be lighter to some
3
   extent than you would assume they would be if you saw
   the person with the naked eye. Under the microscope
   Chester Bauer's hair is considered very light blond to
   darker blond.
                            's hair is light brown to
                        This is again under the microscope.
   intermediate blond.
   If you saw them, their hair would be darker.
      These are both known standards of those individuals.
10
   A Mr. Bauer on the left and Mr.
                                           on the right.
11
     This is a picture under higher edification where you
12
   can see some of the characteristics better.
13
   particular comparison slide, these are switched. Mr.
14
  Bauer's hair is on the right and Mr.
15
   on the left.
16
     What magnification is that, Arnold?
17
     This is a 250 power.
                            The cone compared to 150 on the
18
  last series of slides, it is almost twice the magnification.
19
     This is the comparison that you made of the known
20
  standards of
                          's hair and Chester Bauer's hair
21
  under a higher magnification?
22
           This shows Mr. Bauer's hair.
  A Yes.
                                          The main thing
23
  I want to demonostrate here is that even with the
  lighter hair, the pigment pattern is different.
```

```
hair of Mr. Bauer's you can barely see the granules
   sort of lined up. Mr.
                           's is a more blotchy situation.
   His hair here, under high magnification you can see a very
   small area of hair, you are looking at a very small area.
      This is a known standard of Mr.
                                            s hair.
   THE COURT: You are going to have to speak louder.
   It's very difficult to hear you.
   A Mr. Bauer's hair is on the right and unknown hair on
   the left which was obtained from the top sheet of
   bedding that was brought in by Silver Bow law enforcement.
   This particular slide on the left was prepared by Julie
   Long. You can see this comparison of two hairs down
   the center for demarkation between the two views, one
   slide on the left and one on the right. You can see a
   lighter thicker area along the edge which is the cuticle
15
   of the hair which I mentioned before on Chester Bauer's
   hair which had thicker cuticle. You can see this
17
   represented on the other slide, the same thing. Throughout
18
  the hair no medullary is present. You can see these pigment
  granules tend to be in chains, lined up parallel
   to each other. That is another characteristic of his
21
22
         The one on the left is the one Julie Long prepared
  from hair she found on the top sheet that was brought in
  by the Sheriff's Office and the one on the right is the
24
  known standard of Mr. Bauer's hair.
25
```

- 1 |Q| I want to make that point with you. What you are
- 2 saying then is this hair on the left -- first of all,
- 3 there are two hairs, they are not one continuous hair?
- <sup>4</sup> A Right. This line down the center post is a de-
- 5 markation between the two views.
- 6 Q Now, the one on the left was removed from the blankets
- 7 as testified to by Julie Long and given to you and you had
- 8 no idea whose hair that was at that time, is that
- 9 correct?
- 10 A Right. Until I examined it, I had no idea.
- 11 |Q And the one on the right is a known standard of
- 12 Chester Bauer's head hair?
- 13 A Correct.
- 14 Q And you have testified that there are similarities,
- 15 is that correct?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 |Q Are there any dissimilarities in those two?
- 18 A They show the same range of characteristics without
- 19 any obvious dissimilarity. Next slide.
- 20 This is a magnification of 250 power of the same two
- 21 hairs. This time it has been reversed. The standard
- 22 hair is on the right and the unknown hair is on the
- 23 left. This is an area near the root of the hair.
- 24 Some individuals near the root of the hair you can see
- 25 additional morphological characteristics which is small

agency?

```
oil in the hair. You can see them, they are little round
   spots in the hair. They are additional characteristics
   you can see that I saw in Chester Bauer's standard
   head hair near the root his hair showed a large number
   of them in addition to the other characteristics that I
   previously described. The standard hair and the unknown
   hair shows the same characteristics, they show these
   little circles in the hair as well as thicker cuticles
   that you can see on the edge here. The same type of
   pigmentation pattern which is very faint little streaks
   and the absence of medullary. All of those are
   characteristics of Mr. Bauer's hair.
   Q And then this slide, is that a known standard or is
14
   that an unknown standard as far as your examination?
     That was a known standard.
     And that definitely was Chester Bauer's head hair,
   is that correct?
18
  A That is correct.
19
  Q And the one on the left when you began your examination,
20
  you had no idea whose head hair that was?
    Julie had marked that it was from the top sheet and
21
  that was all I knew.
  Q She removed it from the top sheet that had been
  provided her by the Butte Silver Bow law enforcement
```

```
A That is correct.
  Q Now, in the course of reviewing these pictures, I have
  noticed and I think this is probably a larger magnification
  of 250 times indicates that there is a little bit of
  disparity in the color.
     That is correct.
  Q Is that inherent in these head hairs being different
  colors or is there some explanation of why there is a
  little disparity?
  A The difference is in the color. You can see background
   color here, extremely lighter, this is darker. That is
11
   due to the microscope itself. Each one has a separate
13 bulb and the bulbs do not age with time the same.
  is darker and quicker than the other one. In fact,
  a new bulb it is hard to get the same. The darker you
15
  see is due to the fact that the background being dark
17 because one of the bulbs was darker than the other one was.
  Q So, that is not in fact a dissimilarity that should
  be noted by the jury, is that correct?
     That is correct.
      The next series of slides are pubic hair showing
21
   standards of pubic hair from Chester Bauer,
                    The one on the left is a known standard
23
   and
                 's pubic hair. The one on the right is
24
   a known standard of Chester Bauer's pubic hair.
```

2

3

4

7

8

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

s pubic hair, as her head hair does, she has sort of a mosaic pattern, individual granules unevenly dispersed throughout the cortex of her hair. She has a fairly consistent medulla broken in small places. Her hair generally is thicker in diameter than Mr. Bauer's. Mr. Bauer's pubic hair is rather thin, average range of caucasian this would be on the area of thinner pubic hair. Cuticle in pubic hair, unlike in head hair, is rather thin. So, it is a different characteristic. Head hair is thicker and pubic hair is very thin. Also unlike is head hair, the pubic hair shows medullation as you can see it here. Medullation is broken, in some places in the hair it is more continuous in other places. In a few places it is definitely present. Pigmentation is similar to his head hair because of the fact that as far as color, the pubic hair is generally lighter than head hair. You can barely see the granules, extremely fine, extremely small. You can see they go in straight lines. Q Now, we are dealing with, we have now switched to the pubic hair and these are known standards submitted to you that you are certain was Chester Bauer's and 's because they were marked by law enforcement officers?

A Yes and I marked the slide individually when I prepared

```
it and described what was on the slide.
   Q And there is a distinction between
2
   and Chester Bauer's pubic hair, is that correct?
3
   A Yes.
   Q Now, we are referring to -- previously we were talking
   about head hair. We are now referring to pubic hair.
6
   Can you always discern whether or not a hair is a pubic
7
   hair or a head hair?
   A Yes. If you have a whole hair, it is easy to tell
9
   pubic hair from head hair.
                                 Pubic hair tends to be
10
    considerably more variable in diameter and in shape
11
    than head hair does.
                          (Witness drew a diagram.)
12
   One thing is that pubic hair, most people don't cut their
   pubic hair. You usually see the tips of the hair.
14
    On human hair, pubic hair is always longated. You
15
   always see a very longated area of the hair near the
16
    tip which is considerably narrower in diameter than
17
    the rest of the hair. The rest of the hair tends to
18
   vary considerably in diameter and that causes the
19
   hair to be very curly and kinky. Head hair, even when
20
   people with relatively curly hair, varies considerably
21
    less in diameter over the distance of the hair.
22
   You don't see these gigantic changes in diameter. You
23
   will see small variations in diameter and you don't see
24
   an area of the hair where it is narrowed down or longated
25
```

```
as in pubic hair. If you examine someone's hair, you.
1
    can see the hair as it grew out of the head.
                                                  You will
2
    see a small change in diameter near the tip.
3
   over a shorter distance and is not as narrow as compared
4
    to the diameter of pubic hair.
5
   Q In viewing these with the microscope and viewing
   them with the naked eye generally, you are able to
7
   determine pubic hair from head hair because of their
8
   shape which you depicted there with the pubic hair
   on the bottom and the head hair on the top?
10
   A Yes, there are distinct differences as microscopic
11
   differences. Miscroscopically, you see differences
12
   that are associated with head and pubic hair so it
13
   is usually very easy to distinguish.
   Q Getting back to that, we were dealing with pubic hair
15
   and I think the one on the left then would be
16
         's and the one on the right would be Chester
17
   Bauer's, is that correct?
18
   A That is correct. This slide compares a standard
19
                  's pubic hair on the left and Chester
20
   Bauer's on the right. Again the same things you saw in
21
   the previous slide.
                                    's hair is thicker.
22
   She doesn't have a very thick cuticle. She has pigment
23
   granules which are blotchy. They are uneven in density,
24
   distributed throughout the hair and she has a very
25
```

```
obvious granule present.
1
       What magnification is this, Arnold?
2
       This is 150 power.
       And the one on the left is
                                               s pubic
    hair and the one on the right is Chester Bauer's?
5
    A Right. Chester Bauer's is very thin cuticle.
    Medullation is present though spotty, almost completely
7
             The granules are very fine and you can barely
               In generaly his pubic hair is very light in
    see them.
    color.
10
      This is a known standard of
                                               s pubic
11
   hair compared to Chester Bauer's on the right.
12
             's hair is considerably twisted.
   Mr.
13
   this picture here. When you look in a microscope
14
   you are looking at an area maybe that much (indicating).
15
   You can't see the whole hair at one time.
16
   hair was extremely twisted, a lot more than depicted
17
   by this diagram, varied in diameter and almost continually
18
   along the hair. You can see the hair is twisted and
19
   there is a sharp variation in diameter.
                                             The other
20
   characteristic of the hair in addition to that he had
21
   a thicker cuticle which you can see. It is dark,
22
   relatively dark. Those pubic granules are not blotchy,
23
   they tend to be smaller and more uniform so you get a
24
```

more even background even though the hair is darker.

```
It is not blotchy. He has a medullation present in
 1
    his hair but in most cases it is not dark.
    translucent to view but it is not dark.
 3
       This is on the left and it is a known standard of
               's pubic hair and on the right it is a known
 5
    standard of Chester Bauer's pubic hair and there is
    clearly a discernable difference in that?
 7
      That's correct.
 8
    (A short recess was taken.)
 9
    MR. FLEMING: May the record reflect this is the same
10
    Arnold Melnikoff who was on the stand when we took a
. 11
    brief recess and these are the same exhibits we have
12
    been explaining to the jury. Arnold, for purposes of
13
    review, I am going to back up two slides. What are we
14
    looking at there, would you explain that to get the jury
15
    back to the context.
16
    A Again, for review purposes this is a pubic hair
17
    standard of
                            on the left and pubic hair
18
    standard of Chester Bauer.
                                            's pubic
19
    hair has a thick cuticle, well-pronounced medullary
20
    in the center and pigment granules which are blotchy.
21
    Mr. Bauer's hair has thin cuticle, has medullary very
22
   broken up, whereas his head har pigments are very fine.
23
    The one on the left is a standard of
24
    His hair has the feature of being very twisted in places.
25
```

```
It is dark in color, the pigment granules are smaller
    and more evenly dispersed compared to his wife. He
 2
    has a thicker edge of cuticle and the medullary present
 3
    in his hair in many locations is clear instead of dark.
    Q You are saying that these known standards, you can
 5
    distinguish between Chester Bauer,
 6
              's pubic hair?
    A Yes, all three of them have the range of characteristics
 8
    which are separate from each other.
    Again, the known standard of Mr.
                                            s pubic hair
10
    shows a pronounced twisted situation and in this case
11
   medullation is dark and translucent and runs throughout
12
    the hair. You can see also an edge here that is thicker,
13
   which is characteristic of his hair. Pigmentation
14
    is more evenly distributed throughout the hair.
15
   The same characteristic and standard of Chester Bauer's
16
   pubic hair that I described previously.
17
       This is a match of pubic hair that was found on the
18
   top sheet of Mr. Bauer to a standard of his hair, with
19
   the pubic standard being on the right and the unknown
20
   standard on the left. Again, you see a pronounced
21
   difference in background color which affects the color
22
23
   of the hair, the bulbs not being the same that wear
   different with time. You see the same thickness. You
24
   see the same type of edge on the hair. You see the same
```

distribution where the light changes and you can see
the same medullary characteristic which tends to be
broken in places, all characteristics of Mr. Bauer's
public hair.

Q What we are looking here then on the right is a known

Q What we are looking here then on the right is a known standard of Chester Bauer's pubic hair, is that correct?

A Right.

Q And on the left is the pubic hair or a microscopic examination of a pubic hair which was found on the top sheet of the blankets submitted to you from Dawne Kindt's residence by the Butte Silver Bow law enforcement officers?

A That is correct.

Q Are there any characteristics that are not similar on those two examinations?

A Except for the shade there which is mostly due to the microscope both hairs show the same general characteristics with no unique differences.

Q Thank you.

A Again, this is a 250 magnification of a known standard of Chester Bauer's pubic hair on the right and an unknown hair that came from the top sheet prepared by Julie Long on the left. Again, you see the same characteristics of Chester Bauer's pubic hair that I described previously.

```
You see the thick cuticle. I see a definite chaining
```

- $^2$  of pigments, small and parallel, bleached, and you see
- 3 the broken medullary that is present in his hair.
- The hair is obviously of the same diameter.
- $^{5}\mid$  Q This essentially is the magnification of slide No. 3
- 6 which you previously presented, a more magnified view?
- 7 A That's correct. This is different pubic hair removed
- 8 | from the top sheet compared to the previous slide which
- 9 was another unknown pubic hair removed by Julie Long.
- 10 | Q And this is another pubic hair and not the same
- 11 | comparison?
- 12 A That's correct. I believe that is the last slide.
- 13 Q With respect to pubic hair, I will back up until
- 14 | I reach a head hair. Tell me to stop and I will do
- 15 that at that time. (Mr. Fleming went back through
- 16 some slides.)
- 17 A There.
- Q This is the magnified head hear in comparison to
- 19 refresh the jury's memory, is that correct?
- 20 A Correct.
- 21 Q And on the one side is a known head hair of Chester
- Bauer's and the other one is a...
- 23 MISS DUNLAP: Your honor, I am going to object as leading
- 24 and suggestive.
- THE COURT: I'll sustain that.

- $1\mid \mathsf{Q}$  Would you explain this slide then, please.
- 2 | A Again in review, this is a known standard on the
- 3 | right, unknown on the left, showing the same
- 4 | characteristics as the standard of Chester Bauer's
- 5 head hair.
- 6 | Q Where was the unknown derived from?
- 7 A The hair that was removed from the top sheet by
- 8 Julie Long, and she made the slide for my examination.
- 9 Q This is again head hair?
- 10 A Right.
- 11 Q Thank you. Your testimony indicates that you found
- 12 characteristics of the unknown standards that matched
- 13 characteristics of the known standards, is that correct?
- 14 | A That is correct.
- 15 | Q Is it possible that another person could have head
- 16 hair similar in characteristics that was indistinguishable,
- 17 | say for example, from Chester Bauer's?
- 18 A It is possible that could happen, yes.
- 19 Q We are dealing simply with head hair. Is there a
- 20 possibility that there could be someone else with head
- 21 | hair indistinguishable from Chester Bauer?
- 22 A It is possible.
- 23 Q What would you say in conservative terms the possibility
- 24 of that is?
- 25 A Well, if you have adequate standards to get the complete

range of individuals' morphological characteristics which occurred in this case, based on my own experience where I have done over 500 cases involving head hair, I have only had three cases where two individuals involved I could not distinguish their hair. So, based on that experience, I would say that somewhere better than one chance in 100 if you just picked two people at random that you would be able to distinguish, would not be able to distinguish their hair from each other.

- 11 | Q So, that is 1 in 100 for the head hair?
- 12 A That's correct.

- Q Now, are head hair and pubic hair distinguishable and are they different biologically?
  - A Yes. You can inherit the characteristics separately from head hair and pubic hair and it is a very common thing for someone that had straight light-colored head hair could possibly have very dark pubic hair or vice versa. So, your head hair characteristics are not necessarily going to be the same as pubic hair because you inherit those characteristics separately. So, they are actually two mutually exclusive demonstrations of
- 24 show the same morphological characteristics.
- 25 | Q Then if I understand your testimony correctly,

somebody's heredity and are not necessarily going to

pubic hair as Chester Bauer?

what you are saying is if one person has "x" type
head hair and "y" type pubic hair and another person
has "x" type of head hair, that doesn't necessarily
mean that that person will have "y" type of pubic hair?
A No. The characteristics that you see in the head
hair do not have to be expressed at all. You get people
where their head hair and pubic hair are very similar.
In the minority most people, head hair and pubic hair
show obvious differences.
Q So, would your statement then be consistent 1 in 100
chance of seeing a person with the exact same type of

A Well, yes, I would say that is a good approximation. Again based on my experience, I looked at less cases where pubic hair was involved mainly because situations like burglary and other types of assault, not sexual assault, pubic hair is usually not involved, so you see a lot more head hair cases than pubic hair. I have done approximately 150 cases where pubic hair was involved and out of 150 cases, I have only had one case where I could not distinguish the pubic hair characteristics of one individual from the other. So, again we are approximately in the same ballpark of 1 chance out of 100 based on my experience.

Q Now, that is a very conservative estimate, is it not?

```
1 A Yeah, I think it is a very conservative estimate.
```

- 2 | Q Aren't there studies indicating a much higher...
- 3 A Yes. There was a paper published in Canada where
- 4 | they came up with a considerably higher statistical
- 5 correlation than that.
- 6 | Q And you are using a much more conservative figure,
- 7 is that correct?
- 8 A Yes, I am.
- 9 Q Now, since you have indicated the mutually exclusive
- 10 function, that being the pubic hair and the head hair,
- 11 | 1 in 100 and 1 in 100, what would the chances be of
- 12 having the exact same type of pubic hair in a person
- 13 and the exact same type of pubic hair, using Chester
- 14 | Bauer for example. What would the chances of having
- 15 another individual in the population having the same
- 16 exact type of head hair as Chester Bauer and the exact
- 17 same type of pubic hair as Chester Bauer?
- 18 A Well, it's a similar situation for an analogy if you
- 19 want to throw "snake eyes" on a dice that one chance out
- 20 of six on both dice. If you want to get both of them
- 21 to come up just one dice, you have one chance out of
- 22 six on one dice and one chance out of six on the other
- 23 and the actual probability, multiplication of the two
- 24 numbers which is  $6 \times 6$  or 36, one chance out of 36.
- 25 Here since you have two mutually exclusive events,

```
head hair is not like pubic hair because the characteristics
 1
    are different. You have based on my experience,
 2
    1 chance in 100 that the head hair will match another
 4
    person and 1 chance out of 100 the pubic hair will
 5
    match another person. To have them both match, it
    would be the multiplication of both factors so as an
7
    approximately using that 1 out of 100, you come out
    with a number like 1 chance in 10,000. Multiply
8
9
    100 x 100. It becomes a very highly improbable situation
10
    that both events would occur, that you could not
11
    distinguish the head hair and the pubic hair from two
    individuals.
12
13
    Q So a very conservative estimate would be 1 chance
14
    in 10,000.
15
    A Right, based on my experience.
16
    Q Now, in your examination did you examine every type
17
    of hair that you could find on this evidence, top
18
    sheets, blankets, etc.?
19
    A Yeah, I examined all the hair that was prepared
20
    by Julie Long on the top sheet.
21
   Q In that examination did you find any pubic or head
22
   hair belonging to humans that did not belong to
23
           Chester Bauer or
24
   A I did not find any hair that I could not relate
25
   to the three of them. I didn't find hair from of
```

```
characteristics from a person that was different from
    those three individuals.
      You did find?
3
      I did not. I did not find hair from an unrelated
            I did not find hair from a person whose hair
5
   characteristics were different than those three individuals.
   Q Didyou find more than one pubic hair in your examination
7
   that was similar to Chester Bauer's characteristics?
     Yes.
   Q How many did you find?
10
      I found six pubic hairs.
11
   Q Each and every one of those pubic hairs was
12
```

14 A Yes, it was.

13

15 | Q How many head hairs did you find?

consistent with Chester Bauer's pubic hair?

16 A I found one.

17 Q Was that consistent?

18 | A Yes, it was.

19 Q And you didn't find any head hair that you could not

20 account for or pubic hair that you could not account

21 for as being characteristic of

,

, or Chester Bauer?

A Right. All of the head hair or human hair I found present was characteristic of those three people.

MR. FLEMING: No further questions.

## CROSS EXAMINATION BY MISS DUNLAP

- 2 Q Mr. Melnikoff, where were you trained for hair
- 3 | analysis?
- 4 A The FBI Training Center in Virginia, which is
- 5 commonly called the FBI Academy.
- 6 Q How long was that training?
- 7 A It was a six-day course.
- 8 | Q Six days?
- A Yes.
- 10 | Q You received training for this in six days?
- 11 A From eight to ten hours a day for six days.
- 12 Q Is it true that your testimony so far has been that
- 13 you found one head hair that was characteristic of
- 14 | that of Chester Bauer's and six pubic hairs?
- 15 A That is correct.
- 16 | Q Is it possible to definitely state that a hair
- 17 actually belongs to a given individual?
- 18 A No. Based on what I said...
- 19 Q OK, just answer my questions, please. You will
- 20 have a chance to redirect.
- 21 A No, you cannot make an absolute statement that a
- 22 | hair belongs to a certain individual.
- 23 | THE COURT: We can't hear you.
- 24 A My answer was, you cannot make an absolute statement
- 25 | that a specific hair belongs to a specific individual.

- Q Can it be conclusively determined whether or not hair came from a male or a female?
- 3 A Not on hair that has been removed from the head more
- 4 than a couple of hours.
- 5 Q Was that test done in this particular case?
- 6 | A No. All the hair I received was obviously removed
- 7 from the individuals more than two hours as I received
- 8 | it.
- 9 Q So, it is a correct assumption that you cannot
- 10 definitely state whether this hair that you analyzed
- 11 | was male or female?
- 12 A I cannot make that determination on hair.
- 13 | Q You couldn't do it in this case?
- 14 A No.
- 15 | Q Can the age of an individual be accurately determined
- 16 by hair analysis of this sort?
- 17 A No.
- 18 Q Is hair identification as specific an identification
- 19 as a finger print procedure?
- 20 A No.
- 21 | Q Is there more accurate tests available today for hair
- 22 analysis determination than this test that you conducted?
- 23 A No.
- 24 | Q Are you familiar with the neutron activation analysis?
- 25 A Yes.

- 1 | Q Is that more accurate than this?
- 2 A No.
- 3 | Q Does neutron activation analysis determine anything
- 4 more than just visual characteristics that you have shown
- 5 us today on the screen?
- 6 A It determines elemental composition of the hair.
- 7 | Q Such as? Would you give us an example?
- 8 A Well, it shows what trace elements are present like
- g arsenic, lead, about 60 different elements could be
- 10 detected by neutron activation analysis.
- 11 Q Those 60 different elements that can be detected
- 12 by that particular test, are they individual to different
- people? For instance, would mine be different from
- 14 yours?
- 15 A They found there is more individual variation in the
- 16 hair from the same person than there is between
- 17 | individuals. That is why it is less accurate.
- 18 Q Your statement is then that is a less accurate test?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 | Q Then what you are visually showing us on the screen?
- 21 A Yes, that is my statement.
- 22 Q The reports that you have spoken of here today
- 23 in your statistics, aren't they more or less a plea,
- 24 this survey is more or less a plea for further investigation
- 25 into the theory proposed by that report, the plea for

```
1 | further investigation?
```

- 2 A Well, it made a comment both papers said more people
- 3 | should be examined and that they should follow up
- 4 on what he started to do because he only looked at 100
- 5 | people, not a large population.
- 6 | Q Mr. Melnikoff, this type of analysis that you learned
- 7 in six days in the FBI school, is it an exact science?
- 8 | A If you define "exact science", I will answer the
- 9 | question.
- 10 | Q By "exact science", I mean can you determine whether
- 11 or not a hair came from a specific individual accurately
- 12 | without fault?
- 13 A As I said before, you cannot make an absolute statement
- 14 that a single hair came from a specific individual.
- 15 | Q Then it is not an exact science.
- 16 A If that is what you mean by exact.
- 17 | Q That is what I mean.
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q Yes, it is or no it isn't an exact science.
- $^{20}$   $\mid$  A Well, it is science. Very few things...
- 21 Q But I have told you what I mean by exact science,
- 22 if that is an exact science.
- 23 A You are using exact science to describe a hair.
- 24 Hair is not a science, individual hair.
- 25 | Q This is not an exact science?

25

```
A I can't answer your question.
    MISS DUNLAP: I have no further questions.
               REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FLEMING
 3
    Q Is the analysis that you presented to the jury
 4
    showing the characteristics utilized nationwide and
 ١5
    internationally?
             This is accepted procedure for hair examination.
      Yes.
       Is it used by the FBI?
      Yes.
       Has the FBI published papers on it?
10
11
       Yes.
       Is it used by the Royal Canadian Mounted Police?
12
13
    Α
      Yes.
      And it is used by local agencies, including Montana?
14
       That's correct.
15
   Q Now, Miss Dunlap referred to a study, Canadian study,
16
   she indicated that there were pleas. What figures
   did they use as far as their care as to the probability
18
   of head hair, first of all, and then pubic hair?
19
   A Based on examination of 100 people, this is by
20
   memory and I might be slightly off, and then looking
21
   at a large number of hairs of those people and trying
22
   to find 7 or 8 hairs that show a wider variation
23
```

and using those as standards. A blind comparison of

those 7 or 8 hairs to the 100 individuals, they came

```
out with a physical probability of two hairs being un-
 1
     distinguishable of those individuals and I believe 1
    chance in 4200 in head hair and 1 chance in 700 on pubic
    hair.
    Q You didn't use those figures today?
    A No.
    Q You used figures that you felt were more accurate,
    1 to 100.
    A Yes which was based on my personal experience
    and not on a study that I did not do that somebody else
10
    did.
11
    Q You used much more conservative figures?
12
    A That's correct.
13
   Q Again, you did not find any other hairs that were not
14
   characteristic of head and pubic hair of either
15
                      or Chester Bauer?
16
17
   A That is correct.
   MR. FLEMING: No further questions. The State of Montana
18
19
   will rest.
   MISS CAUGHLAN: Prior to calling our first witness,
20
   I will move to dismiss the case for failure to prove
21
   their prima facie case.
22
```

23

THE COURT:

25

Your motion is denied.